Fooling Sets and Introduction to Nondeterministic Finite Automata

Lecture 5

- Given a language, we saw how to prove it is regular (union, intersection, concatenation, complement, reversal...)
- How to prove it is not regular?

- Pick your favorite language L (= let L be an arbitrary language)
- For any strings x,y (x,y not necessarily in L) we define the following equivalence:

$$x \equiv_L y$$

• Means for EVERY string $z \in \Sigma^*$ we have

 $xz \in L$ if and only if $yz \in L$

Conversely,

$$x \not\equiv_L y$$

• Means for SOME string $z \in \Sigma^*$ we have

either $xz \in L$ and yz L

or $xz \notin L$ and $yz \in L$

We say z distinguishes x from y (take z, glue it to x and see what belongs to L)

Example

- Pick your favorite language
- e.g. L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}
- Pick x = 0011 and y = 01. None of them in L!
- Can we find distinguishing suffix z?

```
z=1:
xz=00111 in L
yz =011 not in L
```

```
z=0:

xz=00110 \text{ not in L}

yz=010 \text{ in L}
```

```
z=\epsilon:

xz=0011 \text{ not in L}


yz=01 \text{ not in L}
```

Example

- L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}
- Pick x = 0011 and y = 01. None of them in L!
- Can we find distinguishing suffix z?

```
z=1:
xz=00111 in L
yz =011 not in L
```

```
z=0:
xz=00110 not in L
yz =010 in L
```



Why do I care?

- I can learn something about the equivalence relation by looking at every DFA that accepts L.
- Assume that after the DFA reads x and y it ends up at the same state:

$$\delta^*(s,x) = \delta^*(s,y) \Rightarrow x \equiv_L y$$

Proof: For any z,

$$\delta^*(s, xz) = \delta^*(s, yz) \Rightarrow$$
$$\delta^*(s, xz) \in A \Leftrightarrow \delta^*(s, yz) \in A$$

Why do I care?

This implication can be turned around:

$$x \not\equiv y \Rightarrow \delta^*(s, x) \not= \delta^*(s, y)$$

In ANY DFA for L

$$\Rightarrow |Q| \ge 2$$

- For the example before, we found two strings not equivalent.
 Any DFA for the language has AT LEAST two distinct states!
- Kind of trivial, cause what DFA has only one state?

Why do I care?

Pushing it further:

If we can find k strings x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$x_i \not\equiv x_j \qquad \forall i \neq j$$

Then, any DFA for L has at least k states

A way of formally proving how "complicated" a language is if it is regular

• L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}


$$x_1 = 00$$

$$x_2 = 01$$

$$x_3 = 001$$

$$x_4 = 000$$


L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}




 $x_1z=0001$ not in L

 $x_2z = 00001 \text{ in } L$

L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}



L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}



L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}

$$x_1 = 00$$

$$x_2 = 01$$


$$x_3 = 001$$


$$x_4 = 000$$

Any DFA for L has AT LEAST 4 states!

What is a DFA for L?


L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}





We proved that this (obvious) DFA is the minimal one!!!

• L = {strings with even zeroes and odd ones}



- Suppose I can find an infinite fooling set for L.
- Infinite set of strings {x₁,x_{2,...}} such that

$$x_i \not\equiv x_j \qquad \forall i \neq j$$

- Then every D: A for L has at least infinite number of distinct states
- L not regular!

- Example: L= $\{0^n1^n | n \ge 0 \} = \{\epsilon, 01, 0011, ...\}$
- Claim: This is a fooling set: $F=\{0^n|n\geq 0\}$

Proof: Let x, y two arbitrary different strings in F.

- Example: L= $\{0^n1^n | n \ge 0 \} = \{\epsilon, 01, 0011, ...\}$
- Claim: This is a fooling set: $F=\{0^n | n \ge 0\}$

Proof: Let x, y two arbitrary different strings in F.

```
x=0<sup>i</sup> for some integer i
```

y=0^j for some different integer j

$$z=1^{i}$$

Therefore $x \neq y$.

- Example: L= $\{0^n1^n | n \ge 0 \} = \{\epsilon, 01, 0011, ...\}$
- Claim: This is a fooling set: $F=\{0^n|n\geq 0\}$

Proof: Let x, y two arbitrary different strings in F.

```
x=0<sup>i</sup> for some integer i
```

y=0^j for some different integer j

$$z=1^{i}$$

$$xz=0^i$$
 1ⁱ in L

$$yz=0^{j}$$
 1ⁱ not in L

Therefore $x \neq y$.

- To prove that L is not Regular:
 - Find some infinite set F
 - Prove for any two strings x and y in F there is a string z such that xz is in L XOR yz is in L.
- How to come up with those fooling sets?
- Be clever :)
- Think of what information you have to keep track of in a DFA for L.

- Example: L= $\{0^n1^n\}$ = $\{\epsilon,01,0011,...\}$
- Is a string in L? What do I have to keep track of?
- I need to keep track of the number of zeroes.
- So, every number of zeroes is intuitively a different state (different equivalence class).
- Fooling set is a set of strings that exercises all possible values that I need to keep track in my head.
- Sometimes easier to narrow it down.

