## Linear System of Equations Conditioning

## the shower faucet

how they are:


## Numerical experiments

Input has uncertainties:

- Errors due to representation with finite precision
- Error in the sampling

Once you select your numerical method, how much error should you expect to see in your output?

Is your method sensitive to errors (perturbation) in the input?

$A x=b$
(1) Defining $A$ $(N \times N)$
(2) Start with a know exact solution:

$$
x_{\text {true }}=[1,1, \ldots, 1] \quad(\text { np.ones }(N))
$$

(3) Compute $b=A @ x_{\text {true }}$
(4) Solve

(5) Compute error $\left\|x_{\text {solve }}-x_{\text {true }}\right\|$

## Sensitivity of Solutions of Linear Systems

Suppose we start with a non-singular system of linear equations $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$.

We change the right-hand side vector $\boldsymbol{b}$ (input) by a small amount $\Delta \boldsymbol{b}$.

How much the solution $\boldsymbol{x}$ (output) changes, i.e., how large is $\Delta \boldsymbol{x}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall_{\text {Output Relative error }}=\overbrace{\frac{\pi \Delta x\|/\| x \|}{\text { Input Relative error }}}^{\|\Delta b\| /\|b\|}=\frac{\|\Delta x\|\|b\|}{\|\Delta b\|\|x\|} \\
& A x=b \rightarrow \text { exact } \\
& A \hat{x}=\hat{b} \rightarrow \text { pert } \\
& \hat{x}=x+\Delta x \quad \hat{b}=b+\Delta b \\
& A(x+\Delta x)=b+\Delta b \longrightarrow A \quad A x=\Delta b
\end{aligned}
$$

Sensitivity of Solutions of Linear Systems

## Sensitivity of Solutions of Linear Systems

 $\frac{\text { out }}{\text { inp }} \leq$

## Sensitivity of Solutions of Linear Systems

We can also add a perturbation to the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ (input) by a small amount $\boldsymbol{E}$, such that

$$
(A+E) \widehat{x}=b
$$

and in a similar way obtain:


## Condition number

The condition number is a measure of sensitivity of solving a linear system of equations to variations in the input.

The condition number of a matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ :

$$
\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A})=\left\|\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\right\|\|\boldsymbol{A}\|
$$

Recall that the induced matrix norm is given by

$$
\|A\|=\max _{\|x\|=1}\|A x\|_{p}
$$

And since the condition number is relative to a given norm, we should be precise and for example write:


Condition number
$A \rightarrow$ sing

$$
\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) \frac{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{b}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|}
$$

Small condition numbers mean not a lot of error amplification. Small condition numbers are good!

But how small?

$$
\operatorname{cond}(A)=\|A\|\left\|A^{-1}\right\|
$$

1) $\|x\|>0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{cond}(A)>0$
2) 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|X Y\| \leqslant\|X\|\|Y\| \rightarrow\left\|A A^{-1}\right\| \leqslant\|A\|\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \\
& \|I\|=\max _{\|\times\|=1}\|I x\|=1 \quad\|A\|\left\|A^{-1}\right\|>\|I\| \\
& \|A\|\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \geqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Condition number

$$
\frac{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{x}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|} \leq \operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) \frac{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{b}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|}
$$

Small condition numbers mean not a lot of error amplification. Small condition numbers are good!

Recall that

$$
\|I\|=\max _{\|x\|=1}\|I \boldsymbol{I}\|=1
$$

Which provides with a lower bound for the condition number:

$$
\operatorname{cond}(A)=\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\|A\| \geq\left\|A^{-1} A\right\|=\|I\|=1
$$

If $\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}$ does not exist, then $\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A})=\infty($ by convention $)$

