Deadlock

CS 241

March 14, 2012

University of Illinois

Slides adapted in part from material accompanying Bryant & O'Hallaron, "Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective", 2/E

Today

Condition Variables (reminder)

• Reader-Writer Problem: a better solution

Deadlock

• Dining Philosophers Problem

Condition Variables (Reminder)

Synchronization primitives

Mutex locks

- Used for exclusive access to a shared resource (critical section)
- Operations: Lock, unlock

Sempahores

- Generalization of mutexes: Count number of available "resources"
- Wait for an available resource (decrement), notify availability (increment)
- Example: wait for free buffer space, signal more buffer space

Condition variables

- Represent an arbitrary event
- Operations: Wait for event, signal occurrence of event
- Tied to a mutex for mutual exclusion

Condition variables

Goal: Wait for a specific event to happen

• Event depends on state shared with multiple threads

Solution: condition variables

- "Names" an event
- Internally, is a queue of threads waiting for the event

Basic operations

- Wait for event
- Signal occurrence of event to one waiting thread
- Signal occurrence of event to all waiting threads

Signaling, not mutual exclusion

• Condition variable is intimately tied to a mutex

Readers-Writers with Condition Variables

Readers-Writers Problem

Generalization of the mutual exclusion problem

Problem statement:

- Reader threads only read the object
- Writer threads modify the object
- Writers must have exclusive access to the object
- Unlimited number of readers can access the object

Thread I		Reader	Writer
	Reader	OK	No
	Writer	No	No

Thread 2

Recall: Semaphore solution

Shared:

int readcnt; /* Initially = 0 */
sem_t mutex, w; /* Both initially = 1 */

Writers:

```
void writer(void)
{
    while (1) {
        sem_wait(&w);
        /* Critical section */
        /* Writing here */
        sem_post(&w);
    }
}
```

Recall: Semaphore solution

Readers:

```
void reader(void)
{
    while (1) {
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        readcnt++;
        if (readcnt == 1) /* First reader in */
            sem_wait(&w); /* Lock out writers */
        sem_post(&mutex);
        /* Main critical section */
        /* Reading would happen here */
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        readcnt--;
        if (readcnt == 0) /* Last out */
            sem_post(&w); /* Let in writers */
        sem_post(&mutex);
    }
```

(full code online)

Condition variable solution

Idea:

- If it's safe, just go ahead and read or write
- Otherwise, wait for my "turn"

```
Initialization:
```

```
/* Global variables */
pthread_mutex_t m;
pthread_cond_t turn; /* Event: it's our turn */
int writing;
int reading;
void init(void) {
    pthread_mutex_init(&m, NULL);
    pthread_cond_init(&turn, NULL);
    reading = 0;
    writing = 0;
}
```

Condition variable solution

{

}

```
void reader(void)
Ł
    mutex_lock(&m);
    while (writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    reading++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Reading here */
    mutex_lock(&m);
    reading--;
    cond_signal(&turn);
```

mutex_unlock(&m);

}

```
void writer(void)
    mutex_lock(&m);
    while (reading || writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    writing++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Writing here */
    mutex_lock(&m);
    writing--;
    cond_signal(&turn);
    mutex_unlock(&m);
```

(Note: "pthread_" prefix removed from all synchronization calls for compactness)

Familiar problem: Starvation

```
void reader(void)
{
    mutex_lock(&m);
    while (writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    reading++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Reading here */
    mutex_lock(&m);
    reading--;
    cond_signal(&turn);
    mutex_unlock(&m);
}
```

```
void writer(void)
{
    mutex_lock(&m);
    while (reading || writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    writing++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Writing here */
    mutex_lock(&m);
    writing--;
    cond_signal(&turn);
    mutex_unlock(&m);
```

}

(Note: "pthread_" prefix removed from all synchronization calls for compactness)

Idea: take turns

If a writer is waiting, then reader should wait its turn

• Even if it's safe to proceed (only readers are in critical section)

Requires keeping track of waiting writers

```
/* Global variables */
pthread_mutex_t m;
pthread_cond_t turn; /* Event: someone else's turn */
int reading;
int writing;
int writers;
void init(void) {
    pthread_mutex_init(&m, NULL);
    pthread_cond_init(&turn, NULL);
    reading = 0;
    writing = 0;
    writers = 0;
}
```

Taking turns

```
void reader(void)
{
    mutex_lock(&m);
    if (writers)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    while (writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    reading++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Reading here */
```

```
mutex_lock(&m);
reading--;
cond_signal(&turn);
mutex_unlock(&m);
```

}

```
void writer(void)
Ł
    mutex_lock(&m);
    writers++;
    while (reading || writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    writing++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Writing here */
    mutex_lock(&m);
    writing--;
    writers--;
    cond_signal(&turn);
    mutex_unlock(&m);
}
```

