

Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421>

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha

10/1/13

1

Why Data Types?

- Data types play a key role in:
 - *Data abstraction* in the design of programs
 - *Type checking* in the analysis of programs
 - *Compile-time code generation* in the translation and execution of programs

10/1/13

2

Terminology

- Type: A type t defines a set of possible data values
 - E.g. `short` in C is $\{x \mid 2^{15} - 1 \geq x \geq -2^{15}\}$
 - A value in this set is said to have type t
- Type system: rules of a language assigning types to expressions

10/1/13

3

Types as Specifications

- Types describe properties
- Different type systems describe different properties, eg
 - Data is read-write versus read-only
 - Operation has authority to access data
 - Data came from “right” source
 - Operation might or could not raise an exception
- Common type systems focus on types describing same data layout and access methods

10/1/13

4

Sound Type System

- If an expression is assigned type t , and it evaluates to a value v , then v is in the set of values defined by t
- SML, OCAML, Scheme and Ada have sound type systems
- Most implementations of C and C++ do not

10/1/13

5

Strongly Typed Language

- When no application of an operator to arguments can lead to a run-time type error, language is *strongly typed*
 - Eg: `1 + 2.3;;`
- Depends on definition of “type error”

10/1/13

6

Strongly Typed Language

- C++ claimed to be “strongly typed”, but
 - Union types allow creating a value at one type and using it at another
 - Type coercions may cause unexpected (undesirable) effects
 - No array bounds check (in fact, no runtime checks at all)
- SML, OCAML “strongly typed” but still must do dynamic array bounds checks, runtime type case analysis, and other checks

10/1/13

7

Static vs Dynamic Types

- *Static type*: type assigned to an expression at compile time
- *Dynamic type*: type assigned to a storage location at run time
- *Statically typed language*: static type assigned to every expression at compile time
- *Dynamically typed language*: type of an expression determined at run time

10/1/13

8

Type Checking

- When is $op(arg1, \dots, argn)$ allowed?
- *Type checking* assures that operations are applied to the right number of arguments of the right types
 - Right type may mean same type as was specified, or may mean that there is a predefined implicit coercion that will be applied
- Used to resolve overloaded operations

10/1/13

9

Type Checking

- Type checking may be done *statically* at compile time or *dynamically* at run time
- Dynamically typed (aka untyped) languages (eg LISP, Prolog) do only dynamic type checking
- Statically typed languages can do most type checking statically

10/1/13

10

Dynamic Type Checking

- Performed at run-time before each operation is applied
- Types of variables and operations left unspecified until run-time
 - Same variable may be used at different types

10/1/13

11

Dynamic Type Checking

- Data object must contain type information
- Errors aren't detected until violating application is executed (maybe years after the code was written)

10/1/13

12

Static Type Checking

- Performed after parsing, before code generation
- Type of every variable and signature of every operator must be known at compile time

10/1/13

13

Static Type Checking

- Can eliminate need to store type information in data object if no dynamic type checking is needed
- Catches many programming errors at earliest point
- Can't check types that depend on dynamically computed values
 - Eg: array bounds

10/1/13

14

Static Type Checking

- Typically places restrictions on languages
 - Garbage collection
 - References instead of pointers
 - All variables initialized when created
 - Variable only used at one type
 - Union types allow for work-arounds, but effectively introduce dynamic type checks

10/1/13

15

Type Declarations

- *Type declarations*: explicit assignment of types to variables (signatures to functions) in the code of a program
 - Must be checked in a strongly typed language
 - Often not necessary for strong typing or even static typing (depends on the type system)

10/1/13

16

Type Inference

- *Type inference*: A program analysis to assign a type to an expression from the program context of the expression
 - Fully static type inference first introduced by Robin Miller in ML
 - Haskell, OCAML, SML all use type inference
 - Records are a problem for type inference

10/1/13

17

Format of Type Judgments

- A *type judgement* has the form
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{exp} : \tau$$
 - Γ is a typing environment
 - Supplies the types of variables and functions
 - Γ is a list of the form $[x : \sigma, \dots]$
 - exp is a program expression
 - τ is a type to be assigned to exp
 - \vdash pronounced “turnstile”, or “entails” (or “satisfies”)

10/1/13

18

Axioms - Constants

$\overline{\quad} \quad \vdash n : \text{int}$ (assuming n is an integer constant)

$\overline{\quad} \quad \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}$

$\overline{\quad} \quad \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}$

- These rules are true with any typing environment
- n is a meta-variable

10/1/13

19

Axioms – Variables (Monomorphic Rule)

Notation: Let $\Gamma(x) = \sigma$ if $x : \sigma \in \Gamma$ and there is no $x : \tau$ to the left of $x : \sigma$ in Γ

Variable axiom:

$\overline{\quad} \quad \Gamma \vdash x : \sigma \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = \sigma$

10/1/13

20

Simple Rules - Arithmetic

Primitive operators ($\oplus \in \{+, -, *, \dots\}$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad (\oplus) : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : \tau}$$

