### **Process Scheduling**



### **Process Scheduling**

 Deciding which process/thread should occupy the resource (CPU, disk, etc)



Copyright ©: University of Illinois CS 241 Staff

### In this lecture

- Context: The scheduling problem
- Objectives
- Algorithms
- Conclusion

### Where scheduling fits



### Where scheduling fits



### The basic scheduling decision



- Given a set of ready processes
  - Which one should I run next?
  - How long should it run?
  - ...for each resource (CPU, disk, ...)
- Same underlying concepts apply to scheduling processes or threads
  - or picking packets to send in routers!
  - o or scheduling jobs in physical factories!







#### Time

Is this a good schedule?



### Scheduling is not clear-cut

- Could I have done better? Depends!
  - Was some job very high priority?
  - Did I know when processes were arriving?
  - What's the context switch time?
  - What's my objective -- fairness, finish jobs quickly, meet deadlines for certain jobs, ...?
  - 0 ...
- General-purpose OSes try to perform pretty well for the common case
  - Is this good enough to fly an airplane?
  - Special purpose (e.g., "real-time") scheduling exists

## High-level objectives

Objective		
Fairness	Equitable shares of resource	
Priority	Allocate to most important first	
Efficiency	Make best use of equipment	
Encourage good behavior	Can't take advantage of the system	
Support heavy loads	Degrade gracefully	
Adapting to different environments	Interactive, real-time, multi-media	

## Quantitative objectives

Objective			
Fairness	Processes get close to equal shares of the CPU		
Efficiency	Keep resources as busy as possible		
Throughput	Number of processes that complete per unit time		
Waiting Time	Time a process spends waiting in kernel's ready queue		
Turnaround Time	Time from process start to its completion		
Response Time	Amount of time from when a request was first submitted until first response is produced		



### Workloads

#### I/O-Bound

- Does too much I/O to keep CPU busy
- e.g., interactive shell
- CPU-Bound
  - Does too much computation to keep I/O busy
  - o e.g., a process sorting a million-entry array in RAM
- We should take advantage of these differences!
  - Scheduling should load balance between I/O-bound and CPU-bound processes
  - Ideal would be to run all equipment (CPU, devices) at 100% utilization



### Scheduling Algorithms

#### Non-preemptive: batch systems

- Running process keeps CPU until it voluntarily gives it up
  - Process exits
  - Switches to blocked state
- First come first serve (FCFS)
- Shortest job first (SJF) (also preemptive version)
- Preemptive: interactive systems
  - Running process is **forced** to give up CPU
    - Via interrupts or signals (we'll see these later)
  - Round robin
  - Priority

These are some of the important ones to know, not a comprehensive list!



# Which transitions are preemptive?



### First Come First Serve (FCFS)

- Process that requests the CPU first is allocated the CPU first
  - Also called FIFO
- Non-preemptive
  - Used in batch systems
- Implementation
  - FIFO queues

- iii
- A new process enters the tail of the queue
- The scheduler selects next process to run from the head of the queue



### FCFS Example

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	24	1	0
P2	3	2	3
P3	4	3	7



P1 waiting time:P2 waiting time:P3 waiting time:

The average waiting time:

### FCFS Example

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P2	24	2	3
P1	3	1	0
P3	4	3	7

What if the arrival times of P1 and P2 are swapped?



P1 waiting time: P2 waiting time: P3 waiting time:

The average waiting time:

### Problems with FCFS

- Non-preemptive
- Not optimal AWT
- Cannot utilize resources in parallel
  - Assume 1 process CPU bound and many I/O bound processes
- Result
  - Waiting time depends on arrival order
  - Potentially long wait for jobs that arrive later
  - Convoy effect, low CPU and I/O Device utilization

### Convoy effect – Low I/O

Jobs 1,2: a msec of CPU, lots of disk Job 3: a sec of CPU, then a disk read



## Convoy effect – Low CPU

Many jobs: a msec of CPU, lots of disk Job 3: a sec of CPU, then a disk read



### Shortest Job First (SJF)

- Job with shortest CPU time goes first
  - Often used in batch systems
- Two types
  - Non-preemptive
  - Preemptive

## Non-preemptive SJF: Example

	Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
	P1	6	1	0
	P2	8	2	0
	P3	7	3	0
	P4	3	4	0
	P4 (3) F	P1 (6)	P3 (7)	P2 (8)
0	3	9		16

P1 waiting time: P2 waiting time:

P3 waiting time: P4 waiting time: Total waiting time = Average waiting time =



## Compare to FCFS

ſ	Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time	7
f	P1	6	1	0	1
ľ	P2	8	2	0	
ſ	P3	7	3	0	
	P4	3	4	0	
	P1 (6)	P2 (8)		P3 (7) P4	4 (3)
0	P4 (3) F	6 P1 (6)	14 P3 (7)	21 P2 (8)	24
0	3	9		16	24
F	P1 waiting time:				

P1 waiting time:P2 waiting time:P3 waiting time:P4 waiting time:

Total waiting time = Average waiting time =

Copyright ©: University of Illinois CS 241 Staff



### Non-preemptive SJF

#### Advantages

- Provably optimal for minimizing average wait time
  - Moving shorter job before longer job improves waiting time of short job more than it harms waiting time of long job
- Helps keep I/O devices busy

