- 1. Recall the following *k*COLOR problem: Given an undirected graph *G*, can its vertices be colored with *k* colors, so that every edge touches vertices with two different colors?
 - (a) Describe a direct polynomial-time reduction from 3COLOR to 4COLOR.

Solution: Suppose we are given an arbitrary graph G. Let H be the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex a (called an *apex*) with edges to every vertex of G. I claim that G is 3-colorable if and only if H is 4-colorable.

- \implies Suppose *G* is 3-colorable. Fix an arbitrary 3-coloring of *G*, and call the colors "red", "green", and "blue". Assign the new apex *a* the color "plaid". Let *uv* be an arbitrary edge in *H*.
 - If both u and v are vertices in G, they have different colors.
 - Otherwise, one endpoint of uv is plaid and the other is not, so u and v have different colors.

We conclude that we have a valid 4-coloring of H, so H is 4-colorable.

 \Leftarrow Suppose *H* is 4-colorable. Fix an arbitrary 4-coloring; call the apex's color "plaid" and the other three colors "red", "green", and "blue". Each edge uv in *G* is also an edge of *H* and therefore has endpoints of two different colors. Each vertex v in *G* is adjacent to the apex and therefore cannot be plaid. We conclude that by deleting the apex, we obtain a valid 3-coloring of *G*, so *G* is 3-colorable.

We can easily transform G into H in polynomial time by brute force.

(b) Prove that kCOLOR problem is NP-hard for any $k \ge 3$.

Solution (direct): The lecture notes include a proof that 3COLOR is NP-hard. For any integer k > 3, I'll describe a direct polynomial-time reduction from 3COLOR to kCOLOR.

Let G be an arbitrary graph. Let H be the graph obtain from G by adding k-3 new vertices $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-3}$, each with edges to every other vertex in H (including the other a_i 's). I claim that G is 3-colorable if and only if H is k-colorable.

- ⇒ Suppose *G* is 3-colorable. Fix an arbitrary 3-coloring of *G*. Color the new vertices $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-3}$ with k-3 new distinct colors. Every edge in *H* is either an edge in *G* or uses at least one new vertex a_i ; in either case, the endpoints of the edge have different colors. We conclude that *H* is *k*-colorable.
- \Leftarrow Suppose *H* is *k*-colorable. Each vertex a_i is adjacent to every other vertex in *H*, and therefore is the only vertex of its color. Thus, the vertices of *G* use only three distinct colors. Every edge of *G* is also an edge of *H*, so its endpoints have different colors. We conclude that the induced coloring of *G* is a proper 3-coloring, so *G* is 3-colorable.

Given G, we can construct H in polynomial time by brute force.

Solution (induction): Let *k* be an arbitrary integer with $k \ge 3$. Assume that *j*COLOR is NP-hard for any integer $3 \le j < k$. There are two cases to consider.

- If k = 3, then kColor is NP-hard by the reduction from 3SAT in the lecture notes.
- Suppose k = 3. The reduction in part (a) directly generalizes to a polynomialtime reduction from (k - 1)COLOR to kCOLOR: To decide whether an arbitrary graph G is (k - 1)-colorable, add an apex and ask whether the resulting graph is k-colorable. The induction hypothesis implies that (k - 1)COLOR is NP-hard, so the reduction implies that kCOLOR is NP-hard.

In both cases, we conclude that kCOLOR is NP-hard.

2. A *Hamiltonian cycle* in a graph *G* is a cycle that goes through every vertex of *G* exactly once. Deciding whether an arbitrary graph contains a Hamiltonian cycle is NP-hard.

A *tonian cycle* in a graph G is a cycle that goes through at least *half* of the vertices of G. Prove that deciding whether a graph contains a tonian cycle is NP-hard.

Solution (duplicate the graph): I'll describe a polynomial-time reduction from HAMIL-TONIANCYCLE. Let G be an arbitrary graph. Let H be a graph consisting of two disjoint copies of G, with no edges between them; call these copies G_1 and G_2 . I claim that G has a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if H has a tonian cycle.

- \implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian cycle C. Let C_1 be the corresponding cycle in G_1 . C_1 contains exactly half of the vertices of H, and thus is a tonian cycle in H.
- \Leftarrow On the other hand, suppose *H* has a tonian cycle *C*. Because there are no edges between the subgraphs G_1 and G_2 , this cycle must lie entirely within one of these two subgraphs. G_1 and G_2 each contain exactly half the vertices of *H*, so *C* must also

contain exactly half the vertices of H, and thus is a *Hamiltonian* cycle in either G_1 or G_2 . But G_1 and G_2 are just copies of G. We conclude that G has a Hamiltonian cycle.

Given G, we can construct H in polynomial time by brute force.

Solution (add n **new vertices):** I'll describe a polynomial-time reduction from HAMIL-TONIANCYCLE. Let G be an arbitrary graph, and suppose G has n vertices. Let H be a graph obtained by adding n new vertices to G, but no additional edges. I claim that G has a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if H has a tonian cycle.

- \implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian cycle C. Then C visits exactly half the vertices of H, and thus is a tonian cycle in H.
- \Leftarrow On the other hand, suppose *H* has a tonian cycle *C*. This cycle cannot visit any of the new vertices, so it must lie entirely within the subgraph *G*. Since *G* contains exactly half the vertices of *H*, the cycle *C* must visit every vertex of *G*, and thus is a Hamiltonian cycle in *G*.

Given G, we can construct H in polynomial time by brute force.

To think about later:

3. Let *G* be an undirected graph with weighted edges. A Hamiltonian cycle in *G* is *heavy* if the total weight of edges in the cycle is at least half of the total weight of all edges in *G*. Prove that deciding whether a graph contains a heavy Hamiltonian cycle is NP-hard.

Solution (two new vertices): I'll describe a polynomial-time a reduction from the Hamiltonian *path* problem. Let G be an arbitrary undirected graph (without edge weights). Let H be the edge-weighted graph obtained from G as follows:

- Add two new vertices *s* and *t*.
- Add edges from s and t to all the other vertices (including each other).
- Assign weight 1 to the edge *st* and weight 0 to every other edge.

The total weight of all edges in H is 1. Thus, a Hamiltonian cycle in H is heavy if and only if it contains the edge st. I claim that H contains a heavy Hamiltonian cycle if and only if G contains a Hamiltonian path.

- \implies First, suppose *G* has a Hamiltonian path from vertex *u* to vertex *v*. By adding the edges *vs*, *st*, and *tu* to this path, we obtain a Hamiltonian cycle in *H*. Moreover, this Hamiltonian cycle is heavy, because it contains the edge *st*.
- \Leftarrow On the other hand, suppose *H* has a heavy Hamiltonian cycle. This cycle must contain the edge *st*, and therefore must visit all the other vertices in *H* contiguously. Thus, deleting vertices *s* and *t* and their incident edges from the cycle leaves a Hamiltonian path in *G*.

Given G, we can easily construct H in polynomial time by brute force.

Solution (smartass): I'll describe a polynomial-time a reduction from the standard Hamiltonian cycle problem. Let G be an arbitrary graph (without edge weights). Let H be the edge-weighted graph obtained from G by assigning each edge weight 0. I claim that H contains a heavy Hamiltonian cycle if and only if G contains a Hamiltonian path.

- \implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian cycle C. The total weight of C is at least half the total weight of all edges in H, because $0 \ge 0/2$. So C is a heavy Hamiltonian cycle in H.
- \Leftarrow Suppose *H* has a heavy Hamiltonian cycle *C*. By definition, *C* is also a Hamiltonian cycle in *G*.

Given G, we can easily construct H in polynomial time by brute force.