

Warm-up: Simplify $(P \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg P \rightarrow q)$

$$\equiv (\neg P \vee q) \wedge (P \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (P \wedge \neg P) \vee q$$

$$\equiv \text{False} \vee q$$

$$\equiv q$$

Proof by cases:

$$(P_1 \vee P_2 \vee \dots \vee P_k) \wedge (P_1 \rightarrow q) \wedge (P_2 \rightarrow q) \wedge \dots \wedge (P_k \rightarrow q)$$

implies

q

Warm-up: Simplify $\neg P \rightarrow \text{False}$

$$\equiv P \vee \text{False} \equiv P$$

$$\boxed{\neg P \rightarrow \text{False} \equiv P}$$

Case 4: Assume $y \geq 0 > x$. Then $|x| = -x$, $|y| = y$, $|xy| = -xy$.
Hence, $|x||y| = -xy = |xy|$.

Lecture 6: More Proofs

September 9, 2019

Definition 1. For a real number x , $|x|$ is defined as follows.

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Problem 1. For real numbers x, y , $|xy| = |x||y|$.

We prove this by cases.

Case 1: Assume $x, y \geq 0$. Then: $|x| = x$, $|y| = y$
and $|xy| = xy$. Therefore, $|x||y| = xy = |xy|$.

Case 2: Assume $x, y < 0$. Then $|x| = -x$, $|y| = -y$,
 $|xy| = xy$. Therefore, $|x||y| = (-x)(-y) = xy = |xy|$.

Case 3: Assume without loss of generality that
 $x \geq 0 > y$. Then $|x| = x$, $|y| = -y$, $|xy| = -xy$. Therefore,
 $|x||y| = x(-y) = -xy = |xy|$.

Problem 2. Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

We prove this by contradiction. Assume that
 $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Let $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ be ~~the~~ a ratio in
simplest form. $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \geq 0$, and a and b have no
prime factors in common. Then:

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b} \Rightarrow \sqrt{2}b = a \Rightarrow 2b^2 = a^2 \Rightarrow a^2 \text{ is even}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \text{ is even} \Rightarrow a = 2k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\Rightarrow 2b^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 \Rightarrow b^2 = 2k^2 \Rightarrow b^2 \text{ is even}$$

$$\Rightarrow b \text{ is even}$$

~~This~~ a being even and b being even contradicts
our assumption that $\frac{a}{b}$ is in simplest form. Hence, $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Problem 3. There are infinitely many primes.

We prove this by contradiction. Assume that there are only finitely many primes. Let k be the number of primes and let p_1, \dots, p_k be the k prime numbers. Consider $m := (\prod_{i=1}^k p_i) + 1$. m is not divisible by p_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Therefore, m is a prime number greater than p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k . This contradicts our assumption that there are only k primes. Therefore, there must be infinitely many primes.

Problem 4. There are irrational numbers x and y such that x^y is rational.

$$w = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$$

Case 1: w is rational. Then $x = y = \sqrt{2}$ satisfies the requirements.

Case 2: w is irrational. Consider $w^{\sqrt{2}}$

$$w^{\sqrt{2}} = (\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2$$

In this case $x = w$, $y = \sqrt{2}$ satisfies the requirements.

$$m = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k p_i \right) + 1$$

$$m = p_1 \left(\prod_{i=2}^k p_i \right) + 1$$

$$m = p_1 \times s + 1$$

remainder of m to p_1 is 1 .