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## Graph vocabulary

Graph vocabulary
graph $G$ is a tuple of a set of vertices $V$, and a set of edges $E$


$$
G=(V, E)
$$

|V| = n //number of vertices $|E|=m / / n u m b e r$ of edges
$\mathrm{G}_{3}$

Graph vocabulary
Ve identify an edge by stating two vertices it connects. Incident edges $\rightarrow$ all edges that touch that node - $I(v)=\{\{x, v\}$ in $E\}$

cident edges for $V$ are $(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{s}),(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{t}),(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w})$
§raph vocabulary
Degree $\rightarrow$ the number of incident edges.

- Degree (v) $=|I(v)|$

egree(v) $=3$


## traph vocabulary

Adjacent vertex $\rightarrow$ a vertex at the other end of the incident edge.

- $A(v)=\{x:(x, v)$ in $E\}$

$A(v)=\{s, w, t\}$


## raph vocabulary

Path $\rightarrow$ a sequence of vertices connected by edges.


Path from $q$ to $t$ is: $\{\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{t}\}$

## fraph vocabulary

Cycle $\rightarrow$ a path with common beginning and end.


## raph vocabulary

Simple Graph $\rightarrow$ A graph with no self loops and multi-edges


Self loop


Multi-edges

## traph vocabulary

- Subgraph $\rightarrow$ any subset of vertices such that every edge in the subgraph implies that both vertices that are incident to that edge are part of that graph



## Subgraph(G):

$\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ :
$V^{\prime} \in V, E^{\prime} \in E$, and
$(u, v) \in E \rightarrow u \in V^{\prime}, v \in V^{\prime}$
$\checkmark$ G1 G2, G3 and G4 are subgraphs of G
$\checkmark$ G4 is also a subgraph of G2

Fraph vocabulary
Complete subgraph: every two distinct vertices are adjacent.


## Graph vocabulary

Connected subgraph: there is a path between every two vertices in the graph.


## raph vocabulary

Connected component: a connected subgraph where non-of the vertices are connected to the rest of the graph.


G1, G2 and G3 are connected components.

## Properties of Graph

## Properties of Graph

inning times are often reported by n (the number of vertices) but often pend on $m$ (the number of edges).

Minimum number of edges (m):

- Not Connected: m=0
- Connected: $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}-1$


Example 1.


Example 2.

## Properties of Graph Maximum edges ( m ):

- Not simple: $\mathbf{m}=\infty$, since we can have multiple edges between vertices.
- Simple: $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$



## Properties of Graph

um of all degrees of all vertices:

$$
\sum_{v \in V} \operatorname{deg}(v)=2 * m
$$


$\sum_{v \in V} \operatorname{deg}(v)=2$

$$
\sum_{v \in V} \operatorname{deg}(v)=6
$$

## Graph ADT

## braph ADT

Data:
Vertices
Edges
Some data structure maintaining the structure between vertices and edges.


Functions:

- insertVertex(K key);
- insertEdge(Vertex v1, Vertex v2, K key);
- removeVertex(Vertex v);
- removeEdge(Vertex v1, Vertex v2);
- incidentEdges(Vertex v);
- areAdjacent(Vertex v1, Vertex v2);


## Graph Implementation: Edge List



Vertex Collection:

- Hash table: find, insert and remove takes O(1) time

Edge Collection:

- Linked list


## Graph Implementation: Edge List

Given we use list for edges, what is the running time of insertVertex and removeVertex?

- insertVertex take $O(1)$ time, since inserting into hash table takes O(1) time.
- removeVertex - means removing vertex from hash table and removing corresponding edges from the list. Running time will be: $\mathrm{O}(1)+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})$


## Graph Implementation: Edge List



The relationship between number of nodes and the number of edges can be $n^{2}$; which means that $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})$ could in fact be $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix



Space complexity $\boldsymbol{O}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{2}\right)$


|  | $u$ | $v$ | $w$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{u}$ | - | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{v}$ |  | - | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{w}$ |  |  | - | 1 |
| $\mathbf{z}$ |  |  |  | - |

## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 insertVertex(Vertex v):

- Add to the hash table: $\mathbf{O}(1)$
- Add to adj. matrix (resize once in $\boldsymbol{n}$ element):

$$
\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})^{*}=\frac{o\left(n^{2}\right)}{n}
$$



## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 insertVertex(y):

- Add to the hash table: $\mathbf{O}(1)$
- Add to adj. matrix (resize once in n element):
$\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})^{*}=\frac{O\left(n^{2}\right)}{n}$



## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 removeVertex(Vertex v) $-O(n)$ :

- Remove from the hash table: O(1)
- Removing edges:
- $O(n)$ to check elements in row \& column and if pointer exist remove the edge ( $0(1)$ for each) O(n)



## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 removeVertex(Vertex v) - O(n):

- Remove from the hash table: O(1)
- Removing edges:
- $O(n)$ to check elements in row \& column and if pointer exist remove the edge (O(1) for each remove) - O(n)
- Repair structure of the table - ...



## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 removeVertex(Vertex v) - O(n):

- Remove from the hash table: O(1)
- Removing edges:
- $O(n)$ to check elements in row \& column and if pointer exist remove the edge (O(1) for each remove) - O(n)
- Repair structure of the table - $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$



## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 incidentEdges(Vertex v) - O(n):

- Run through row/col $\rightarrow 2 n \equiv O(n)$



## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 areAdjacent(Vertex v1, Vertex v2) - O(1): - Check the specific element in the adj. matrix O(1)


## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 insertEdge(Vertex v1, Vertex v2, K key) - O(1):

- Add edge to the edge list - $0(1)$
- update the pointer for the edge in adj. matrix O(1)



## Graph Implementation: Adjacency Matrix

 insertEdge(u, z, key) - O(1):

- Add edge to the edge list - O(1)
- update the pointer for the edge in adj. matrix O(1)



## Adjacency Matrix



Key Ideas:

- Given a vertex, O(1) lookup in vertex list
- Given a pair of vertices (an edge), O(1) lookup in the matrix
- Undirected graphs can use an upper triangular matrix



## Adjacency List



## Key ideas:

-Given a vertex, O(1) lookup in vertex list;
-Vertex list maintains a count of incident edges, or deg(v);
-Vertex list contains a doublylinked adjacency list;
-O(1) access to the adjacent vertex's node in adjacency list (via the edge list);
-Many operations run in
$\mathrm{O}(\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{v})$ ), and $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{v}) \leq \mathrm{n}-1, \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$.

Adjacency List insertVertex(K key) - O(1):


## Adjacency List removeVertex(Vertex v)-O(deg(v)):

- Remove v from the hash table: O(1)


Adjacency List

incidentEdges(Vertex v) - O(1):

- List of the incident edges already exists for each vertex $v$ and it has deg(v) elements but we can return a pointer to the front of the list.

Adjacency List areAdjacent(Vertex v1, Vertex v2) $O(\min (\operatorname{deg}(v 1), \operatorname{deg}(v 2)))$


To check adjacent nodes, we need to go through incident edges of one of the vertices:

- Choose the vertex with smaller list:


Adjacency List insertEdge(Vertex v1, Vertex v2, K key) - O(1) - insert edge in edge list: $\mathrm{O}(1)$


- Find v1 in hashtable and insert edge in v1's linked list: $\mathrm{O}(1)$
- Find v2 in hashtable and insert edge v2's linked list: $\mathrm{O}(1)$

etter running time: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ or $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})$ ?


There is no clear winner!

| Expressed as O(f) | Edge List | Adjacency Matrix | Adjacency List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space | n+m | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | n+m |
| insertVertex(v) | 1 | n | 1 |
| removeVertex(v) | m | n | $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| insertEdge(v, w, k) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| removeEdge(v, w) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| incidentEdges(v) | m | n | deg(v) |
| areAdjacent(v, w) | m | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \min (\operatorname{deg}(v), \\ \operatorname{deg}(w)) \end{gathered}$ |


| Expressed as O(f) | Edge List | Adjacency Matrix | Adjacency List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space | n+m | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | n+m |
| insertVertex(v) | 1 (); | n | 1 () |
| removeVertex(v) | m | $n$ | $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{v})$ () |
| insertEdge(v, w, k) | 1 () | 1 () | 1 () |
| removeEdge(v, w) | 1 () | 1 () | 1 () |
| incidentEdges(v) | m | n | $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{v})$ () |
| areAdjacent(v, w) | m | 1 () | $\begin{gathered} \min (\operatorname{deg}(v), \\ \operatorname{deg}(w)) \end{gathered}$ |

## Use cases:

## Sparse graphs

The graph is not connected $\rightarrow$

$$
m<n \Rightarrow \operatorname{deg}(v)<n
$$

Advantage to use: adjacency list

## Dense graphs

The graph is almost fully connected $\rightarrow$

$$
m \sim n^{2}, \quad \text { degree }(\mathrm{v}) \sim \mathrm{n}
$$

We can use either adjacency list or adjacency matrix.
It depends on the operations we need (areAdjacent or insertVertex).

## raversal:

Objective: Visit every vertex and every edge in the graph.
Purpose: Search for interesting sub-structures in the graph.
Tree traversal vs Graph traversal


- Ordered
- Obvious Start
- Notion of doneness

- Any order
- Arb. Starting point
- No notion of completeness


## BFS

$\checkmark$ Breadth-first search (BFS) is an algorithm for traversing or searching tree or graph data structures.
$\checkmark$ It starts from some arbitrary node of a graph and explores all the neighbor nodes at the present depth prior to moving on to the nodes at the next depth level.


