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On the hereditary nature of shortest paths

## You can not shortcut a shortest path

## Lemma

$\boldsymbol{G}$ : directed graph with non-negative edge lengths. $\operatorname{dist}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{v})$ : shortest path length from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}$.
If $\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ shortest path from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ then for any $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{k}$ :
$\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{1}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is shortest path from $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$
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## Proof.

Suppose not. Then for some $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{k}$ there is a path $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}$ from $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ of length strictly less than that of $\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{1}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$. Then the path

$$
s=v_{0} \rightarrow v_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{i} \bullet P^{\prime} \bullet v_{j} \rightarrow v_{j+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{k}
$$

is a strictly shorter path from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ than $\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{1}} \ldots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$.

## A proof by picture
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A shorter path
from $v_{0}$ to $v_{10}$. A contradiction.


## What we really need...

## Corollary

$\boldsymbol{G}$ : directed graph with non-negative edge lengths. $\operatorname{dist}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{v})$ : shortest path length from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}$.
If $\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ shortest path from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ then for any $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{i} \leq \boldsymbol{k}$ :
(1) $\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is shortest path from $\boldsymbol{s}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$
(2) $\operatorname{dist}\left(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$. Relies on non-neg edge lengths.

## THE END

(for now)