## Recall Induced Matrix Norms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\boldsymbol{A}\|_{1}=\max _{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|A_{i j}\right| \quad \text { Maximum absolute column sum of the matrix } \boldsymbol{A} \\
& \|\boldsymbol{A}\|_{\infty}=\max _{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|A_{i j}\right| \quad \text { Maximum absolute row sum of the matrix } \boldsymbol{A} \\
& \|\boldsymbol{A}\|_{2}=\max _{k} \sigma_{k} \\
& \sigma_{k} \text { are the singular value of the matrix } \boldsymbol{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition Number of a Diagonal Matrix
What is the 2-norm-based condition number of the diagonal matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
100 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 13 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.5
\end{array}\right]}{\{100,13,0.5\}} \quad
\end{aligned} \quad A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
y / 100 & 1 / 13 & \\
& & 1 / 0.5
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Condition Number of Orthogonal Matrices

What is the 2 -norm condition number of an orthogonal matrix A ?

$$
\operatorname{cond}(A)=\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{2}\|A\|_{2}=\left\|A^{T}\right\|_{2}\|A\|_{2}=1
$$

That means orthogonal matrices have optimal conditioning.
They are very well-behaved in computation.


## About condition numbers

1. For any matrix $\boldsymbol{A}, \operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) \geq 1$
2. For the identity matrix $\boldsymbol{I}, \operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{I})=1$
3. For any matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ and a nonzero scalar $\gamma, \operatorname{cond}(\gamma \boldsymbol{A})=\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A})$
4. For any diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{D}, \operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{D})=\frac{\max \left|d_{i}\right|}{\min \left|d_{i}\right|}$
5. The condition number is a measure of how close a matrix is to being singular: a matrix with large condition number is nearly singular, whereas a matrix with a condition number close to 1 is far from being singular
6. The determinant of a matrix is NOT a good indicator is a matrix is near singularity

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=0 \rightarrow \operatorname{sing}
$$

## Residual versus error

Our goal is to find the solution $\boldsymbol{x}$ tb the linear system of equations $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$
Let us recall the solution of the perturbed problem

$$
\hat{x}=(x+\Delta x)
$$

which could be the solution of

$$
A \hat{x}=\underline{(b+\Delta b)}, \quad \underline{(A+E)} \widehat{x}=b, \quad \underline{(A+E)} \hat{x}=\underline{(b+\Delta b)}
$$

And the error vector as

$$
e=\Delta x=\hat{x}-x
$$

We can write the residual vector as

$$
r=b-A \widehat{x}
$$


$\underline{\text { Relative residual: } \frac{\|r\|}{\|A\|\|x\|}}$
(How well the solution satisfies the problem)

(How close the approximated solution is from the exact one)

## Residual versus error

It is possible to show that the residual satisfy the following inequality:


Where $c$ is "large" constant when LU/Gaussian elimination is performed without pivoting and "small" with partial pivoting.

Therefore, Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting yields small relative residual regardless of conditioning of the system.

When solving a system of linear equations via LU with partial pivoting, the relative residual is guaranteed to be small!

Residual versus error $\quad A x=b \Rightarrow x=A^{-1} b$

Let us first obtain the norm of the error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\Delta x\|=\|\hat{x}-x\|=\|\underbrace{A^{-1} A} \hat{x}-A^{-1} b\|=\| A^{-1} \underbrace{(A \hat{x}-b) \|}_{r} \\
& \frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|}=\underbrace{\left\|A^{-1} r\right\|} \leqslant \frac{\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\|r\|}{\|x\|} \\
& \frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leqslant \underbrace{\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\|A\|}_{\operatorname{cond}(A)}\| \| r \| \\
& \|x\|\|A\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leqslant \operatorname{cond}(A) \frac{\|r\|}{\|x\|\|A\|}
$$

## Rule of thumb for conditioning

Suppose we want to find the solution $\boldsymbol{x}$ to the linear system of equations
$\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ using LU factorization with partial pivoting and backward/forward substitutions.

Suppose we compute the solution $\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}$.
If the entries in $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ are accurate to S gecimal digits, and $\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A})=10^{\omega \gamma}$,
$\int_{e_{r}}=\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leqslant \operatorname{cond}(A) \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}$
then the elements of the solution vector $\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ will be accurate to about


