Physics 524

Survey of Instrumentation & Laboratory Techniques: Unit 5: Cooling & Thermal management

Lecture 4 of 4 $(13:1)$

(5.7) Examples from cooling silicon tracking detectors in particle physics (ATLAS, CMS, LHCb)

We've seen some examples from the present running of ATLAS:

- **Saturated Fluorocarbons of the form Cⁿ F(2n+2) like C⁶ F¹⁴ (current use as liquid coolant in parts of the CMS Silicon tracker) and C³ F8 (current use in most of the ATLAS Silicon tracker) which have respective GWP²⁰ of around 6640 & 5890 x CO² .**
- **New upgraded trackers are however being built for the High Luminosity phase of LHC to run from 2029-2041**
- **A core aim in these detectors is to use low Global Warming Potential coolants**
- **Any coolant must be non-flammable, non-toxic, non-oxone depleting, (electrically) non-conductive, radiation resistant and have low or zero GWP**
- **CO² cooling is being pushed hard at CERN but has problems of high operating pressure and a limiting evaporating temperature of -56 °C (triple point temperature where 3 phases of CO² co-exist (liquid, vapor, solid CO² 'snow')**

(5.7) Examples from cooling particle physics Si trackers (ATLAS, CMS, LHCb) *Two distinct types of detector cooling geometry:*

(1) Tube and block 'DNA'

ATLAS barrel SCT (present) ATLAS ITK Barrel longerons and Si module block attachment (future HL-LHC)

Disadvantages: longer heat conduction path (more interfaces) Si (colder) coolant

Advantages: shortest heat conduction path to coolant: coolant can be warmer: Disadvantages: fraglity issues: channels etched in silicon and cover plate attached

Micro-channel cooling: more on the LHCb VELO upgrade

O.A. de Aguiar Francisco, W. Byczynski, K. Akiba et al.

O.A. de Aguiar Francisco, W. Byczynski, K. Akiba et al.

Fig. 20. Microchannel assembly, consisting of a microchannel cooler soldered to a fluidic connector, ready to be equipped with VELO module components.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RmlQwLdfFZg>

Micro-channel cooling: more on the LHCb VELO upgrade **Recent mastery of evaporative CO² cooling in microchannels**

Fig. 2. The left side of the figures shows the typical channel shape for the bi-phase CO₂ microchannel cooling implementation. The pressure drop at the point where the channel expands should bring the coolant to the saturation point as it enters the region of the detector to be cooled. The diagram on the right illustrates the principle of the Two-Phase Accumulator Controlled Loop (2PACL) cooling concept used in LHCb [6].

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hsLXi9QTxUo> **A film narrated by LHCb physicist Paula Collins**

Despite these evident successes, the coolant is not directly passing through the detector chips, just through a heat collector plates onto which they are bonded which contain 200 x 120 micron etched microchannels .

So the silicon pixel detectors and their readout electronics are *almost directly* **cooled by fluid evaporating in microchannels.**

We can therefore ask:

- **will processor chips ever have microchannels etched into them for direct coolant flow?**
- **will this coolant be liquid, or evaporative for reduced mass flow?**
- **how would the cooling pipes be connected?**
- **what would the (necessarily electrically non-conductive) fluid be: a fluorocarbon**, **CO² or a new low GWP fluid, for example from the 3M "NOVEC" range?**

The CO² problems:

(1) High evaporation pressure at room temperature (60-70 bar) the detector has to 'surf ' down the saturated vapor line to the operating evaporation temperature of -30 -40 °C (pressure around 12 - 18 bars): fatigue cycling an issue in microchannels..?

(2) The high triple point temperature of -56 °C limits the lowest temperature attainable in the tubes of a tube & block cooling system: may not be cold enough for operation of Si detectors after years of irradiation in the LHC High Luminosity program (2019-41)

Low GWP Alternatives to CO₂:

(1)Noble gases like Xenon and Krypton

- *Very expensive and in very short supply, particularly since the war in Ukraine.*
- *Complex transcritical circulation for Krypton (outside the scope of this unit);*
- *Xenon probably just squeaks in (~50 bar @ 15 C) but still has relatively high pressure evaporation at room temp, but no triple point problem (-112 ˚C)*

(2) Fluoroketone (C_nF_{2n}O) replacements for saturated fluorocarbons (C_nF_(2n+2))

- *The substitution of two fluorine atoms with an oxygen atom can reduce the GWP to zero: if the oxygen atom is on the end or on a side-arm of the molecule (see next slide);*
- *Ultraviolet scission of the molecules in the upper atmosphere des not created long-lived debris molecules (references given in unit support notes);*
- *(Cⁿ F2nO) molecules with the same number of carbons as their (Cⁿ F(2n+2)) partners will hve similar thermodynamics (molecular weight difference = 22 units);*
- *3M NOVEC 649 (C⁶ F12O) authorised for use as a C⁶ F¹⁴ replacement at CERN (liquid cooling)*

A Fluoroketone (Cⁿ F2nO) replacement for a saturated flurorcarbon (Cⁿ F(2n+2))

Thermophysical Properties of NOVEC 649 (C⁶ F12O) & C⁶ F¹⁴ (at 25ºC except where noted: after [7.15])

Physics 524: Survey of Instrumentation & Laboratory Techniques:

Unit 5: Cooling & Thermal management © G. Hallewell (2024)

On the turning away…

Example: The uncertain ECHA (European Chemicals Agency) route to fluorocarbon (PFC, PFAS…) prohibition *(A path paved with impracticalities..?)*

Massive use of fluorocarbons in electronics industry for semiconductor manufacture and soldering complex computer mother boards with SMD components

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjp/s13360-023-04703-w>

Saturated fluorocarbons (Cⁿ F(2n+2)) and their Spurred fluoroketone (Cⁿ F2nO) analogs

(with 20 year Global Warming Potentials, where measured)

GHS Classification Criteria for Inhalation Toxicity

Placement of oxygen atom can also determine chemi-potential, flammability & toxicity

Some Thermodynamic comparisons two convenient SFCs, CO² , Xe (F-K thermodynamics should be similar to same carbon order SFCs)

(5.7) SWOT analysis of cooling fluids HL-LHC

• *Last problem (6): See separate sheet – really one for the sleuth*:

- while a new C_3F_6O isomer might have similar thermodynamics to C_3F_8 , at low to zero GWP, $(C_3F_8 \& C_3F_6O$ differ in mol. wt. by 22 units) it is not perfect for cooling a processor chip at room temperature. An evaporation pressure nearer 1 bar_{abs} would be better). What fluid (or even blend of fluids) in the $C_nF_{2n}O$ spectrum might be better, and why?
- **Hint:** the figures below may help in this.

So where does this leave the option of immersion cooling of processors, or the direct liquid cooling of processor ships themselves thru microchannels?

3M seem to have lost enthusiasm to produce any more fluorinated fluids after 2025, but companies like F2 Chemicals (Preston, UK), Astor (Ru), Synquest (FL), Techspray (GA) continue (probably many others: e.g.China)

Some 3M NOVEC fluids are HFCs with GWPs in the ranges of hundreds: Better to concentrate on Cⁿ F2nO molecules over the full carbon spectrum with GWP = 0. But the needs of the semiconductor & electronics industries will be determinant…