Linear System of Equations -Conditioning

the shower faucet

how they are:

Mogno

useful shower temperatures

cold

off, if you push really hard

ø

how they should be:







Numerical experiments

Input has uncertainties:

- Errors due to representation with finite precision
- Error in the sampling

Once you select your numerical method , how much error should you expect to see in your **output?**

Is your method sensitive to errors (perturbation) in the input?

Demo "HilbertMatrix-ConditionNumber"







Sensitivity of Solutions of Linear Systems

We can also add a perturbation to the matrix A (input) by a small amount E, such that

 $(A+E) \hat{x} = (b)$

and in a similar way obtain:



Condition number

The condition number is a measure of sensitivity of solving a linear system of equations to variations in the input.

The condition number of a matrix **A**:

 $cond(A) = ||A^{-1}|| ||A||$

Recall that the induced matrix norm is given by

$$||A|| = \max_{||x||=1} ||Ax||_{||x||=1}$$

And since the condition number is relative to a given norm, we should be precise and for example write:

$$cond_2(A)$$
 or $cond_{\infty}(A)$
Demo "HilbertMatrix-ConditionNumber"

 $A \rightarrow sing$ **Condition number** cond(A $\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq cond(A) \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}$ Small condition numbers mean not a lot of error amplification. Small condition numbers are good! **But how small?** $Cond(A) = ||A|| ||A^{-1}||$ ||A|| ||A⁻¹||> ||I|| | || A || || A⁻ || > 1 $\|I\| = \max_{\|X\|=1} \|IX\| = 1$

Condition number

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq cond(A) \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}$$

Small condition numbers mean not a lot of error amplification. Small condition numbers are good!

Recall that

$$\|I\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|I\| \|X\| = 1$$

Which provides with a lower bound for the condition number:

 $cond(A) = ||A^{-1}|| ||A|| \ge ||A^{-1}A|| = ||I|| = 1$

If A^{-1} does not exist, then $cond(A) = \infty$ (by convention)

Recall Induced Matrix Norms



 $\|A\|_{\infty} = \max_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |A_{ij}|$ Maximum absolute row sum of the matrix A

$$\|\boldsymbol{A}\|_2 = \max_k \sigma_k$$

 σ_k are the singular value of the matrix A

Condition Number of a Diagonal Matrix

What is the 2-norm-based condition number of the diagonal matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 13 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}? \qquad A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 13 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}?$$

$$\frac{1}{100} \cdot \frac{1}{13} \cdot \frac{1}{0.5} \frac{1}{5}$$

$$\frac{1}{100} \cdot \frac{1}{13} \cdot \frac{1}{0.5} \frac{1}{5}$$

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{2} = 100$$

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{2} = 2$$

$$\text{cond}(A) = 100 \times 2 = 200$$

Condition Number of Orthogonal Matrices

What is the 2-norm condition number of an orthogonal matrix A?

$$cond(A) = ||A^{-1}||_2 ||A||_2 = ||A^T||_2 ||A||_2 = 1$$

That means orthogonal matrices have optimal conditioning.

They are very well-behaved in computation.

cond(A) { small
$$\rightarrow$$
 well-conditioned
large \rightarrow ill-conditioned

About condition numbers

- 1. For any matrix A, $cond(A) \ge 1$
- 2. For the identity matrix I, cond(I) = 1
- 3. For any matrix **A** and a nonzero scalar γ , $cond(\gamma A) = cond(A)$
- 4. For any diagonal matrix D, cond(D) =
- 5. The condition number is a measure of how close a matrix is to being singular: a matrix with large condition number is nearly singular, whereas a matrix with a condition number close to 1 is far from being singular

 $max|d_i|$

 $\min |d_i|$

6. The determinant of a matrix is NOT a good indicator is a matrix is near singularity
 6. The determinant of a matrix is NOT a good indicator is a matrix is near singularity

Residual versus error

Our goal is to find the solution x to the linear system of equations A x = b

Let us recall the solution of the perturbed problem

which could be the solution of

$$A \hat{x} = (b + \Delta b), \qquad (A + E)\hat{x} = b, \qquad (A + E)\hat{x} = (b + \Delta b)$$

 $\widehat{x} = (x + \Delta x)$

And the error vector as

$$e = \Delta x = \hat{x} - x$$

We can write the **residual vector** as $r = b - A \hat{x}$



Residual versus error

It is possible to show that the residual satisfy the following inequality:



Where *c* is "large" constant when LU/Gaussian elimination is performed without pivoting and "small" with partial pivoting.

Therefore, Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting yields small relative residual regardless of conditioning of the system.

When solving a system of linear equations via LU with partial pivoting, the relative residual is guaranteed to be small!

Residual versus error

 $A \times = b \implies \times = A^{-1}b$

Let us first obtain the norm of the error: $\|\Delta X\| = \|\hat{X} - X\| = \|\underline{A}^{-1}A\hat{X} - A^{-1}b\| = \|A^{-1}(\underline{A}\hat{X} - b)\|$ $\frac{\|\Delta \times \|}{\| \times \|} = \frac{\|A^{-}r\|}{\| \times \|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-}\|\|r\|}{\| \times \|} \frac{\|A\|}{\|A\|}$ $\frac{\|\Delta \times \|}{\|\times \|} \leq \frac{\|A^{'}\|\|A\|}{\|A\|} \frac{\|\Gamma\|}{\|X\|}$ ILAXIE & cond(A) Irl 1/1/1/X ||×||

Rule of thumb for conditioning

Suppose we want to find the solution x to the linear system of equations A x = b using LU factorization with partial pivoting and backward/forward substitutions.