## Algorithm setup:

Label each edge:

- Discovery edge (bolded) or
- Cross edge (dashed)

Table of vertices with following features:

- Vertex name - key
- Boolean flag - visited
- Distance to the vertex
- Predecessor
- List of adjacent vertices
- Queue


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  |  |  | C B D |
| B |  |  |  | A E C |
| C |  |  |  | A B D E F |
| D |  |  |  | A C F H |
| E |  |  |  | B C G |
| F |  |  |  | C D G |
| G |  |  |  | E F H |
| H |  |  |  | D G |

$>$ Chose a starting point, add it to the queue, set its visited flag in the table, set distance value to 0 , and predecessor value to null.
ting point - A


Queue

| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B |  |  |  | A E C |
| C |  |  |  | A B D E F |
| D |  |  |  | A C F H |
| E |  |  |  | B C G |
| F |  |  |  | C D G |
| G |  |  |  | E F H |
| H |  |  |  | D G |


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B |  |  |  | A E C |

Dequeue and loop over the adjacent vertices of the dequeued element.
Examine each adjacent vertex:

- If the vertex has not been visited, mark the edge to the vertex as discovery edge; update it's visited flag, distance, and predecessor, and add the vertex to the queue.
- Otherwise if the edge is not explored yet just mark the edge as cross edge and move on to the next vertex.

We will dequeue $A$ and examine vertices $C, B$, and $D$.
ting point - A


Queue

|  | $C$ | $B$ | $D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E |  |  |  | B C G |
| F |  |  |  | C D G |
| G |  |  |  | E F H |
| H |  |  |  | D G |

ting point - A


Queue

|  | B | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | B C G |
| F | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | C D G |
| G |  |  |  | E F H |
| H |  |  |  | D G |

ting point - A


Queue


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | B C G |
| F | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | C D G |
| G |  |  |  | E F H |
| H |  |  |  | D G |

ting point - A


Queue


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | B C G |
| F | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | C D G |
| G |  |  |  | E F H |
| H | $\checkmark$ | 2 | D | D G |

ting point - A


Queue


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | B C G |
| F | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | C D G |
| G | $\checkmark$ | 3 | E | E F H |
| H | $\checkmark$ | 2 | D | D G |

ting point - A


Queue
PA

| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | B C G |
| F | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | C D G |
| G | $\checkmark$ | 3 | E | E F H |
| H | $\checkmark$ | 2 | D | D G |

ting point - A


Queue


| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | B C G |
| F | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | C D G |
| G | $\checkmark$ | 3 | E | E F H |
| H | $\checkmark$ | 2 | D | D G |

ting point - A


Queue
$\sum 4><$

| key | visited | dist. | pred. | adj. <br> vertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\checkmark$ | 0 | null | C B D |
| B | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A E C |
| C | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A B D E F |
| D | $\checkmark$ | 1 | A | A C F H |
| E | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | B C G |
| F | $\checkmark$ | 2 | C | C D G |
| G | $\checkmark$ | 3 | E | E F H |
| H | $\checkmark$ | 2 | D | D G |

## Traversal: BFS

```
BFS (G) :
    Input: Graph, G
    Output: A labeling of the edges on
        G as discovery and cross edges
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        setLabel(v, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Edge e : G.edges()):
        setLabel(e, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        if getLabel(v) == UNEXPLORED:
            BFS (G, v)
```

```
BFS (G, v) :
    Queue q
    setLabel(v, VISITED)
    q.enqueue (v)
    while !q.empty():
        v = q.dequeue()
        foreach (Vertex w : G.adjacent(v)):
            if getLabel(w) == UNEXPLORED:
            setLabel (v, w, DISCOVERY)
            setLabel(w, VISITED)
            q. enqueue (w)
            elseif getLabel(v, w) == UNEXPLORED:
            setLabel(v, w, CROSS)
```


## Traversal: BFS

```
BFS (G) :
    Input: Graph, G
    Output: A labeling of the edges on
        G as discovery and cross edges
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        setLabel (v, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Edge e : G.edges()):
        setT.ahel (e INNFXPTORFD)
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        if getLabel(v) == UNEXPLORED:
            BFS (G, v)
```

```
BFS (G, v) :
    Queue q
    setLabel(v, VISITED)
    q.enqueue (v)
    while !q.empty():
        v = q.dequeue()
        foreach (Vertex w : G.adjacent(v)):
            if getLabel(w) == UNEXPLORED:
                setLabel (v, w, DISCOVERY)
                setLabel(w, VISITED)
                q. enqueue (w)
        elseif getLabel(v, w) == UNEXPLORED:
                        setLabel(v, w, CROSS)
```

Our implementation handles disjoint graphs.
How do we use this to count components?
Add component counter before BFS call;

## Traversal: BFS

```
BFS (G) :
    Input: Graph, G
    Output: A labeling of the edges on
        G as discovery and cross edges
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        setLabel (v, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Edge e : G.edges()):
        setT.ahel (e [NNFXPTORFD)
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        if getLabel(v) == UNEXPLORED:
            comps++;
            BFS (G, v)
```

```
BFS (G, v) :
    Queue q
    setLabel(v, VISITED)
    q.enqueue(v)
    while !q.empty():
        v = q.dequeue()
        foreach (Vertex w : G.adjacent(v)):
            if getLabel(w) == UNEXPLORED:
                setLabel (v, w, DISCOVERY)
                setLabel(w, VISITED)
                q. enqueue (w)
        elseif getLabel(v, w) == UNEXPLORED:
                        setLabel(v, w, CROSS)
```

Our implementation handles disjoint graphs.
How do we use this to count components?

