## Phys 487 Discussion 3 – Magnetic Dipole Moment

## **Problem 1 : Zeeman Effect**

adapted from Griffiths 4.33

An electron is at rest in an oscillating external magnetic field that points in the z-direction:

 $\vec{B} = B_0 \cos(\omega t) \,\hat{z}$ 

where  $B_0$  and  $\omega$  are constants. The magnetic field couples to the spin of the electron via its magnetic dipole moment, giving the following Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = -\vec{\mu}\cdot\vec{B} = -\gamma\,\hat{\vec{s}}\cdot\vec{B}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio and  $\hat{\vec{s}}$  is the usual spin operator for a spin-½ particle :

$$\hat{\vec{s}} = (\hat{s}_x, \hat{s}_y, \hat{s}_z) = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$$

- (a) Write down the Hamiltonian in terms of the  $\hat{s}_{z}$  operator.
- (b) The electron's spin can be in the state

$$|s,m_s\rangle = \left|\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \left|\uparrow\rangle$$
 or  
 $|s,m_s\rangle = \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \left|\downarrow\rangle$  or

any linear combination of these two states. Write down the Hamiltonian as a  $2 \times 2$  matrix in the ordered basis

$$\left\{ \left|\uparrow\right\rangle,\left|\downarrow\right\rangle\right\} .$$

(c) Suppose that the electron is initially pointing in the +x-direction. That means that it starts in the + $\hbar/2$  eigenstate of  $\hat{s}_x$ , which is

$$\left|\psi(0)\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|\uparrow\right\rangle + \left|\downarrow\right\rangle\right)$$

Determine the time-evolved state  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  under the given Hamiltonian.

▶ HINT: Since the Hamiltonian is **time-dependent**, you have to go back to basics: you have to write down the Schrödinger equation, write it down for this particular Hamiltonian, and solve it. Happily, the solution for this particular Hamiltonian is pretty simple to find.

## **Problem 2 : Spin-Orbit Coupling and Good Quantum Numbers**

Taking into account the effect of spin-orbit coupling, the Hamiltonian of the electron in a hydrogen atom is

 $H = H_0 + \beta \ \vec{l} \cdot \vec{s}$ 

where  $\beta$  is a positive real number,  $H_0$  is the Bohr Hamiltonian, and the second term is the spin-orbit interaction. Note that we are leaving off the ^ symbols on operators for brevity, as is commonly done. (At this point in your studies, it's clear in most situations what is an observable and and what isn't.)

The observables  $l^2$ ,  $s^2$ ,  $l_z$ , and  $s_z$  all commute with  $H_0$ , which means that their eigenvalues  $\hbar^2 l(l+1)$ ,  $\hbar^2 s(s+1)$ ,  $\hbar m_l$ , and  $\hbar m_s$ , are all *conserved* in the Bohr model, which in turn means that l, s,  $m_l$ , and  $m_s$  are all *good quantum numbers*. However, this is not necessarily true after the spin-orbit interaction is taken into account.

(a) Show that  $[H, l_z]$  and  $[H, s_z]$  are not zero. Hints are in the checkpoint.

(b) Show that  $[H, l^2]$  and  $[H, s^2]$  are zero.

(c) Given your findings in (a) and (b), indicate which of these quantum numbers are NOT good quantum numbers when the spin-orbit interaction is present:

 $l, s, m_l, m_s$ 

(d) Show that these two observables ARE good quantum numbers even when the spin-orbit interaction is present:

$$j^2 = \left| \vec{l} + \vec{s} \right|^2$$
 and  $j_z = l_z + s_z$ .

These correspond respectively to the magnitude<sup>2</sup> and z-component of the electron's TOTAL angular momentum.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Q2 (a) Hint 1: Expand the dot product component-by-component.

Hint 2: You need the canonical commutators of angular momentum:  $[l_x, l_y] = i\hbar l_z$  & cyclic permutations

<sup>...</sup> which we copied over to spin:  $[s_x, s_y] = i\hbar s_z$  & cyclic permutations

<sup>...</sup> which (just to point it out) therefore applies to *all* forms of angular momentum:  $[j_x, j_y] = i\hbar j_z \&$  perm.

<sup>(</sup>b) Hint: The angular momentum commutators lead to this OTHER important commutator:  $[l^2, l_i] = 0$ , and the same for s and j

<sup>(</sup>c) Answer: l and s are still good quantum numbers, but  $m_l$  and  $m_s$  are not.

<sup>(</sup>d) Hint: Perhaps you recall from 486 this SUPER-useful relation :  $\vec{l} \cdot \vec{s} = (j^2 - l^2 - s^2)/2$