

# 1 Rice's Theorem

## 1.1 Properties

### Checking Properties

Given  $M$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Does } \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ contain } \langle M \rangle? \\ \text{Is } \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ non-empty?} \\ \text{Is } \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ empty?} \end{array} \right\} \text{ Undecidable}$$
$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Is } \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ infinite?} \\ \text{Is } \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ finite?} \\ \text{Is } \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ co-finite (i.e., is } \overline{\mathbf{L}(M)} \text{ finite)?} \\ \text{Is } \mathbf{L}(M) = \Sigma^*? \end{array} \right\} \text{ Undecidable}$$

None of these properties can be decided. This is the content of Rice's Theorem. \_\_\_\_\_

### Properties

**Definition 1.** A property of languages is simply a set of languages. We say  $L$  *satisfies* the property  $\mathbb{P}$  if  $L \in \mathbb{P}$ .

**Definition 2.** For any property  $\mathbb{P}$ , define language  $L_{\mathbb{P}}$  to consist of Turing Machines which accept a language in  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$L_{\mathbb{P}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid \mathbf{L}(M) \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

Deciding  $L_{\mathbb{P}}$ : deciding if a language represented as a TM satisfies the property  $\mathbb{P}$ .

- *Example:*  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ is infinite}\}$ ;  $E_{\text{TM}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid \mathbf{L}(M) = \emptyset\}$
- *Non-example:*  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ has 15 states}\}$   $\leftarrow$  This is a property of TMs, and not languages!

---

### Trivial Properties

**Definition 3.** A property is *trivial* if either it is not satisfied by any r.e. language, or if it is satisfied by all r.e. languages. Otherwise it is *non-trivial*.

*Example 4.* Some trivial properties:

- $\mathbb{P}_{\text{ALL}} =$  set of all languages
- $\mathbb{P}_{\text{R.E.}} =$  set of all r.e. languages
- $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$  where  $\mathbb{P}$  is trivial
- $\mathbb{P} = \{L \mid L \text{ is recognized by a TM with an even number of states}\} = \mathbb{P}_{\text{R.E.}}$

Observation. For any trivial property  $\mathbb{P}$ ,  $L_{\mathbb{P}}$  is decidable. (Why?) Then  $L_{\mathbb{P}} = \Sigma^*$  or  $L_{\mathbb{P}} = \emptyset$ . \_

## 1.2 Main Theorem

### Rice's Theorem

**Proposition 5.** *If  $\mathbb{P}$  is a non-trivial property, then  $L_{\mathbb{P}}$  is undecidable.*

- Thus  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid \mathbf{L}(M) \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is not decidable (unless  $\mathbb{P}$  is trivial)

We cannot algorithmically determine any interesting property of languages represented as Turing Machines!

---

### Properties of TMs

Note. Properties of TMs, as opposed to those of languages they accept, may or may not be decidable.

*Example 6.*

$\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ has 193 states}\}$	}	Decidable
$\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ uses at most 32 tape cells on blank input}\}$		
$\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ halts on blank input}\}$	}	Undecidable
$\{\langle M \rangle \mid \text{on input 0011 } M \text{ at some point writes the symbol \$ on its tape}\}$		

---

### Proof of Rice's Theorem

#### Rice's Theorem

If  $\mathbb{P}$  is a non-trivial property, then  $L_{\mathbb{P}}$  is undecidable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbb{P}$  non-trivial and  $\emptyset \notin \mathbb{P}$ . If  $\emptyset \in \mathbb{P}$ , then in the following we will be showing  $L_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}}$  is undecidable. Then  $L_{\mathbb{P}} = \overline{L_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}}}$  is also undecidable.

Recall  $L_{\mathbb{P}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid \mathbf{L}(M) \text{ satisfies } \mathbb{P}\}$ . We'll reduce  $A_{\text{TM}}$  to  $L_{\mathbb{P}}$ . Then, since  $A_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable,  $L_{\mathbb{P}}$  is also undecidable. Broadly the idea behind the reduction is as follows. Since  $\mathbb{P}$  is non-trivial, at least one r.e. language satisfies  $\mathbb{P}$ . i.e.,  $\mathbf{L}(M_0) \in \mathbb{P}$  for some TM  $M_0$ . We will show a reduction  $f$  that maps an instance  $\langle M, w \rangle$  for  $A_{\text{TM}}$ , to  $N$  such that

- If  $M$  accepts  $w$  then  $N$  accepts the same language as  $M_0$ . Then  $\mathbf{L}(M) = \mathbf{L}(M_0) \in \mathbb{P}$
- If  $M$  does not accept  $w$  then  $N$  accepts  $\emptyset$ . Then  $L(N) = \emptyset \notin \mathbb{P}$

Thus,  $\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{\text{TM}}$  iff  $N \in L_{\mathbb{P}}$ .

We now describe the reduction precisely. The reduction  $f$  maps  $\langle M, w \rangle$  to  $\langle N \rangle$ , where  $N$  is a TM that behaves as follows:

On input  $x$

Ignore the input and run  $M$  on  $w$

If  $M$  does not accept (or doesn't halt)

then do not accept  $x$  (or do not halt)

If  $M$  does accept  $w$

then run  $M_0$  on  $x$  and accept  $x$  iff  $M_0$  does.

Notice that indeed if  $M$  accepts  $w$  then  $\mathbf{L}(N) = \mathbf{L}(M_0)$ . Otherwise  $\mathbf{L}(N) = \emptyset$ . □

---

### Rice's Theorem

*Recap*

Every non-trivial property of r.e. languages is undecidable

- Rice's theorem says nothing about properties of Turing machines
  - Rice's theorem says nothing about whether a property of languages is recursively enumerable or not.
- 

### Big Picture ... again