## Add component counter before BFS call;

## BFS Analysis

Q: Does our implementation detect a cycle?

- How do we update our code to detect a cycle?

Yes. Existence of at least one cross edge guarantees cycle.


```
BFS (G, v) :
    Queue q
    setLabel(v, VISITED)
    q.enqueue(v)
    while !q.empty():
        v = q.dequeue()
        foreach (Vertex w : G.adjacent(v)):
            if getLabel(w) == UNEXPLORED:
                setLabel(v, w, DISCOVERY)
                setLabel(w, VISITED)
                q. enqueue (w)
        elseif getLabel(v, w) == UNEXPLORED:
            setLabel(v, w, CROSS)
```


## Running time of BFS - $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m})$

```
BFS (G) :
    Input: Graph, G
    Output: A labeling of the edges on
            G as discovery and cross edges
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        setLabel (v, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Edge e : G.edges()):
        setLabel (e, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        if getLabel(v) == UNEXPLORED:
            BFS (G, v)
```

```
BFS (G, v) :
    Queue q
    setLabel(v, VISITED)
    q.enqueue (v)
    while !q.empty():
        v = q.dequeue()
        foreach (Vertex w : G.adjacent(v)):
            if getLabel(w) == UNEXPLORED:
                setLabel (v, w, DISCOVERY)
                setLabel(w, VISITED)
                q. enqueue (w)
            elseif getLabel(v, w) == UNEXPLORED:
                        setLabel (v, w, CROSS)
```

This is optimal running time because we know we have to visit every edge and vertex, therefore we cannot do better than $O(n+m)$.

## BFS Observations

Q: What is a shortest path from $\mathbf{A}$ to H ?

$$
1 \text { A B A C E }
$$

Path: A,D,H
Q: What is a shortest path from $\mathbf{E}$ to $\mathbf{H}$ ?
No information about this.
BFS finds shortest path only from starting vertex (in graphs without weights) ;
Q: What structure is made from

$$
1 \text { ACBADEF }
$$

discovery edges?
We get new graph structure: spanning tree!


## BFS Observations

Obs. 1: Traversals can be used to count components.
Obs. 2: Traversals can be used to detect cycles.

Obs. 3: In BFS, d provides the shortest distance to every vertex.

Obs. 4: In BFS, the endpoints of a cross edge never differ in distance, d , by more than 1 :

$$
|d(u)-d(v)|=1
$$

## DFS - Depth First Search

$\checkmark$ Depth-first search (DFS) is an algorithm for traversing or searching tree or graph data structures.
$\checkmark$ The algorithm starts from some arbitrary node and explores as far as possible along each branch before backtracking.

## Algorithm setup:

Everything is the same as BFS except for:
$\square$ We will use stack instead of a queue.
$\square$ We will label cross edges as back edges.

## Algorithm setup:

Label each edge:

- Discovery edge (bolded) or
- back edge (dashed)

Table of vertices with following features:

- Vertex name - key
- Boolean flag - visited
- Distance it took to get to the vertex
- Predecessor
- List of adjacent vertices
- Stack (use recursion to replace)


```
DFS (G) :
    Input: Graph, G
    Output: A labeling of the edges on
        G as discovery and back edges
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        setLabel (v, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Edge e : G.edges()):
        setLabel (e, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        if getLabel(v) == UNEXPLORED:
```

            DFS (G, v)
    DFS (G, v) :
Queue f
setLabel (v, VISITED)
q.enqueue(v)
While ! q.empty ():
foreach (Vertex w : G.adjacent(v)):
if getLabel(w) == UNEXPLORED:
setLabel (v, w, DISCOVERY)
setIabel(w, VISITED)
DFS (G, w)
elseif getLabel (v, w) == UNEXPLORED:
setLabel (v, w, BACK)

DFS with recursion:


Order of vertices does not matter.

DFS with recursion:


Next we visit C first and we are immediately recusing from $C$.


Next we visit B first. We visited all neighbors for $B$, so we will go back to C .

DFS with recursion:


Next we visit G first and we are immediately recusing from G.


Next we visit F first.
Since $D$ is already visited ( $F, D$ ) is labeled as back edge.
(H)
$F$ is done and we go back to $G$.

DFS with recursion:


Next we visit $H$ and we label another back edge (H,D). H will be done, we will go back to $G$.

Next we visit J.

DFS with recursion:


Next we visit K. ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{K}$ ) labeled as back edge.

Next we visit E . ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}$ ) becomes back edge and E will be done.

* You should also keep track of distance and parents.