Relations ($\sim \in \{<, >, =, <=, >= \}$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

For the moment, think τ is int

10/1/13

21

Simple Rules - Booleans

Connectives

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \&\& e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 || e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

10/1/13

22

Type Variables in Rules

- If_then_else rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) : \tau}$$

- τ is a type variable (meta-variable)
- Can take any type at all
- All instances in a rule application must get same type
- Then branch, else branch and if_then_else must all have same type

10/1/13

23

Function Application

- Application rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- If you have a function expression e_1 of type $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ applied to an argument of type τ_1 , the resulting expression has type τ_2

10/1/13

24

Fun Rule

- Rules describe types, but also how the environment Γ may change
- Can only do what rule allows!
- fun rule:

$$\frac{[x : \tau_1] + \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

10/1/13

25

Fun Examples

$$\frac{[y : \text{int}] + \Gamma \vdash y + 3 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow y + 3 : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{[f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}] + \Gamma \vdash f \ 2 :: [\text{true}] : \text{bool list}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{fun } f \rightarrow f \ 2 :: [\text{true}]) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool list}}$$

10/1/13

26

(Monomorphic) Let and Let Rec

- let rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad [x : \tau_1] + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- let rec rule:

$$\frac{[x : \tau_1] + \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad [x : \tau_1] + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

10/1/13

27

Example

- Which rule do we apply?

$$\frac{?}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec one} = 1 :: \text{one in} \\ \text{let } x = 2 \text{ in} \\ \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: \text{one})) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}}$$

10/1/13

28

Example

- Let rec rule: $\textcircled{2}$ $[one : \text{int list}] \vdash$
 $\textcircled{1}$ $(\text{let } x = 2 \text{ in}$
 $[one : \text{int list}] \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one))$
 $(1 :: one) : \text{int list} \quad : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$
 $\vdash (\text{let rec one} = 1 :: \text{one in}$
 $\text{let } x = 2 \text{ in}$
 $\text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one)) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

10/1/13

29

Proof of 1

- Which rule?

$$[one : \text{int list}] \vdash (1 :: one) : \text{int list}$$

10/1/13

30

Proof of 1

■ Application

$$\frac{\textcircled{3} \quad [one : \text{int list}] \vdash ((::) 1) : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list} \quad \textcircled{4} \quad [one : \text{int list}] \vdash one : \text{int list}}{[one : \text{int list}] \vdash (1 :: one) : \text{int list}}$$

10/1/13

31

Proof of 3

Constants Rule

Constants Rule

$$\frac{[one : \text{int list}] \vdash ((::) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}) \quad [one : \text{int list}] \vdash 1 : \text{int}}{[one : \text{int list}] \vdash ((::) 1) : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}}$$

10/1/13

32

Proof of 4

■ Rule for variables

$$\frac{}{[one : \text{int list}] \vdash one : \text{int list}}$$

10/1/13

33

Proof of 2

■ Constant

$$\frac{\textcircled{5} \quad [x:\text{int}; one : \text{int list}] \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one)) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list} \quad [one : \text{int list}] \vdash 2 : \text{int}}{[one : \text{int list}] \vdash (\text{let } x = 2 \text{ in fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one)) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}}$$

10/1/13

34

Proof of 5

$$\frac{?}{[x:\text{int}; one : \text{int list}] \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one)) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}}$$

10/1/13

35

Proof of 5

$$\frac{? \quad [y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; one : \text{int list}] \vdash (x :: y :: one) : \text{int list}}{[x:\text{int}; one : \text{int list}] \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one)) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}}$$

10/1/13

36

Proof of 5

⑥

$[y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}] \vdash [y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}] \vdash$

$((::) x) : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list} \quad (y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$

$[y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}] \vdash (x :: y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$

$[x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}] \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: \text{one}) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

10/1/13

37

Proof of 6

Constant

Variable

$[...] \vdash (::)$

$: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list} \quad [...; x:\text{int}; ...] \vdash x:\text{int}$

$[y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}] \vdash ((::) x)$

$: \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

10/1/13

38

Proof of 7

Pf of 6 $[y/x]$

Variable

⋮

$[y:\text{int}; ...] \vdash ((::) y) \quad [...; \text{one}:\text{int list}] \vdash$

$: \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list} \quad \text{one} : \text{int list}$

$[y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}] \vdash (y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$

10/1/13

39

Curry - Howard Isomorphism

- Type Systems are logics; logics are type systems
- Types are propositions; propositions are types
- Terms are proofs; proofs are terms
- Functions space arrow corresponds to implication; application corresponds to modus ponens

10/1/13

40

Curry - Howard Isomorphism

- Modus Ponens

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B}$$

- Application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 e_2) : \beta}$$

10/1/13

41

Mia Copa

- The above system can't handle polymorphism as in OCAML
- No type variables in type language (only meta-variable in the logic)
- Would need:
 - Object level type variables and some kind of type quantification
 - let** and **let rec** rules to introduce polymorphism
 - Explicit rule to eliminate (instantiate) polymorphism

10/1/13

42