

# LECTURE 3: PROPOSITIONAL AND FIRST ORDER LOGIC

Date: August 30, 2019.

Recap:  $P \text{ IMPLIES } Q \equiv \text{NOT}(P) \text{ OR } Q$

- A **proposition** is a statement that is either true or false.  $\text{NOT}(Q) \text{ IMPLIES } \text{NOT}(P) \equiv \text{NOT}(\text{NOT}(Q)) \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(P) \equiv Q \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(P)$
- If  $P$  and  $Q$  are propositions, then so are  $\text{NOT}(P)$ ,  $P \text{ AND } Q$ ,  $P \text{ OR } Q$ ,  $P \text{ IMPLIES } Q$ , and  $P \text{ IFF } Q$ . The meaning of these combined propositions is given using a truth table.  $\text{NOT}(P) \text{ OR } Q$   $(P \text{ IMPLIES } Q) \text{ AND } (Q \text{ IMPLIES } P)$
- Two expressions are logically equivalent if they evaluate to the same truth value in **all** situations, i.e., in **every** row of the truth table they take the same value.
- The **contrapositive** of an implication  $P \text{ IMPLIES } Q$  is  $(\text{NOT}(Q)) \text{ IMPLIES } (\text{NOT}(P))$ . The contrapositive is **logically equivalent** to the implication.  $\equiv$
- The **converse** of an implication  $P \text{ IMPLIES } Q$  is  $Q \text{ IMPLIES } P$ . The converse is **not logically equivalent** to the implication.  $\neq$

$\text{NOT}(P \text{ IMPLIES } Q) \equiv \text{NOT}(\text{NOT}(P) \text{ OR } Q) = \text{NOT}(\text{NOT}(P)) \text{ AND } \text{NOT}(Q) = P \text{ AND } \text{NOT}(Q)$   
 Useful Logical Equivalences *logically equivalent or "mean the same"*

DE MORGAN LAWS  $\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{NOT}(\text{NOT}(P)) \equiv P \\ \text{NOT}(P \text{ OR } Q) \equiv (\text{NOT}(P)) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT}(Q)) \\ \text{NOT}(P \text{ AND } Q) \equiv (\text{NOT}(P)) \text{ OR } (\text{NOT}(Q)) \\ \text{NOT}(P \text{ IMPLIES } Q) \equiv P \text{ AND } (\text{NOT}(Q)) \\ P \text{ OR } (Q \text{ AND } R) \equiv (P \text{ OR } Q) \text{ AND } (P \text{ OR } R) \end{array} \right.$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} P \text{ OR } Q \equiv Q \text{ OR } P \\ P \text{ AND } Q \equiv Q \text{ AND } P \end{array} \right\}$  COMMUTATIVITY

$\left. \begin{array}{l} P \text{ AND } (Q \text{ AND } R) \equiv (P \text{ AND } Q) \text{ AND } R \\ P \text{ OR } (Q \text{ OR } R) \equiv (P \text{ OR } Q) \text{ OR } R \end{array} \right\}$  ASSOCIATIVITY

$P \text{ AND } (Q \text{ OR } R) \equiv (P \text{ AND } Q) \text{ OR } (P \text{ AND } R)$

- Question 1. 1. What is T OR P? Is it (a) T, (b) F, or (c) P?
2. What is F OR P? Is it (a) T, (b) F, or (c) P?
3. What is T AND P? Is it (a) T, (b) F, or (c) P?
4. What is F AND P? Is it (a) T, (b) F, or (c) P?

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R$
F	F	F	<u>T</u>	T <u>F</u>

→ 5. Are  $P \text{ IMPLIES } (Q \text{ IMPLIES } R)$  and  $(P \text{ IMPLIES } Q) \text{ IMPLIES } R$  equivalent? **NOT EQ.**

**Definition 1.** A formula is **valid** if it is always true, no matter what truth values are assigned to the variables.

A formula is **satisfiable** if there is some truth assignment to the variables under which it evaluates to true.

**Question 2.** For each of the following expressions, determine if it is satisfiable or valid. **T OR F**

(a)  $P \text{ OR } Q$ , (b)  $P \text{ OR } (\text{NOT}(P))$ , (c)  $P \text{ AND } (\text{NOT}(P))$ . **NEITHER SAT OR VALID**  $\equiv T$

**SAT** **SAT & VALID**

→ **Question 3.** Is the following statement true? If an expression  $\varphi$  is satisfiable then  $\varphi$  is valid. **F**

*If  $\varphi$  is valid then  $\varphi$  is satisfiable* ✓

**Question 4.** Suppose  $\varphi$  is valid. Then  $\text{NOT}(\varphi)$  is (a) satisfiable, (b) valid, (c) **not satisfiable**.

$\varphi$  is SAT  $\text{NOT}(\varphi)$  *If  $\varphi$  is SAT then  $\text{NOT}(\varphi)$  is not valid*

~~AND~~  $P \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(P)$  **1**

$P \text{ OR } Q$  valid

Given expression  $\varphi$ , is  $\varphi$  satisfiable

Building a truth table for  $\varphi$

If  $\varphi$  depends on  $n$  variables then truth table has  $2^n$

QUESTION: Is there a better algorithm?

$$P \stackrel{?}{=} NP$$