Prove that each of the following problems is NP-hard. [Hint: Consider the corresponding problems with 1 or 2 in place of 374.]

1. Given an undirected graph *G*, does *G* contain a simple path that visits all but 374 vertices?

Solution: A simple path that visits *every* vertex of G is a Hamiltonian path! So we prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem.

Given an arbitrary graph G, let H be the graph obtained from G by adding 374 isolated vertices. Call a path in H almost-Hamiltonian if it visits all but 374 vertices. I claim that

G contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if H contains an almost-Hamiltonian path.

- \implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian path P. Then P is an almost-Hamiltonian path in H, because it misses only the 374 isolated vertices.
- \Leftarrow Suppose H has an almost-Hamiltonian path P. This path must miss all 374 isolated vertices in H, and therefore must visit every vertex in G. Every edge in H, and therefore every edge in P, is also an edge in G. We conclude that P is a Hamiltonian path in G.

Given G, we can easily build H in polynomial time by brute force.

2. Given an undirected graph *G*, does *G* have a spanning tree in which every vertex has degree at most 374?

Solution: A spanning tree in which every vertex has degree *at most* 2 is a Hamiltonian path! So we prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem.

Given an arbitrary graph G, let H be the graph obtained by attaching a fan of 372 edges to every vertex of G. Call a spanning tree of H almost-Hamiltonian if it has maximum degree 374. I claim that

G contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if H contains an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree.

- Suppose *G* has a Hamiltonian path *P*. Let *T* be the spanning tree of *H* obtained by adding every fan edge in *H* to *P*. Every vertex *v* of *H* is either a leaf of *T* or a vertex of *P*. If $v \in P$, then $\deg_P(v) \le 2$, and therefore $\deg_H(v) = \deg_P(v) + 372 \le 374$. We conclude that *H* is an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree.
- Esuppose H has an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree T. The leaves of T are precisely the vertices of H with degree 1; these are also precisely the vertices of H that are not vertices of G. Let P be the subtree of T obtained by deleting every leaf of T. Observe that P is a spanning tree of G, and for every vertex $v \in P$, we have $\deg_P(v) = \deg_T(v) 372 \le 2$. We conclude that P is a Hamiltonian path in G.

Given G, we can easily build H in polynomial time by brute force.

Yes, I am defining "almost-Hamiltonian" differently from my solution to problem 1. If changing definitions of non-standard terms bothers you, use another adjective like "meager" or "manxome".

3. Given an undirected graph G, does G have a spanning tree with at most 374 leaves?

Solution: A spanning tree with at most 2 leaves is a Hamiltonian path! (Are you noticing a pattern here?) So we prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem.

Given an arbitrary graph G, let H be the graph obtained from G by adding the following vertices and edges:

- First we add a vertex z with edges to every other vertex in G.
- Then we add 373 vertices $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{373}$, each with edges to z and nothing else.

Call a spanning tree of *H* almost-Hamiltonian if it has at most 374 leaves. I claim that

G contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if H contains an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree.

- \implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian path P. Suppose P starts at vertex s and ends at vertex t. Let T be subgraph of H obtained by adding the edge tz and all possible edges $z\ell_i$. Then T is a spanning tree of H with exactly 374 leaves, namely s and all 373 new vertices ℓ_i .
- Suppose H has an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree T. Every node ℓ_i is a leaf of T, so T must consist of the 373 edges $z\ell_i$ and a simple path from z to some vertex s of G. Let t be the only neighbor of z in T that is not a leaf ℓ_i , and let P be the unique path in T from s to t. This path visits every vertex of G; in other words, P is a Hamiltonian path in G.

Given G, we can easily build H in polynomial time by brute force.

Yes, I am defining "almost-Hamiltonian" differently from my solutions to problems 1 and 2. If changing definitions of non-standard terms bothers you, use another adjective like "spindly" or "frabjous".