Prove that each of the following problems is NP-hard.
1 Given an undirected graph $G$, does $G$ contain a simple path that visits all but 374 vertices?

## Solution:

We prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem. Given an arbitrary graph $G$, let $H$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by adding 374 isolated vertices. Call a path in $H$ almost-Hamiltonian if it visits all but 374 vertices. I claim that $G$ contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if $H$ contains an almost-Hamiltonian path.
$\Rightarrow$ Suppose $G$ has a Hamiltonian path $P$. Then $P$ is an almost-Hamiltonian path in $H$, because it misses only the 374 isolated vertices.
$\Leftarrow$ Suppose $H$ has an almost-Hamiltonian path $P$. This path must miss all 374 isolated vertices in $H$, and therefore must visit every vertex in $G$. Every edge in $H$, and therefore every edge in $P$, is also an edge in $G$. We conclude that $P$ is a Hamiltonian path in $G$.

Given $G$, we can easily build $H$ in polynomial time by brute force.

2 Given an undirected graph $G$, does $G$ have a spanning tree with at most 374 leaves?

## Solution:

We prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem. ${ }^{1}$ Given an arbitrary graph $G$, let $H$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by adding the following vertices and edges:

- First we add a vertex $z$ with edges to every other vertex in $G$.
- Then we add 373 vertices $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{373}$, each with edges to $z$ and nothing else.

Call a spanning tree of $H$ almost-Hamiltonian if it has at most 374 leaves. I claim that $G$ contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if $H$ contains an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree.
$\Rightarrow \quad$ Suppose $G$ has a Hamiltonian path $P$. Suppose $P$ starts at vertex $s$ and ends at vertex $t$. Let $T$ be subgraph of $H$ obtained by adding the edge $t z$ and all possible edges $z \ell_{i}$. Then $T$ is a spanning tree of $H$ with exactly 374 leaves, namely $s$ and all 373 new vertices $\ell_{i}$.
$\Leftarrow$ Suppose $H$ has an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree $T$. Every node $\ell_{i}$ is a leaf of $T$, so $T$ must consist of the 373 edges $z \ell_{i}$ and a simple path from $z$ to some vertex $s$ of $G$. Let $t$ be the only neighbor of $z$ in $T$ that is not a leaf $\ell_{i}$, and let $P$ be the unique path in $T$ from $s$ to $t$. This path visits every vertex of $G$; in other words, $P$ is a Hamiltonian path in $G$.

Given $G$, we can easily build $H$ in polynomial time by brute force.

3 Recall that a 5-coloring of a graph $G$ is a function that assigns each vertex of $G$ a "color" from the set $\{0,1,2,3,4\}$, such that for any edge $u v$, vertices $u$ and $v$ are assigned different "colors". A 5-coloring is careful if the colors assigned to adjacent vertices are not only distinct, but differ by more than $1(\bmod 5)$. Prove that deciding whether a given graph has a careful 5 -coloring is NP-hard.

## Solution:

We prove that careful 5 -coloring is NP-hard by reduction from the standard 5Color problem.
Given a graph $G$, we construct a new graph $H$ by replacing each edge in $G$ with a path of length three. I claim that $H$ has a careful 5 -coloring if and only if $G$ has a (not necessarily careful) 5 -coloring.
$\Longleftarrow$ Suppose $G$ has a 5-coloring. Consider a single edge $u v$ in $G$, and suppose $\operatorname{color}(u)=a$ and $\operatorname{color}(v)=b$. We color the path from $u$ to $v$ in $H$ as follows:

- If $b=(a+1) \bmod 5$, use colors $(a,(a+2) \bmod 5,(a-1)(\bmod 5), b)$.
- If $b=(a-1) \bmod 5$, use colors $(a,(a-2) \bmod 5,(a+1)(\bmod 5), b)$.
- Otherwise, use colors $(a, b, a, b)$.

In particular, every vertex in $G$ retains its color in $H$. The resulting 5 -coloring of $H$ is careful.
$\Longrightarrow$ On the other hand, suppose $H$ has a careful 5 -coloring. Consider a path $(u, x, y, v)$ in $H$ corresponding to an arbitrary edge $u v$ in $G$. Without loss of generality, say $\operatorname{color}(u)=0$; there are exactly eight careful colorings of this path with $\operatorname{color}(u)=0$, namely: $(0,2,0,2),(0,2,0,3),(0,2,4,1),(0,2,4,2)$, $(0,3,0,3),(0,3,0,2),(0,3,1,3),(0,3,1,4)$. It follows immediately that $\operatorname{color}(u) \neq \operatorname{color}(v)$. Thus, if we color each vertex of $G$ with its color in $H$, we obtain a valid 5 -coloring of $G$.

Given $G$, we can clearly construct $H$ in polynomial time.