DFS with recursion:


- Back edge is getting us closer to starting vertex;
- Existence of back edges means there is a cycle;
- Discovery edges gives us spanning tree;
- DFS can gives us component count;

```
DFS (G) :
    Input: Graph, G
    Output: A labeling of the edges on
        G as discovery and back edges
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        setLabel (v, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Edge e : G.edges()):
        setLabel (e, UNEXPLORED)
    foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()):
        if getLabel(v) == UNEXPLORED:
            DFS (G, v)
Output: A labeling of the edges on G as discovery and back edges
foreach (Vertex v : G.vertices()): setLabel (v, UNEXPLORED)
foreach (Edge e : G.edges()): Label (e,
if getLabel(v) == UNEXPLORED:
DFS (G, v)
```


## Running time of DFS is $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$

```
DFS (G, v) :
```

Queueq
setLabel(v, VISITED)
q.enqueue (v)
While !q.ompty ( ) :
V $=$ q.dequeue (
foreach (Vertex w : G.adjacent(v)):
if getLabel(w) == UNEXPLORED:
setLabel (v, w, DISCOVERY)
setIabel(w, VISITED)
DFS (G, w)
elseif getLabel(v, w) == UNEXPLORED:
setLabel (v, w, BACK)

Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithms Input: Connected, undirected graph $\mathbf{G}$ with edge weights (unconstrained, but must be additive)

Output: A graph $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ with the following properties:
$\bullet G^{\prime}$ is a spanning graph of $G$

- $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is a tree (connected, acyclic)
- $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ has a minimal total weight among all spanning trees



## Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithms

Graph can have multiple spanning trees ,but there will always be at least one minimum spanning tree.


## Kruskal's Algorithm

Algorithm setup:

- Maintain a list of edges sorted by weight in increasing order $\rightarrow$ min heap.
- Initialize a disjoint set (up tree) for each vertex.


| $(A, D)$ |
| :---: |
| $(E, H)$ |
| $(F, G)$ |
| $(A, B)$ |
| $(B, D)$ |
| $(G, E)$ |
| $(G, H)$ |
| $(E, C)$ |
| $(C, H)$ |
| $(E, F)$ |
| $(F, C)$ |
| $(D, E)$ |
| $(B, C)$ |
| $(C, D)$ |
| $(A, F)$ |
| $(D, F)$ |

## Kruskal's Algorithm

- Remove minimum from the heap;
- Check that the two vertices, that form the removed edge, are in different disjoint sets.
- If they are, add the edge to the spanning tree and union the two sets.
- Otherwise, ignore that edge and move on.


## Kruskal's Algorithm



| $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D})$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{( E , H )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( F , G )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( A , B )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( B , D )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( G , E )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( G , H )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( E , C )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( C , H )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( E , F )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( F , C )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( D , E )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( B , C )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( C , D )}$ |
| $\mathbf{( A , F )}$ |
| $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F})$ |

## Kruskal's Algorithm



- remove edge $(A, D)$ from the heap.
- Vertex $A$ and vertex $D$ are in different sets. Therefore, we can add edge $(A, D)$ and union sets $\{A\}$ and $\{D\}$.



## Kruskal's Algorithm



| ( $A, D$ |
| :---: |
| (E,H) |
| (F,G) |
| ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) |
| (B,D) |
| (G,E) |
| (G,H) |
| (E,C) |
| (C,H) |
| (E,F) |
| (F,C) |
| (D,E) |
| (B,C) |
| (C,D) |
| (A,F) |
| (D,F) |

## uskal's Algorithm



| ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}$ ) |
| :---: |
| (E,H) |
| (F,G) |
| (A,B) |
| (B,D) |
| (G,E) |
| (G,H) |
| (E,C) |
| (C,H) |
| (E,F) |
| (F,C) |
| (D,E) |
| (B,C) |
| (C,D) |
| (A,F) |
| (D,F) |

## ruskal's Algorithm


xt:
e skip ( $B, D$ ) since they are in the same set.

| $(A, D)$ |
| :---: |
| $(E, H)$ |
| $(F, G)$ |
| $(A, B)$ |
| $(B, D)$ |
| $(G, E)$ |
| $(G, H)$ |
| $(E, C)$ |
| $(C, H)$ |
| $(E, F)$ |
| $(F, C)$ |
| $(D, E)$ |
| $(B, C)$ |
| $(C, D)$ |
| $(A, F)$ |
| $(D, F)$ |

## uskal's Algorithm


xt:
e skip (G,H) since they are in the same set.

| ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}$ ) |
| :---: |
| (E,H) |
| (F,G) |
| $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ |
| $(B, D)$ |
| $(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{E})$ |
| (G,H) |
| (E,C) |
| (C,H) |
| (E,F) |
| (F,C) |
| (D,E) |
| (B,C) |
| (C,D) |
| (A,F) |
| (D,F) |

## ruskal's Algorithm


xt:

| $(A, D)$ |
| :--- |
| $(E, H)$ |
| $(F, G)$ |
| $(A, B)$ |
| $(B, D)$ |
| $(G, E)$ |
| $(G, H)$ |
| $(E, C)$ |
| $(C, H)$ |
| $(E, F)$ |
| $(F, C)$ |
| $(D, E)$ |
| $(B, C)$ |
| $(C, D)$ |
| $(A, F)$ |
| $(D, F)$ |



## uskal's Algorithm


have created an MST $\rightarrow$ total sum of all edges is the smallest possible on this graph.

