String Algorithms and Data Structures Suffix Arrays

CS 199-225 Brad Solomon March 20, 2023



Department of Computer Science

Informal Early Feedback Reminder

Will be closing the IEF form and opening a voting form soon!

Exact pattern matching w/ indexing



Exact pattern matching w/ indexing

There are many data structures built on *suffixes*

Modern methods still use these today



Suffix Trie

A rooted tree storing a collection of suffixes as (key, value) pairs

The tree is structured such that:

Each key is "spelled out" along some path starting at root

Each edge is labeled with a character $c \in \Sigma$

For given node, at most one child edge has label *c*, for any $c \in \Sigma$

Each key's value is stored at a leaf



Suffix Tree

A rooted tree storing a collection of suffixes as (key, value) pairs

The tree has many similarities to the trie but:

Each edge is labeled with *a string s*

For given node, at most one child edge starts with character c, for any $c \in \Sigma$

Each internal node contains >1 children



Searching a suffix tree

How efficient is search?



Suffix trie vs suffix tree: bounds



	Suffix trie	Suffix tree
Time: Does P occur?	O(n)	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)
Time: Report <i>k</i> locations of P	O(n + m²)	O(n + k)
Space	O(m²)	<i>O</i> (<i>m</i>)

m = |T|, n = |P|, k = # occurrences of P in T

Suffix trees in the real world



X = ABAB Y = AAB Generalized Suffix Tree: ABAB\$AAB#





Suffix trees in the real world: MUMmer



Delcher, Arthur L., et al. "Alignment of whole genomes." *Nucleic Acids Research* 27.11 (1999): 2369-2376.

Delcher, Arthur L., et al. "Fast algorithms for large-scale genome alignment and comparison." *Nucleic Acids Research* 30.11 (2002): 2478-2483.

Kurtz, Stefan, et al. "Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes." *Genome Biol* 5.2 (2004): R12.

~ 4,000 citations

http://mummer.sourceforge.net

Suffix trees in the real world: MUMmer



Example by Ben Langmead

Suffix trees in the real world: MUMmer



For whole chromosome 1, took 2m:14s and used 3.94 GB memory

Suffix trees in the real world: constant factor



Suffix Trees are O(|T|) but there's a hidden constant factor at work:

MUMmer constant factor \approx **15.76 bytes per nt**



Suffix tree of human genome: >45 GB

'Raw' two-bit encoding \approx **2 bits per nt**



Raw encoding of human genome: ~0.75 GB

Exact pattern matching w/ indexing

There are many data structures built on *suffixes*

More efficient to store, less efficient* to use



A systematic way of organizing strings by the content and arrangement of its characters

A systematic way of organizing strings by the **content** and arrangement of its characters



A systematic way of organizing strings by the content and **arrangement** of its characters

Characters are compared in order from left to right

A systematic way of organizing strings by the **content** and **arrangement** of its characters

What is the *lexicographically* smallest string?

A) "beep" B) "zzz"

C) "aardvarks" D) "apples"

A systematic way of organizing strings by the **content** and **arrangement** of its characters

What is the *lexicographically* smallest string?

A) "bah\$"B) "x"

C) "bb\$"

D) "b\$b"

Suffix Array

Suffix array of *T* is an array of integers specifying lexicographic (alphabetical) order of *T*'s suffixes

T = a b a a b a \$ 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Suffix Array

Suffix array of *T* is an array of integers specifying lexicographic (alphabetical) order of *T*'s suffixes

As with suffix tree, T is T = a b a a b a \$ ← part of index SA(T) =6 5 a \$ 2 aaba\$ *m* integers 3 aba\$ Note: Red is not stored abaaba\$ 0 4 b a \$ baaba\$

vector<int> build_sarray(string T)









vector<int> build_sarray(string T)

Input:





5	
4	
0	
3	
1	
2	

Suffix array: build by sorting (from array)

Use your favorite sort, e.g., quickSort, heapSort, insertSort, ...



Expected time:

Suffix array: build by sorting *suffixes*

Another idea: Use a sort algorithm that's aware that the items being sorted are all suffixes of the same string

Original suffix array paper suggested an **O(m log m)** algorithm

Manber U, Myers G. "Suffix arrays: a new method for on-line string searches." SIAM Journal on Computing 22.5 (1993): 935-948.

Other popular **O(m log m)** algorithms have been suggested

Larsson NJ, Sadakane K. Faster suffix sorting. Technical Report LU-CS-TR:99-214, LUNDFD6/(NFCS-3140)/1-43/(1999), Department of Computer Science, Lund University, Sweden, 1999.

There exist several **O**(**m**) algorithms that **divide-and-conquer**

Kärkkäinen J, Sanders P. "Simple linear work suffix array construction." Automata, Languages and Programming (2003): 187-187.

Ko P, Aluru S. "Space efficient linear time construction of suffix arrays." *Combinatorial Pattern Matching*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.

Suffix array: build by suffix tree

(a) Build suffix tree, (b) traverse in lexicographic order, (c) upon reaching leaf, append suffix to array





Suffix array: build by suffix tree

(a) Build suffix tree, (b) traverse in lexicographic order, (c) upon reaching leaf, append suffix to array



6	\$
5	a \$
2	a a b a \$
3	aba\$
0	abaaba\$
4	b a \$
1	baaba\$

Assignment 7: a_sarray

Ċ

Learning Objective:

Construct a suffix array by sorting suffixes

Implement exact pattern matching using a suffix array

Be as efficient or inefficient as you like!