#### Disadvantages

- Not practical: Cannot predict future CPU burst time
  - OS solution: Use past behavior to predict future behavior
- Starvation: Long jobs may never be scheduled

### **Preemptive SJF**

#### Algorithm

- Job with least remaining time to completion runs
- So, a new job that is shorter than remainder of running job preempts it

#### Advantages

- Similar to non-preemptive SJF
- Provably minimal average wait time
  - Moving shorter job before longer job improves waiting time of short job more than it harms waiting time of long job

### **Preemptive SJF**

- Starvation again
  - A long job keeps getting preempted by shorter ones
  - Example
    - Process A with CPU time of 1 hour arrives at time 0
    - Every 1 minute, a short process with CPU time of 2 minutes arrives
    - What happens to A?
      - A never gets to run
- What's the difference between starvation and deadlock?



### Starvation vs. Deadlock



(b)

#### Unlucky job unlikely to make progress

No hope of progress for anyone!

(b)



### Interactive Scheduling

#### Usually preemptive

- Time is sliced into quanta, i.e., time intervals
- Scheduling decisions are made at the beginning of each quantum
- Performance Metrics
  - Average response time
  - Fairness (or proportional resource allocation)
- Representative algorithms
  - Round-robin
  - Priority scheduling

### Round-robin

- One of the oldest, simplest, most commonly used scheduling algorithms
- Select process/thread from ready queue in a round-robin fashion (i.e., take turns)



Time

#### Problems

- Might want some jobs to have greater share
- Context switch overhead



### Round-robin: Example

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	3	1	0
P2	4	2	0
P3	3	3	0

Suppose time quantum is 1 unit and P1, P2 & P3 never block

#### P1 P2 P3 P1 P2 P3 P1 P2 P3 P2

0

#### 10

P1 waiting time: P2 waiting time: P3 waiting time:

### The average waiting time (AWT):

Copyright ©: University of Illinois CS 241 Staff

### **Round-robin**

#### Advantages

- Jobs get fair share of CPU
- Shortest jobs finish relatively quickly
- Disadvantages
  - Poor average waiting time with similar job lengths
    - Example: 10 jobs each requiring 10 time slices
    - RR: All complete after about 100 time slices
    - FCFS performs better!
  - Performance depends on length of time quantum

### **Priority Scheduling**

- Rationale: higher priority jobs are more mission-critical
  - Example: DVD movie player vs. send email
- Each job is assigned a priority
- Select highest priority runnable job
   FCFS or Round Robin to break ties
- Problems
  - May not give the best AWT
  - Starvation of lower priority processes





### Priority Scheduling: Example

(Lower priority number is preferable)

Process	Duration	Priority	Arrival Time
P1	6	4	0
P2	8	1	0
P3	7	3	0
P4	3	2	0
P2 (8)	P4 (3)	P3 (7)	P1 (6)
	8 11		18

P1 waiting time:P2 waiting time:P3 waiting time:P4 waiting time:

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

The average waiting time (AWT):

### Setting priorities: nice

#### nice [OPTION] [COMMAND [ARG]...]

- Run **COMMAND** with an adjusted niceness
- With no **COMMAND**, print the current niceness.
- Nicenesses range from -20 (most favorable scheduling) to 19 (least favorable).

#### Options

- o -n, --adjustment=N
  - add integer N to the niceness (default 10)
- o --help
  - display this help and exit
- o --version
  - output version information and exit

### Setting priorities in C

```
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <sys/resource.h>
int getpriority(int which, int who);
int setpriority(int which, int who, int prio);
```

- Access scheduling priority of process, process group, or user
- Returns:
  - **setpriority()** returns 0 if there is no error, or -1 if there is  $\bigcirc$
  - getpriority() can return the value -1, so it is necessary to Ο clear errno prior to the call, then check it afterwards to determine if a -1 is an error or a legitimate value
- Parameters:
  - which  $\bigcirc$ 
    - PRIO PROCESS, PRIO PGRP, or PRIO USER
  - who  $\bigcirc$ 
    - A process identifier for PRIO\_PROCESS, a process group identifier for PRIO PGRP, or a user ID for PRIO USER



### Choosing the time quantum

- How should we choose the time quantum?
- Time quantum too large
  - FIFO behavior
  - Poor response time



- Time quantum too small
  - Too many context switches (overhead)
  - Inefficient CPU utilization



## Choosing the time quantum



General strategy: set quantum somewhere in the middle



### Choosing the time quantum

#### Depends on

- Priorities, architecture, etc.
- Typical quantum: 10-100 ms
  - Large enough that overhead is small percentage
  - Small enough to give illusion of concurrency
  - e.g., **linux.ews.illinois.edu**: 99.98 ms quantum using round-robin
- Questions
  - Does 100 ms matter? (how long is this in practical terms?)
  - Does this mean all processes wait 100 ms to run?



### Issues to remember

- Why doesn't scheduling have one easy solution?
- What are the pros and cons of each scheduling policy?
- How does this matter when you're writing multiprocess/multithreaded code?
  - Can't make assumptions about when your process will be running relative to others!