## uskal's Algorithm

```
KruskalMST(G):
    DisjointSets forest
    foreach (Vertex v : G):
        forest.makeSet(v)
    PriorityQueue Q // min edge weight
    foreach (Edge e : G):
        Q.insert(e)
    Graph T = (V, {})
    while |T.edges()|<n-1:
        Vertex (u, v) = Q.removeMin()
        if forest.find(u) != forest.find(v):
            T.addEdge(u, v)
            forest.union( forest.find(u)
                        forest.find(v) )
```

Stopping condition:
|T.edges()| < n-1

## Worst case:

We visit every edge

## Kruskal's Algorithm - total running time:

$O(n+m)$ for set up with heap
$O(n+m \lg n)$ for set up with sorted array.

| Priority Queue: | Total Running Time |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heap | $O(n+m)+O(m \lg n)=O(n+m \lg n)$ |
| Sorted Array | $O(n+m \lg n)+O(m)=O(n+m \lg n)$ |

## Partition Property

Consider an arbitrary partition of the vertices on $\mathbf{G}$ into two subsets $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$.

Let $\mathbf{e}$ be an edge of minimum weight across the partition.

Then $\mathbf{e}$ is part of some minimum spanning tree.


## Partition Property

The partition property suggests an algorithm:


## Prim's Algorithm



PrimMST (G, s) :
Input: G, Graph;
s, vertex in G, starting vertex
Output: $T$, a minimum spanning tree (MST) of $G$
foreach (Vertex $v: G)$ :
$d[v]=+i n f$
$\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{NULL}$
$d[s]=0$
PriorityQueue Q // min distance, defined by $d[v]$
Q.buildHeap (G.vertices())

Graph T // "labeled set"
repeat n times:
Vertex $m=$ Q.removeMin()
T. add (m)
foreach (Vertex $v$ : neighbors of $m$ not in $T$ ): if cost(v, m) < d[v]:
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{m})$
$p[v]=m$
return $T$

## Prim's Algorithm

```
PrimMST(G, s) :
    Input: G, Graph;
            s, vertex in G, starting vertex
    Output: T, a minimum spanning tree (MST) of G
    foreach (Vertex v : G):
        d[v] = +inf
        p[v] = NULL
    d[s] = 0
    PriorityQueue Q // min distance, defined by d[v]
    Q.buildHeap(G.vertices())
    Graph T // "labeled set"
    repeat n times:
        Vertex m = Q.removeMin()
        T.add(m)
        foreach (Vertex v : neighbors of m not in T):
            if cost(v, m) < d[v]:
            d[v] = cost(v, m)
            p[v] = m
    return T
```


## rim's Algorithm

## Igorithm logic:

hoose an arbitrary starting point and set its distance to 0 .
Pop the starting vertex from the heap and update the distance/predecessor of adjacent vertices.


| A | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| B | $\infty$ |
| C | $\infty$ |
| D | $\infty$ |
| E | $\infty$ |
| F | $\infty$ |



## rim's Algorithm

e pop $A$ and update adjacent vertices $B, D$, and $F$. ext: remove minimum element from the heap and add the edge to the MST


## rim's Algorithm

ext, we pop a vertex with the smallest distance and update adjacent vertices. owever, we update vertices only if the distance is smaller than the current.


| A | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| B | 2 |
| C | $\infty$ |
| D | 7 |
| E | $\infty$ |
| F | 16 |



## rim's Algorithm

ext: remove minimum element from the heap and add the edge to the MST le will add edge (D, B)


| A | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 |
| B | 2 |
| C | 15 |
| D | 5 |
| E | $\infty$ |
| F | 16 |



## rim's Algorithm

ext: pop a vertex with the smallest distance, update adjacent vertices if needed, and add the edge with the smallest distance.
hese steps are repeated until the heap is empty .


| A | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $B$ | 2 |
|  |  |
| C | 15 |
| D | 5 |
| E | $\infty$ |
| F | 16 |



## rim's Algorithm

e pop $D$ and we update all its adjacent vertices $F, E$, and $C$


## rim's Algorithm

he next vertex with smallest distance is $E$. We add the edge from $D$ to $E$.


## rim's Algorithm

op E and we only update C, because F's current distance is smaller than the ne from $E$ to $F$.


## rim's Algorithm

The shortest distance is from $D$ to $F$, so we add that edge to the graph. We pop 9 and we don't have anything to update because all neighboring edges have been added to the graph.


## rim's Algorithm

Finally, we pop $C$ and add an edge from E to $C$. After this step the heap is empty and we are done.


$$
O\left(n^{2}+m \lg (n)\right)
$$

$$
O(n \lg (n)+m \lg (n))
$$

Unsorted
Array

## $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

## $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

Case 1: the data is sparse $\rightarrow$ use (heap + adj list) and the running time will be $\mathrm{O}(n \log (n)) \quad(n \sim m)$

Case 2: the data is dense $\rightarrow$ use (unsorted array + adj matrix/list) and the running time will be $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$. $m \sim n^{2}$

## MST Algorithm Runtime:

- Kruskal's Algorithm: $O(n+m \lg (n))$
- Prim's Algorithm:
$O(n \lg (n)+m \lg (n))$
- What must be true about the connectivity of a graph when running an MST algorithm?
Graph is a connected graph.
- How does n and m relate?

$$
m \geq n-1 \rightarrow O(m)=O(n)
$$

Running time: $m \lg n$

## Fibonacci heap

Decrease key operation in Fibonacci heap takes $\mathrm{O}(1)^{*}$ time.