Challenge yourself: Try to build in O(*m*² log *m*) or better.

To find all exact matches using a suffix array:

T = abaaba\$P = baa

Starts with b?	-	6	\$
Starts with b?	-	5	a \$
Starts with b?	-	2	a a b a \$
Starts with b?		3	aba\$
Starts with b?		0	abaaba\$
Starts with b?	-	4	b a \$
		1	baaba\$

To find all exact matches using a suffix array:

T = abaaba\$P = baa

	6	\$
	5	a \$
	2	a a b a \$
	3	aba\$
	0	abaaba\$
Matches bað? →	4	b a \$
Statchesithala?	1	baaba\$

To find all exact matches using a suffix array:

1.Recreate suffix from int value

2.Compare each character in order

3.On mismatch, move to next suffix

What is our time complexity?

T = a P = b	baaba $m = T $ baa $n = P $
6	\$
5	a \$
2	aaba\$
3	aba\$
0	abaaba\$
4	ba\$
1	baaba\$

Return {1}

To find all exact matches using a suffix array w/ binary search:

arch:		T = ab P = bb	paaba $m = T $ aa $n = P $
		6	\$
		5	a \$
		2	a a b a \$
Match here?	-	3	aba\$
		0	a b a a b a \$
Match here?	-	4	b a \$
Match here?	-	1	b a a b a \$

Return {1}

To find all exact matches using a suffix array w/ binary search:



To find all exact matches using a suffix array w/ binary search:

1. Pick suffixes using binary search

2. Compare suffixes as normal

3. After match, check neighbors

Assume we have *k=m* matches What is our time complexity?

T = al P = al	babaş $m = T $
	
6	\$
5	a \$
2	a a b a \$ No match
3	aba \$
0	a b a a b a \$ Match
4	b a \$ No match
1	b a a b a \$

Return {0,3}

How can we do better?

T = a	baaba\$ $m = T $
<i>P</i> = a	n = P
	1
6	\$
5	a \$
2	aaba\$
3	aba\$
0	a b a a b a \$
4	b a \$
1	b a a b a \$

Range Search

Given a collection of objects, C, with comparable values and an object of interest, q, find the first instance(s) of $q \in C$.



Output: Range of indices matching q if it exists, (-1, -1) otherwise

Binary Search: Get first match



```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

```
if mid == q:
        # Match case:
        # Treat like query is larger
        # Remember last match!
    elif mid > q:
        # query is smaller case
    else:
        # query is larger case
# Final Return Snippet
if saw match:
    return last match
else:
   return -1
```

2	3	3	3	3	4	4
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Binary Search: Get last match



```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
        else:
19
20
21
22
23
```

```
if mid == q:
        # Match case:
        # Treat like query is smaller
        # Remember last match!
    elif mid > q:
        # query is smaller case
    else:
        # query is larger case
# Final Return Snippet
if saw match:
    return last match
   return -1
```

2	2	2	2	2	2	4
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

How can we do better?

1. Identify the *first* and *last* matches to *P* w/ binary search

2. Return all values in that range!

Assume we have *k=m* matches What is our time complexity?

	T=abaaba\$		
	<i>P</i> = a	n = P	
	6	\$	
-	5	a \$	First
	2	a a b a \$	
	3	aba\$	
-	0	a	Last
	4	b a \$	
	1	b a a b a \$	

Assignment 7: a_sarray

(

Learning Objective:

Construct a suffix array by sorting suffixes

Implement exact pattern matching using a suffix array

Be as efficient or inefficient as you like!

Challenge yourself: Try to search in O(n log m + k)

Suffix tree vs suffix array: size

O(m) space, like suffix tree Is "constant factor" worse, better, same? 3500 Peak memory usage (megabytes) 3000 6 NA BANANA\$ Α\$ 2500 Time (seconds) 0 3 ANA\$ 2000 ANANA\$ NA\$ **BANANA\$** 5 2 1500 NA\$ NA\$ NANA\$ 1000 3 500 0.2 0.8 0.4 0.6 1.0 Fraction of human chromosome 1 indexed Fraction of human chromosome 1 indexed

Suffix tree vs suffix array: size

32-bit integers sufficient for human genome, so fits in ~4 bytes/base × 3 billion bases ≈ **12 GB**. Suffix tree is >**45 GB**.



Suffix tree vs suffix array: search



* Can be improved to $O(n + \log m)$, (See Gusfield 7.17.4)

Suffix arrays in the real world: MUMmer



Delcher, Arthur L., et al. "Alignment of whole genomes." Nucleic Acids Research 27.11 (1999): 2369-2376.

Delcher, Arthur L., et al. "Fast algorithms for large-scale genome alignment and comparison." *Nucleic Acids Research* 30.11 (2002): 2478-2483.

Kurtz, Stefan, et al. "Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes." Genome Biol 5.2 (2004): R12.

G. Marçais et al. "MUMmer4: A fast and versatile genome alignment system." PLoS Comp Biol (2018)

~ 4,000 citations

http://mummer.sourceforge.net

Exact pattern matching w/ indexing

There are many data structures built on *suffixes*

The FM index is a compressed self-index (smaller* than original text)!

