

Proving that a problem X is NP-hard requires several steps:

- Choose a problem Y that you already know is NP-hard.
- Describe an algorithm to solve Y , using an algorithm for X as a subroutine. Typically this algorithm has the following form: Given an instance of Y , transform it into an instance of X , and then call the magic black-box algorithm for X .
- Prove that your algorithm is correct. This almost always requires two separate steps:
 - Prove that your algorithm transforms “yes” instances of Y into “yes” instances of X .
 - Prove that your algorithm transforms “no” instances of Y into “no” instances of X . Equivalently: Prove that if your transformation produces a “yes” instance of X , then it was given a “yes” instance of Y .
- Argue that your algorithm for Y runs in polynomial time.

Proving that X is NP-Complete requires you to additionally prove that $X \in NP$ by describing a non-deterministic polynomial-time algorithm for X . Typically this is not hard for the problems we consider but it is not always obvious.

1. A kite is a graph on an even number of nodes, say $2n$, in which n of the nodes form a clique and the remaining n vertices are connected in a “tail” that consists of a path joined to one of the nodes in the clique. Given a graph G and an integer k , the KITE problem asks whether or not there exists a subgraph which is a kite that contains $2k$ nodes. Prove that KITE is NP-Complete.

2. Recall that a *Hamiltonian cycle* in an undirected graph G is a cycle that goes through every vertex of G exactly once. We know that the problem of determining whether or not a graph has a Hamiltonian cycle is NP-complete. A **tonian cycle** in an undirected graph G is a cycle that goes through at least *half* of the vertices of G , and a **Hamilhamiltonian circuit** in an undirected graph G is a closed walk that goes through every vertex in G exactly *twice*.

(a) Prove that it is NP-hard to determine whether a given graph contains a tonian cycle. (This should be easy: describe a reduction that given a graph G , outputs a graph G' such that G' has a tonian cycle if and only if G has a Hamiltonian cycle.)

(b) (harder) Prove that it is NP-hard to determine whether a given graph contains a Hamilhamiltonian circuit. *Hint: your reduction from Hamiltonian cycle should create a graph G' from G by hanging a small “gadget” off of each vertex.*

3. (Possibly for later.) Recall that in lecture we saw a reduction for the *Hamiltonian cycle* problem in directed graphs to the same problem in undirected graphs. In particular, if G is a directed graph, then the undirected graph G' is formed as follows: G' contains all vertices of G , but in addition, for each vertex v in G , two new vertices are added to G' : v_{in} and v_{out} . Edges of G' include:

- For each vertex v , undirected edges (v_{in}, v) and (v, v_{out}) , are included in G' .
- For each directed edge (u, v) of G , the undirected edge (u_{out}, v_{in}) is included in G' .

First draw a simple directed graph G with vertices u, v, w and directed edges $(u, v), (u, w), (v, w)$. Now create G' and check it against a different group's answer to make sure you understand the reduction.

Now, prove the correctness of the reduction.