If we use Fibonacci heap for our algorithm, updated value will take O(1) time, since we are always decreasing key.

Adj. List with Fibonacci heap: $O(n \lg n+m) \rightarrow$ fastest running time for MST

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm is an algorithm for finding the shortest paths between starting node to every other nodes in a graph


## ijkstra's Algorithm

DijkstraSSSP(G, s):
DijkstraSSSP(G, s):
foreach (Vertex v : G):
foreach (Vertex v : G):
d[v] = +inf
d[v] = +inf
p[v] = NULL
p[v] = NULL
d[s] = 0
d[s] = 0
PriorityQueue Q // min distance, defined by d[v]
PriorityQueue Q // min distance, defined by d[v]
Q.buildHeap(G.vertices())
Q.buildHeap(G.vertices())
Graph T // "labeled set"
Graph T // "labeled set"
repeat n times:
repeat n times:
Vertex m = Q.removeMin()
Vertex m = Q.removeMin()
T.add(u)
T.add(u)
foreach (Vertex v : neighbors of u not in T):
foreach (Vertex v : neighbors of u not in T):
if d[m] + cost(m, v) < d[v]:
if d[m] + cost(m, v) < d[v]:
d[v] = d[m] + cost(m, v)
d[v] = d[m] + cost(m, v)
p[v] = m
p[v] = m

Very similar to Prim's Algorithm - only difference is when we update the distance, we use the path length instead of a single edge weight

Set up:


| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\infty$ | null |
| B | $\infty$ | null |
| C | $\infty$ | null |
| D | $\infty$ | null |
| E | $\infty$ | null |
| F | $\infty$ | null |
| G | $\infty$ | null |
| H | $\infty$ | null |

Choose an arbitrary starting point and set its distance to 0 .


Starting point A.


| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A | 0 | null |
| B | $\infty$ | null |
| C | $\infty$ | null |
| D | $\infty$ | null |
| E | $\infty$ | null |
| F | $\infty$ | null |
| G | $\infty$ | null |
| H | $\infty$ | null |

We pop $A$ and update adjacent vertices B and F. Notice: edges are directed

add an edge to the node with the smallest distance

op a vertex with the smallest distance and update adjacent vertices only if the stance from the start is smaller than the current d.


| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | null |
| B | 10 | A |
| C | $\infty$ | null |
| D | $\infty$ | null |
| E | 12 | F |
| F | 7 | A |
| G | 11 | F |
| H | $\infty$ | null |

Add an edge to the node with the smallest path


| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\mathbf{Q}$ | null |
| B | 10 | A |
| C | $\infty$ | null |
| D | $\infty$ | null |
| E | 12 | F |
| F | 7 | A |
| G | 11 | F |
| H | $\infty$ | null |

Pop and update if needed:


| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | 0 | Aull |
| B | 10 | $A$ |
| C | 17 | B |
| $D$ | 15 | B |
| E | 12 | F |
| F | 7 | A |
| G | 11 | $F$ |
| $H$ | $\infty$ | null |

Add the edge:


| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 | Aull |
| B | 10 | A |
| C | 17 | B |
| $D$ | 15 | B |
| E | 12 | F |
| F | 7 | A |
| G | 11 | F |
| H | $\infty$ | null |

Pop and update if needed:


| $V \mathrm{~d}$ p |
| :---: |
| $A \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{Au}$ |
| $\text { B } 10<A$ |
| C 17 B |
| D 15 B |
| E 12 F |
|  |
| $\text { G } 11$ |
| $H \infty$ null |

Add the edge:


| $V \mathrm{~d} ~ p$ |
| :---: |
| $A \text { Bul }$ |
| B |
| C 17 B |
| D 15 B |
| E 12 F |
| $\mathrm{F} 7 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| $\text { G } 11$ |
| $H \infty$ null |

Pop and update (nothing was updated)


| V d |
| :---: |
| A O null |
| B A |
| C 17 B |
| D 15 B |
| $\mathrm{E} 12 \mathrm{~F}$ |
| $\text { F } 7$ |
| $G 11$ |
| $H \infty$ null |

Add the edge, pop D and update (nothing was updated)


Add the edge, pop C and update


Add the edge from C to H and pop H . heap becomes empty




The shortest path will be A-C-D-E-F-G-H-B instead of A-B because the first path has length 7 and the second path has length 10.
hen there is a tie in path lengths, it is up to us to decide how we want to handle at.
n Dijkstra's algorithm handle undirected graphs?
s, it can. It will not go back or in loop because that will increase the path length.
n Dijkstra's algorithm handle graph with negative cycles?
, because negative weight cycle doesn't have defined shortest path. We can ways find a shorter path which leads to negative infinity.
jkstra's algorithm for graphs with negative edges but with no negative cycles Il not produce the shortest path.
We cant just add constant to every edge weights to make it 0!


