

Do all **three** problems. Check each step before proceeding as there will be no propagation of errors. Marks will be subtracted for any equation that is obvious nonsense.

1) Green Function: Consider the homogeneous boundary value problem

$$-y'' + m^2y = f(x), \quad y(a) = y(b) = 0. \quad (\star)$$

Here m^2 is a positive constant.

- Find suitable solutions $y_L(x)$ and $y_R(x)$ that can be used to construct a Green function for this problem. [4 points]
- Compute the Wronskian of your y_L and y_R . Verify that your answer is compatible with the Weierstrass formula applied to (\star) . [4 points]
- Construct the explicit Green function appropriate to this problem. [4 points]
- Use your Green function to write down the solution of the boundary value problem as the sum of two explicit integrals over complementary components of the unit interval. [4 points]
- Confirm that your solution $y(x)$ obeys both boundary conditions, and that it does indeed solve the original problem. [4 points]

2) Orthogonality and Completeness: The Macdonald functions $K_\lambda(x)$ with purely imaginary index $\lambda = i\mu$ are real-valued when $0 < x < \infty$, and obey $K_{i\nu}(x) = K_{-i\nu}(x)$. They also possess the orthogonality property

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} K_{i\mu}(x) K_{i\nu}(x) = \frac{\delta(\mu - \nu)}{2\nu \sinh \nu\pi}.$$

(You do not need to know anything about Macdonald functions other than what you have just been told!)

- Assuming that these functions form a complete set for expanding out functions on $x > 0$, write down the *completeness relation* that expresses this fact. [10 points]
- Given a function $f(x)$ defined for $x > 0$, we form its *Kontorovich-Lebedev* transform $\tilde{f}(\nu)$ by

$$\tilde{f}(\nu) = \int_0^\infty K_{i\nu}(x) f(x) dx.$$

Write down the expression for the inverse transform that allows us to recover $f(x)$ from $\tilde{f}(\nu)$. [10 points]

3) Noether's theorem: Recall (you do **not** need to prove this) that a translation-invariant action integral

$$S[\varphi_a] = \int \mathcal{L}(\varphi_a, (\varphi_a)_\nu) d^d x, \quad \text{where} \quad (\varphi_a)_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial \varphi_a}{\partial x^\mu}$$

gives rise to a conserved *canonical energy-momentum tensor*

$$T^\mu{}_\nu = \sum_a \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\varphi_a)_\mu} \partial_\nu \varphi_a - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu{}_\nu.$$

- a) Use this general formula with the assignment $\phi = \varphi_1$, $\rho = \varphi_2$ to find the energy current $T^\mu{}_0$, and the three components ($i = 1, 2, 3$) of the momentum current $T^\mu{}_i$, for the case that S is the action

$$S[\phi, \rho] = - \int dt d^3 x \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \rho (\nabla \phi)^2 + u(\rho) \right\}$$

for a barotropic fluid. [10 points]

- b) Recall that the fluid velocity is given by $\mathbf{v} = \nabla \phi$ and show that the energy-momentum conservation law

$$\partial_\mu T^\mu{}_\nu = 0$$

leads to both the momentum conservation equation

$$\partial_t \{\rho v_i\} + \partial_j \{\rho v_i v_j + \delta_{ij} P\} = 0,$$

and to the energy conservation equation

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \partial_i \{v_i (\mathcal{E} + P)\} = 0.$$

In this last equation you should have an explicit expression for the energy density \mathcal{E} in terms of ρ , ϕ etc. [8 points]

- c) Explain physically why both \mathcal{E} and P appear in the energy current. [2 points]

Hint: A useful formula for the pressure is

$$P = - \left(\rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \rho (\nabla \phi)^2 + u(\rho) \right).$$

— End —