- Another Example: L={ww^R|w $\in \Sigma^*$ }= even length palindromes
- What is a fooling set?
- I have to remember the whole string w.

Attempt 1:

$$F=\Sigma^*$$
 $x=0000$
 $y=00$

Attempt 2:

- Another Example: L={ww^R|w $\in \Sigma^*$ }= even length palindromes
- What is a fooling set?
- I have to remember the whole string w.

Attempt 1:

$$F=\Sigma^*$$
 $x=0000$
 $y=00$

Attempt 2:

$$F=0*1$$
 $x=0i1$
 $y=0i1$

- Another Example: L={ww^R|w $\in \Sigma^*$ }= even length palindromes
- What is a fooling set?
- I have to remember the whole string w.

$$x = 0^{i}1$$

$$y = 0^{j} 1$$

What z (exercise)?

- Another Example: L={ww^R|w $\in \Sigma^*$ }= even length palindromes
- What is a fooling set?
- I have to remember the whole string w.

$$x = 0^{i}1$$

$$y = 0^{j}1$$

$$z = 10^{i}$$

- Another Example: L={w|w=w^R} = all palindromes
- What is a fooling set?

$$F=0*1$$

$$x = 0^{i}1$$

$$y = 0^{j} 1$$

$$z = 10^{i}$$

- Another Example: L={w|w=w^R} = all palindromes
- What is a fooling set: SAME!

$$F=0*1$$

$$x = 0^{i}1$$

$$y = 0^{j}1$$

$$z = 10^{i}$$

- Another Example: L={w|w=w^R} = all palindromes over the alphabet {0,1,a,b,c,d,e,f}
- What is a fooling set: SAME!

$$F=0*1$$

$$x = 0^{i}1$$

$$y = 0^{j}1$$

$$z = 10^{i}$$

Language is regular if and only if there is no infinite fooling set.

Nondeterminism

Aka Magic.


08 374 274

Tracking Computation




current state and remaining input

A computation's configuration evolves in each time-step



on input 1010



Deterministic Computation


null 0

Deterministic: Each step is fully determined by the configuration of the previous step and the transition function. If you do it again, exactly the same thing will happen.

2

1010

1010




Nondeterminism

- Determinism: opposite of free will
- Nondeterminism: you suddenly have choices!

Non-Deterministic FA



What can be non-deterministic about an FA?



What language?



- At a given state, on a given input, a set of "next-states"
- set could be empty, could be all states...

CS 374

NFA: Formally



DFA:
$$M = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$$

 Σ : alphabet Q: state space s: start state A: set of accepting states

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$$

$$\delta(q, a) = a$$
 state


NFA :
$$N=(\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$$

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q = \mathcal{P}(Q)$$


$$\delta(q, a) = \{ \text{ a set of states } \}$$

NFA

• Input = 1001



• L ={contains either 00 or 11}



One of the states are accepting. There needs to be AT LEAST one accepting state


- What is non determinism?
- Magic?
- Parallelism?
- Advice?

- What is non determinism?
- Suppose I wanted to prove to you that the string 1001 is in L ={contains either 00 or 11}
- We built a DFA with product last time.
- Proof is an accepting computation

- What is non determinism?
- Suppose I wanted to prove to you that the string 1001 is in L ={contains either 00 or 11}
- We built a DFA with product last time.
- Proof is an accepting computation: guide for the reader to how to follow the steps to a given conclusion.


- P vs. NP
- Are they the same?
- Easier to give the proof than come up with the proof! (?)

- For FSM, nondeterminism does not give you more expressive power!
- Any language that can be accepted by an NFAs can also be accepted by a DFA.
- It is more efficient, last example had 4 states but product construction had 8!




DFA for $L = \{w: w \text{ contains } 00 \text{ or } 11\}$






NFA for $L = \{w: w \text{ contains } 00 \}$



NFA: More efficient

Design an NFA to recognize $L(M) = \{w \mid w : 7\text{th character from the end is a 1}\}$



- Minimum DFA for this language would have 2⁷ states at least!
- need to remember the last 7 symbols.



NFA: Formally

NFA has 5 parts, similar to a DFA : $N = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$

 Σ : alphabet Q: state space s: start state F: set of accepting states

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma \to \mathcal{P}(Q) = 2^{Q}$$
 transition function

Define extended transition function:

$$\delta^*: Q \times \Sigma \to \mathcal{P}(Q) = 2^Q$$

$$\delta *(q, w) =$$
 if w= ϵ

08 374

NFA: Formally

• NFA has 5 parts, similar to a DFA : $N = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$

 Σ : alphabet Q: state space s: start state F: set of accepting states

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma \to \mathcal{P}(Q) = 2^{Q}$$
 transition function

Define extended transition function:

$$\delta^*: Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)=2^Q$$

$$\delta *(q, w) =$$

$$\{q\}$$
 if $w=\varepsilon$

$$\cup_{p \in \delta(q,a)} \delta^*(p,x) \quad \text{if w=ax}$$







NFA accepts a string w if and only if

$$\delta^*(s,w) \cap \mathbf{A} \neq \emptyset$$