## Running time of Dijkstra's algorithm

Remember, we built Dijkstra's algorithm on top of Prim's algorithm.
We only added two lines of code which take O(1).
Therefore, Dijkstra's running time is the same as Prim's.

| Basic data structures | Flbonacci Heap |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m} \lg (\mathrm{n}))$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \lg (\mathrm{n})+\mathrm{m})$ |

## Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

Floyd-Warshall's Algorithm is an alterative to Dijkstra in the presence of negative-weight edges (not negative weight cycles).


```
FloydWarshall(G):
```

FloydWarshall(G):
Let d be a adj. matrix initialized to +inf
Let d be a adj. matrix initialized to +inf
foreach (Vertex v : G):
foreach (Vertex v : G):
d[v][v] = 0
d[v][v] = 0
foreach (Edge (u, v) : G):
foreach (Edge (u, v) : G):
d[u][v] = cost(u, v)
d[u][v] = cost(u, v)
foreach (Vertex u : G):
foreach (Vertex u : G):
foreach (Vertex v : G):
foreach (Vertex v : G):
foreach (Vertex w : G):
foreach (Vertex w : G):
if d[u, v] > d[u, w] + d[w, v]:
if d[u, v] > d[u, w] + d[w, v]:
d[u,v] = d[u,w] + d[w, v]

```
                        d[u,v] = d[u,w] + d[w, v]
```


## Algorithm setup:

- Maintain a table (matrix) that has the shortest known paths between vertices.
- Initialize the table with three possible values:
- self edges to 0
- edges by edge weights
- unknown paths to infinity


|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 | -1 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | $\infty$ | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 0 | -2 |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 2 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 0 |

## Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

```
12 foreach (Vertex u : G):
13 foreach (Vertex v : G):
14 foreach (Vertex k : G):
15 if d[u, v] > d[u, k] + d[k, v]:
16 d[u,v] = d[u,w] + d[w,v]
```

Fan we add a vertex in between to vertices to make he distance shorter.


## Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

```
12 foreach (Vertex u : G) :
13 foreach (Vertex v : G):
14 foreach (Vertex k : G):
15 if d[u, v] > d[u, k] + d[k, v]:
16 d[u,v] = d[u,w] + d[w,v]
```

Let us consider $k=A$ :

vs. $B \rightarrow$ ( $\rightarrow+\infty$
vs. $B \rightarrow$ A $\rightarrow$ D $+\infty$
(C) $\longrightarrow$ B $+\infty$
vs. C $\rightarrow$ A $\rightarrow$ B $+\infty$
(C) $\longrightarrow$ (D) -2
vs. $C \rightarrow$ (D) $\rightarrow \infty$
(D) $\longrightarrow$ B $+\infty$
vs. $D \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$
$2+(-1)=1$
(D) $\longrightarrow$ (C) $+\infty$
vs. $(D \rightarrow A \rightarrow C$
$+\infty$

## Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

```
12 foreach (Vertex u : G) :
13 foreach (Vertex v : G):
14 foreach (Vertex k : G):
15 if d[u, v] > d[u, k] + d[k, v]:
16 d[u,v] = d[u,w] + d[w,v]
```

Let us consider $k=A$ :

vs. $B \rightarrow$ ( $\rightarrow+\infty$
vs. $B \rightarrow$ A $\rightarrow$ D $+\infty$
(C) $\longrightarrow$ B $+\infty$
vs. C $\rightarrow$ A $\rightarrow$ B $+\infty$
(C) $\longrightarrow$ (D) -2
vs. $C \rightarrow$ A $\rightarrow$ ( $+\infty$
(D) $\longrightarrow$ B $+\infty$
vs. $D \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$
$2+(-1)=1$
(D) $\longrightarrow$ (C) $+\infty$
vs. $(D \rightarrow A \rightarrow C$
$+\infty$

## Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

```
12 foreach (Vertex u : G):
13 foreach (Vertex v : G) :
14 foreach (Vertex k : G):
15 if d[u, v] > d[u, k] + d[k, v]:
16 d[u,v] = d[u,w] + d[w, v]
```


## Let us consider $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{B}$ :

vs. $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$
vs. $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow$ D
C $\longrightarrow$ A
vs. $\quad(C \rightarrow B$
C $\longrightarrow$ (D)
vs. $\quad$ C $\rightarrow B \rightarrow D$
$\xrightarrow{(\text { D }} \rightarrow$ (A)
vs. $\quad \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$
vs. $(\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow$ C $1+4=5$


This edge does not actually gets created. Values in the matrix saves information about updated path values.

## Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

oyd-Warshall's algorithm explores all possible paths to termine the shortest path in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$
we explored all possible paths with Dijkstra's algorithm:
$\left(n^{2} \lg n+m * n\right)$
ense graph: Floyd-Warshall outperforms Dijkstra's algorithm arse graph: Dijkstra's algorithm outperforms Floyd-Warshall
pyd-Warshall works with negative edges!

