

# CS 173: Discrete Structures, Spring 2009

## Quiz 2 Solutions

1. (7 points) Mark the following claims as “true” or “false”

(a)  $n^2 + \log_{10} n = \Theta(n^2)$

**Solution:** True. We can ignore the  $\log_{10} n$  term because it is dominated by the  $n^2$  term.

(b)  $n = \Theta(n^3)$

**Solution:** False.  $n$  grows slower than  $n^3$ , so  $n = O(n^3)$  but not  $n = \Omega(n^3)$ .

(c) If a function  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  then the function  $g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$

**Solution:** True. This is how we defined  $\Omega$  in lecture.  $O$  and  $\Omega$  are opposite inequalities.

(d) If a function  $f(n) = O(h(n))$  and a function  $g(n) = O(h(n))$  then the function  $f(n)g(n) = O(h(n))$

**Solution:** False. Suppose that  $f(n) = g(n) = h(n) = n$ . Then the first two relations hold, but the second is false, because  $n \cdot n = n^2$  is not  $O(n)$ .

(e) Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$ .  $f$  is onto.

**Solution:** True. Since the reals include all integers and each integer maps onto itself, the output of  $f$  includes all the integers.

(f) A function from  $\mathbb{N}^2$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  cannot be one-to-one because  $\mathbb{N}^2$  has more elements than  $\mathbb{N}$ . (Remember that  $\mathbb{N}^2$  is the set of all pairs of natural numbers.)

**Solution:** False. We saw a one-to-one function of this sort on Homework 4.

(g) Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are sets. If I prove that every element of  $A$  is also an element of  $B$ , I can conclude that  $A = B$ .

**Solution:** False. You can only conclude that  $A \subseteq B$ . To show that  $A = B$ , we would also have to show that every element of  $B$  is also an element of  $A$ .

2. (4 points)

One of these two statements is true and one is false. State which one is false and explain clearly why it is false.

(a) For every integer  $x$ , there is an integer  $y$  such that  $y > 3x - 14$ .

(b) There is an integer  $x$ , such that for every integer  $y$ ,  $y > 3x - 14$ .

**Solution:** The second statement (b) is false. Suppose we choose some integer  $x$ . Then the integer  $y = 3x - 357$  (for example) is less than  $3x - 14$

3. (4 points) Define the function  $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x, y) = x + y$ . Show that  $f$  is not one-to-one by giving a specific counter-example.

**Solution:** Consider  $(0, 3)$  and  $(3, 0)$ .  $f(0, 3) = 3 = f(3, 0)$ . So these two input points map onto the same output number, which is inconsistent with  $f$  being one-to-one.

4. (4 points) Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function whose inputs and outputs are real numbers. Define what it means for  $f$  to be strictly increasing.

**Solution:**  $f$  is strictly increasing if, for all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $x < y$  implies that  $f(x) < f(y)$ .

5. (6 points)

Let's define a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  as follows:

- Base cases:  $f(1) = 5$  and  $f(2) = 10$
- Induction:  $f(n) = 2f(n-1) + f(n-2)$  for all  $n \geq 3$

Supply the missing (boxed) parts of the following proof by induction that  $f(n) < 3^n$  for all integers  $n \geq 3$ .

Proof: By induction on  $n$ .

Base case or cases:

**Solution:**  $f(3) = 2f(2) + f(1) = 25$  which is smaller than  $3^3 = 27$ .  $f(4) = 2f(3) + f(2) = 60$  which is smaller than  $3^4 = 81$ .

Notice that the claim is only true for  $n \geq 3$ , so this should be your first base case. The second base case is required because the inductive step needs to reach back two integers (e.g. from  $k+1$  back to  $k-1$ ).

Inductive hypothesis: [Spell out the specifics of the hypothesis for the inductive step. Don't just refer to "the claim."]

**Solution:** Suppose that our claim holds for every integer between 3 and  $k$ . That is, for every integer  $j$ ,  $3 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $f(j) < 3^j$ .

Notice that our proposition  $P(n)$  is  $f(n) < 3^n$ . We need a "strong" inductive hypothesis because the rest of the inductive step uses not only the result for  $k$  but also the result for  $k-1$ . The "weak" version would be that there is some  $k \geq 3$  (or  $\geq 4$ ), such that  $f(k) < 3^k$ .

Finally, notice that our inductive step was proving the result true for  $k+1$  (not  $k$ , not  $n+1$ ), so our inductive hypothesis needs to cover values up through  $k$  (not up through  $k-1$ , not up through  $n$ ).

This question was deliberately hard but with much potential for partial credit. So typical scores were around 3 points out of 6.

We need to show that our claim holds for  $k+1$ . We can assume that  $(k+1) \geq 5$ , since smaller values were covered by the base case(s).

By the definition of  $f$ , we know that  $f(k+1) = 2f(k) + f(k-1)$ .

Applying the induction hypothesis twice, we find that

$$2f(k) + f(k-1) < 2 \cdot 3^k + f(k-1) < 2 \cdot 3^k + 3^{k-1}$$

But  $2 \cdot 3^k + 3^{k-1} < 2 \cdot 3^k + 3^k = 3 \cdot 3^k = 3^{k+1}$  by high-school algebra.

Combining these inequalities, we find that  $f(k+1) < 3^{k+1}$ , which is what we needed to show.  $\square$