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## Learning Objectives

Motivate and formally define a hash table

Discuss what a 'good' hash function looks like

Identify the key weakness of a hash table

Introduce strategies to "correct" this weakness

## Data Structure Review

I have a collection of books and I want to store them in a dictionary!


## What if $O(\log n)$ isn't good enough?



## What if $O(\log n)$ isn't good enough?



## A Hash Table based Dictionary



## A Hash Table based Dictionary

Client Code:

```
1 Dictionary<KeyType, ValueType> d;
2 d[k] = v;
```

A Hash Table consists of three things: 1.
2.
3.

## Hash Function

Maps a keyspace, a (mathematical) description of the keys for a set of data, to a set of integers.

## m elements

## Hash Function

A hash function must be:

- Deterministic:
- Efficient:
- Defined for a certain size table:


## Hash Function

(Angrave, CS 241)
(Beckman, CS 421)
(Challon, CS 125)
(Davis, CS 101)
(Evans, CS 225)
(Fagen-Ulmschneider, CS 107)
(Gunter, CS 422)
(Herman, CS 233)

## Hash Function



## General Hash Function

An $O(1)$ deterministic operation that maps all keys in a universe $U$ to a defined range of integers $[0, \ldots, m-1$ ]

- A hash:
- A compression:

Choosing a good hash function is tricky...

- Don't create your own (yet*)


## Hash Function



$$
h(k)=(k . \text { firstName } e[0]+k . \text { lastName }[0]) \% m
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(k)=(\operatorname{rand}() * k . n u m P a g e s) \% m \\
& h(k)=(\text { Order } I \text { insert [Order seen] }) \% m
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hash Function



## Hash Function



$$
{ }^{\prime} J^{\prime}+{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=28
$$

Author Name Hash Function


25


## Hash Function



## Hash Function



## Hash Collision

A hash collision occurs when multiple unique keys hash to the same value

## J.K Rowling = 28!



|  | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | Goosebumps |
| 26 | $\varnothing$ |
| 27 | $\varnothing$ |
| 28 | $? ? ?$ |
| 29 | $\varnothing$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Perfect Hashing

If $m \geq S$, we can write a perfect hash with no collisions

## $m$ elements



## General Purpose Hashing

In CS 225, we want our hash functions to work in general.


## $m$ elements



## General Purpose Hashing

If $m<U$, there must be at least one hash collision.


## General Purpose Hashing

By fixing $h$, we open ourselves up to adversarial attacks.


## A Hash Table based Dictionary

Client Code:

```
1 Dictionary<KeyType, ValueType> d; \(2 d[k]=v\);
```

A Hash Table consists of three things:

1. A hash function
2. A data storage structure
3. A method of addressing hash collisions

## Open vs Closed Hashing

Addressing hash collisions depends on your storage structure.

- Open Hashing:
- Closed Hashing:


## Open Hashing

In an open hashing scheme, key-value pairs are stored externally (for example as a linked list).


## Hash Collisions (Open Hashing)

A hash collision in an open hashing scheme can be resolved by
$\qquad$ . This is called separate chaining.


Insertion (Separate Chaining)

| Key | Value | Hash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob | B + | 2 |
| Anna | A- | 4 |
| Alice | A + | 4 |
| Betty | B | 2 |
| Brett | A- | 2 |
| Greg | A | 0 |
| Sue | B | 7 |
| Ali | B + | 4 |
| Laura | A | 7 |
| Lily | B + | 7 |


| 0 | $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\varnothing$ |
| 2 | $\varnothing$ |
| 3 | $\varnothing$ |
| 3 | $\varnothing$ |
| 4 | $\varnothing$ |
| 5 | $\varnothing$ |
| 6 | $\varnothing$ |
| 7 | $\varnothing$ |
| 8 | $\varnothing$ |
| 9 | $\varnothing$ |
| 10 | $\varnothing$ |

Insertion (Separate Chaining) _insert("Alice")

| Key | Value | Hash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob | B + | 2 |
| Anna | A- | 4 |
| Alice | A+ | 4 |
| Betty | B | 2 |
| Brett | A- | 2 |
| Greg | A | 0 |
| Sue | B | 7 |
| Ali | B + | 4 |
| Laura | A | 7 |
| Lily | B + | 7 |



## Insertion (Separate Chaining)

| Key | Value | Hash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob | B + | 2 |
| Anna | A- | 4 |
| Alice | A + | 4 |
| Betty | B | 2 |
| Brett | A- | 2 |
| Greg | A | 0 |
| Sue | B | 7 |
| Ali | B + | 4 |
| Laura | A | 7 |
| Lily | $\mathrm{B}+$ | 7 |



Insertion (Separate Chaining)

| Key | Value | Hash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob | B+ | 2 |
| Anna | A- | 4 |
| Alice | A+ | 4 |
| Betty | B | 2 |
| Brett | A- | 2 |
| Greg | A | 0 |
| Sue | B | 7 |
| Ali | B+ | 4 |
| Laura | A | 7 |
| Lily | $\mathrm{B}+$ | 7 |



Find (Separate Chaining)

| Key | Hash |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sue | 7 |



## Remove (Separate Chaining)

| Key | Hash |
| :---: | :---: |
| Betty | 2 |



## Hash Table (Separate Chaining)

For hash table of size $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ elements:

Find runs in: $\qquad$

Insert runs in: $\qquad$

Remove runs in: $\qquad$


## Hash Table

Worst-Case behavior is bad - but what about randomness?

1) Fix $h$, our hash, and assume it is good for all keys:
2) Create a universal hash function family:

## Simple Uniform Hashing Assumption

Given table of size $m$, a simple uniform hash, $h$, implies
$\forall k_{1}, k_{2} \in U$ where $k_{1} \neq k_{2}, \operatorname{Pr}\left(h\left[k_{1}\right]=h\left[k_{2}\right]\right)=\frac{1}{m}$

## Uniform:

Independent:

## Separate Chaining Under SUHA

Given table of size $m$ and $n$ inserted objects
Claim: Under SUHA, expected length of chain is $\frac{n}{m}$

## Hash Table (Separate Chaining w/ SUHA)

For hash table of size $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ elements:

Find runs in: $\qquad$

Insert runs in: $\qquad$

Remove runs in: $\qquad$


## Separate Chaining Under SUHA Pros:

Cons:

## Next time: Closed Hashing

Closed Hashing: store $k, v$ pairs in the hash table

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\{1,8,15\} \\
& h(k)=k \% 7
\end{aligned}
$$



