

CS/ECE 374 A (Spring 2024)
Homework 10 (due Apr 18 Thursday at 10am)

Instructions: As in previous homeworks.

Problem 10.1: Consider the following problem: given a set S of n distinct positive integers and a number L , we want to form the largest number of disjoint pairs in S , such that the sum of each pair is at most L .

For example, for $S = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 8\}$ and $L = 10$, an optimal solution has 2 pairs (e.g., one optimal solution is $\{1, 8\}$ and $\{4, 5\}$, but there are a number of other solutions that are also optimal).

Consider the following greedy strategy:

```
repeat {
  find a pair  $\{a, b\} \subseteq S$  with  $a + b \leq L$  maximizing  $a + b$ 
  if no such pair exists then stop
  else output the pair  $\{a, b\}$ , and remove  $a$  and  $b$  from  $S$ 
}
```

(a) (85 pts) Prove that this algorithm always correctly finds an optimal solution.

Like various greedy correctness proofs from class, you should use an “exchange” argument: let $\{a, b\} \subseteq S$ with $a + b \leq L$ maximizing $a + b$; suppose P^* is an optimal solution, and suppose $\{a, b\}$ is not in P^* ; show how to modify P^* to get a different feasible solution that is just as good and that uses $\{a, b\}$...

(b) (15 pts) Consider a variant of the problem, where we want to find the largest number of disjoint triples, such that the sum of each triple is at most L . Show that the same greedy strategy (where now we find a triple $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq S$ with $a + b + c \leq L$ maximizing $a + b + c$ at each iteration) does not always correctly find an optimal solution. You should give a counterexample with $n = 9$.

Problem 10.2: Consider the following search problem:

MAX-RECTANGLE-COVERAGE:

Input: a set R of m rectangles, a set P of n points in 2D, and a number $k \leq m$.

Output: Find a subset $Q \subseteq R$ of k rectangles, while maximizing the number of points of P covered by Q .

(Here, a point $p \in P$ is *covered* by Q iff p is contained in r for some rectangle $r \in Q$.)

Consider the following decision problem:

MAX-RECTANGLE-COVERAGE-DECISION:

Input: a set R of m rectangles, a set P of n points in 2D, and numbers $k \leq m$ and $\ell \leq n$.

Output: True iff there exists a subset $Q \subseteq R$ of k rectangles, such that the number of points of P covered by Q is at least ℓ .

Prove that MAX-RECTANGLE-COVERAGE has a polynomial-time algorithm iff MAX-RECTANGLE-COVERAGE-DECISION has a polynomial-time algorithm.

(Note: one direction should be easy; for the other direction, see lab 12b for examples of this type of question.)