Another problem :-(

```
void reader(void)
                                      void writer(void)
Ł
                                      Ł
    mutex_lock(&m);
    if (writers)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    while (writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    reading++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Reading here */
                                          mutex_lock(&m);
                                          writing--;
    mutex_lock(&m);
                                          writers--;
    reading--;
    cond_signal(&turn);
                                          cond_signal(&turn);
                                          mutex_unlock(&m);
    mutex_unlock(&m);
}
          Only unblocks one thread at a time;
          Inefficient if many readers are waiting
```

```
mutex_lock(&m);
writers++;
while (reading || writing)
    cond_wait(&turn, &m);
writing++;
mutex_unlock(&m);
/* Writing here */
```

```
15
```

Easy solution: Wake everyone

```
void reader(void)
{
    mutex_lock(&m);
    if (writers)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    while (writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    reading++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Reading here */
```

```
mutex_lock(&m);
reading--;
cond_broadcast(&turn);
mutex_unlock(&m);
```

```
void writer(void)
{
    mutex_lock(&m);
    writers++;
    while (reading || writing)
        cond_wait(&turn, &m);
    writing++;
    mutex_unlock(&m);
    /* Writing here */
    mutex_lock(&m);
    writing--;
    writers--;
    cond_broadcast(&turn);
    mutex_unlock(&m);
}
```

The Dining Philosophers Problem

Dining Philosophers



Dining Philosophers

N philosophers and N forks

Philosophers eat, think

Eating needs 2 forks

Pick up one fork at a time

Each fork used by one person at a time



Dining Philosophers: Take 1

```
# define N 5
void philosopher (int i) {
   while (TRUE) {
      think();
      lock fork(i);
      lock fork((i+1)%N);
      eat(); /* yummy */
      unlock fork(i);
                                 Does this work?
      unlock fork((i+1)%N);
  }
}
```

Dining Philosophers: Take 1

```
# define N 5
void philosopher (int i) {
  while (TRUE) {
     think();
                                   DEADLOCK
lock fork((i+1)%N);
     eat(); /* yummy */
     unlock fork(i);
     unlock fork((i+1)%N);
  }
}
```

Reminder: process diagram



Represents state of system (position of each of the two threads in their executions)

Instructions in critical sections (wrt to some shared variable) should not be interleaved

Sets of states where such interleaving occurs form **unsafe regions**

Reminder: process diagram



Reminder: process diagram

Thread 2



Mutexes provide mutually exclusive access to shared variable by surrounding critical section with *wait* and *post* operations on semaphore s (initially set to 1)

Semaphore invariant creates a **forbidden region**

that encloses the unsafe region that must not be entered by any trajectory.

Thread I

Two shared resources



Two shared resources



Any trajectory that enters the *deadlock region* will eventually reach the *deadlock state*, waiting for either f_0 or f_1 to become nonzero

Other trajectories luck out and skirt the deadlock region

Unfortunate fact: deadlock is often nondeterministic (race)

Deadlock: definition

There exists a cycle of processes such that each process cannot proceed until the next process takes some specific action.

Result: all processes in the cycle are stuck!

Example:

- PI holds resource RI & is waiting to acquire R2 before unlocking them
- P2 holds resource R2 & is waiting to acquire R1 before unlocking them

Resource allocation graphs

Nodes

- Circle: Processes
- Square: Resources

Arcs

- From resource to process = resource assigned to process
- From process to resource = process requests (and is waiting for) resource



Resource allocation graphs

Nodes

- Circle: Processes
- Square: Resources

Deadlock

 Processes P1 and P2 are in deadlock over resources R1 and r2





If we use the trivial broken "solution"...

One node per philosopher

One node per fork

Everyone tries to pick up left fork

• Result: Request edges



If we use the trivial broken "solution"...

One node per philosopher

One node per fork

Everyone tries to pick up left fork

- Result: Request edges
- Everyone succeeds!



If we use the trivial broken "solution"...

One node per philosopher

One node per fork

Everyone tries to pick up left fork

- Result: Request edges
- Everyone succeeds!
- Result: Assignment edges



Everyone tries to pick up left fork

- Result: Request edges
- Everyone succeeds!
- Result: Assignment edges

Everyone tries to pick up right fork

• Result: Request edges



Everyone tries to pick up left fork

- Result: Request edges
- Everyone succeeds!
- Result: Assignment edges

Everyone tries to pick up right fork

- Result: Request edges
- DEADLOCK



Idea: change the order



Idea: change the order



Summary

Deadlock

- Cycle of processes / threads, each waiting on the next
- Modeled by cycle in resource allocation graph
- Often nondeterministic, tricky to debug

Next: dealing with deadlocks

- "change the order" was a nice trick... but why did it work?
- Is there a simple technique that will work always?
- Are there other ways of avoiding deadlocks?