Recursion

Lecture10

1

Algorithms

- We will see two types of algorithms next
 - 1. Recursion (e.g. how to build an NFA from RegExp)
 - 2. Graph Algorithms (later)

What is Recursion?

Tower of Hanoi: Move the tower from one peg to another without ever putting a larger block on top of a smaller one.

374

Tower of Hanoi



Tower of Hanoi











CS 374



Tower of Hanoi





Base Case?

Need to be careful about when we cannot invoke the induction ferry: Base Cases



974

Hanoi Algorithm

 $\frac{\text{HANOI}(n, src, dst, tmp):}{\text{if } n > 0}$ $\frac{\text{HANOI}(n - 1, src, tmp, dst)}{\text{move disk } n \text{ from } src \text{ to } dst}$ $\frac{\text{HANOI}(n - 1, tmp, dst, src)}{\text{HANOI}(n - 1, tmp, dst, src)}$



Sometimes hard to delegate.

Say we want to build a minimal DFA from a regular expression

- Reg Exp —> NFA (thompson)
- NFA ----> DFA (subset)
- DFA min DFA (Moore)

3 Steps. Not important how any of those work, as long as we are guaranteed they work

How do you hunt a blue elephant? - With the blue elephant gun

How do you hunt a red elephant? - Hold its trunk until it turns blue, then hunt it with the blue elephant gun

How do you hunt a white elephant?

- Embarrass it till it becomes red. Use algorithm for hunting red elephants.

Sometimes hard to delegate.

Recursion even harder to delegate, you have to trust yourself.

Recursion = Delegation to yourself

Recursion is reduction to smaller instances of the SAME problem, which are solved by magic (or fairies, or inductive hypothesis...)

Sorting ALGORITHMS Quicksort:

- choose a pivot element from the array
- partition the array into three subarrays: one with elements smaller than pivot, one the pivot itself, one with elements larger than pivot.
- Recursively quick sort the first and last subarray
- How to choose pivot?



Μ

Quicksort:







Μ

Quicksort:







Quicksort:

| | AGHIIMORST |
|--|------------|
|--|------------|

CS 374

Quicksort:

Partition (linear time):

```
PARTITION(A[1..n], p):
 swap A[p] \leftrightarrow A[n]
 i \leftarrow 0
j ← n
 while (i < j)
       repeat i \leftarrow i + 1 until (i \ge j \text{ or } A[i] \ge A[n])
       repeat j \leftarrow j - 1 until (i \ge j \text{ or } A[j] \le A[n])
       if (i < j)
              swap A[i] \leftrightarrow A[j]
 swap A[i] \leftrightarrow A[n]
 return i
```

5S 374

Sorting ALGORITHMS Mergesort:

- Divide the input array into two subarrays of roughly equal size
- Recursively merge sort each of the subarrays
- Merge the two newly sorted subarrays into a single sorted array



Mergesort:







Mergesort:







Need to merge the two subarrays.



- Compare the first elements of the subarrays
- Write the smallest one in the output array.
- Recursion, now the problem is smaller



CS 374

One comparison, one recursive call



CS 374

One comparison, one recursive call



Where can this recursion break?

374

Sorting ALGORITHMS Merge:





<u>5</u>S 374



CS 374

Where can this recursion break?

Merge:

M

()

```
Merge(A[1..n], m):
 i \leftarrow 1; j \leftarrow m+1
 for k \leftarrow 1 to n
       if j > n
              B[k] \leftarrow A[i]; i \leftarrow i+1
        else if i > m
              B[k] \leftarrow A[j]; j \leftarrow j+1
        else if A[i] < A[j]
              B[k] \leftarrow A[i]; i \leftarrow i+1
        else
              B[k] \leftarrow A[j]; j \leftarrow j+1
 for k \leftarrow 1 to n
       A[k] \leftarrow B[k]
```

Loop = recursion

• When writing actual code easier to unfold the recursion

 When proving correctness easier to use induction (=recursion)

Mergesort:

 $\frac{\text{MERGESORT}(A[1..n]):}{\text{if }n > 1}$ $m \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ MERGESORT(A[1..m]) MERGESORT(A[m+1..n]) MERGE(A[1..n], m)

Base cases:

- When size of arrays to merge is 1
- When size of arrays is less than 10 and then brute force
- It doesn't matter, no need to optimize

Proof of Correctness

- We prove MERGE is correct by induction on n k + 1, which is the total size of the two sorted subarrays A[i..m] and A[j..n] that remain to be merged into B[k..n] when the kth iteration of the main loop begins. There are five cases to consider. Yes, five.
 - If k > n, the algorithm correctly merges the two empty subarrays by doing absolutely nothing.
 (This is the base case of the inductive proof.)
 - If i ≤ m and j > n, the subarray A[j..n] is empty. Because both subarrays are sorted, the smallest element in the union of the two subarrays is A[i]. So the assignment B[k] ← A[i] is correct. The inductive hypothesis implies that the remaining subarrays A[i + 1..m] and A[j..n] are correctly merged into B[k + 1..n].
 - Similarly, if i > m and $j \le n$, the assignment $B[k] \leftarrow A[j]$ is correct, and The Recursion Fairy correctly merges—sorry, I mean the inductive hypothesis implies that the MERGE algorithm correctly merges—the remaining subarrays A[i ...m] and A[j+1..n] into B[k+1..n].
 - If *i* ≤ *m* and *j* ≤ *n* and *A*[*i*] < *A*[*j*], then the smallest remaining element is *A*[*i*]. So *B*[*k*] is assigned correctly, and the Recursion Fairy correctly merges the rest of the subarrays.
 - Finally, if i ≤ m and j ≤ n and A[i] ≥ A[j], then the smallest remaining element is A[j]. So B[k] is assigned correctly, and the Recursion Fairy correctly does the rest.

Always make sanity check when you design algorithm!

Running time

- Number of fundamental operations as a function of input size n
- If array is sorted, then O(n), but we don't care about best case!
- Worst case running time for this class.
- Maybe different in practice, assumptions

Running time of Quicksort

- What is the running time T(n) of quicksort?
- O(n²) time! (If I choose the smallest pivot)
 - T(n)=O(n)+T(n-1)

 $= O(n^2)$

Running time of Mergesort

- What is the running time T(n) of mergesort?
- O(nlogn) time!
 - T(n)=2T(n/2)+O(n)
 - proof by induction if I know answer
 - recursion tree!



Running time of Mergesort



Complete binary tree every leaf is an array of size 1



Running time of Mergesort



• Leave all the O() till the very end.

• Goal is to sum up all the quantities in all the nodes.



• T(n)=2T(n/2)+O(n)

374

 \mathcal{O}

 Solve the recurrence by summing up work at each level



- T(n)=2T(n/2)+O(n)
- Total amount of work at level k= total amount of work at level k-1 (induction).



- T(n)=2T(n/2)+O(n)
- CS 374
- Total amount of work = $n \times (height of the tree) = n \log n$

Running time of Quicksort, revisited

- Quicksort runs in time O(n log n) in practice.
- Quicksort runs in time O(n log n) on average if the data is randomly permuted
- Quicksort runs in expected time O(n log n) if we randomly permute the data first.