Unconstrained Optimization ND

What is the optimal solution? (ND)

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{x})$$

(First-order) Necessary condition

1D:
$$f'(x) = 0$$

(Second-order) Sufficient condition

1D: f''(x) > 0

Taking derivatives...

From linear algebra:

A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix **H** is **positive definite** if $y^T H y > 0$ for any $y \neq 0$

A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix **H** is **positive semi-definite** if $y^T H y \ge 0$ for any $y \ne 0$

A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix **H** is **negative definite** if $y^T H y < 0$ for any $y \neq 0$

A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix **H** is **negative semi-definite** if $y^T H y \leq 0$ for any $y \neq 0$

A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix H that is not negative semi-definite and not positive semi-definite is called **indefinite**

 $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{x})$ First order necessary condition: $\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{0}$ Second order sufficient condition: $H(\boldsymbol{x})$ is positive definite How can we find out if the Hessian is positive definite?

Types of optimization problems

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{x})$$

f: nonlinear, continuous and smooth

Gradient-free methods

Evaluate $f(\mathbf{x})$

Gradient (first-derivative) methods

Evaluate $f(\mathbf{x}), \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$

Second-derivative methods

Evaluate $f(\mathbf{x}), \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$

Example (ND)

Consider the function $f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1^3 + 4x_2^2 + 2x_2 - 24x_1$ Find the stationary point and check the sufficient condition

Optimization in ND: Steepest Descent Method

Given a function $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathcal{R}^n \to \mathcal{R}$ at a point \mathbf{x} , the function will decrease its value in the direction of steepest descent: $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$

What is the steepest descent direction?

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$$



Steepest Descent Method

Start with initial guess:

 $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Check the update:





Steepest Descent Method

Update the variable with: $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$

How far along the gradient should we go? What is the "best size" for α_k ?

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$$





Steepest Descent Method

Algorithm:

Initial guess: \boldsymbol{x}_0

Evaluate: $\boldsymbol{s}_k = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$

Perform a line search to obtain α_k (for example, Golden Section Search)

$$\alpha_k = \underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\boldsymbol{x}_k + \alpha \, \boldsymbol{s}_k)$$

Update: $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}_k$

Line Search

Example

Consider minimizing the function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 10(x_1)^3 - (x_2)^2 + x_1 - 1$$

Given the initial guess

$$x_1 = 2, x_2 = 2$$

what is the direction of the first step of gradient descent?

Newton's Method

Using Taylor Expansion, we build the approximation:

Newton's Method

Algorithm: Initial guess: \boldsymbol{x}_0

Solve:
$$H_f(x_k) s_k = -\nabla f(x_k)$$

Update: $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$

Note that the Hessian is related to the curvature and therefore contains the information about how large the step should be.



When using the Newton's Method to find the minimizer of this function, estimate the number of iterations it would take for convergence?

A) 1 B) 2-5 C) 5-10 D) More than 10 E) Depends on the initial guess

Newton's Method Summary

Algorithm: Initial guess: \boldsymbol{x}_0 Solve: $\boldsymbol{H}_f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \, \boldsymbol{s}_k = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$ Update: $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{s}_k$

About the method...

- Typical quadratic convergence 😇
- Need second derivatives \mathfrak{S}
- Local convergence (start guess close to solution)
- Works poorly when Hessian is nearly indefinite
- Cost per iteration: $O(n^3)$