
Effective Lab Oral Reports

David Hertzog, Eugene V. Colla, Virginia O. Lorenz University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

October 4, 2022



We will present some of our slides and many Phys 403 student slides as examples. We will talk about why they are or are not well-constructed examples.

All remarks about slides are in these red boxes

Include an eye-catching feature on title slide

This is a technical presentation, so you must develop it as a logical sequence

What was the goal?

- What physics did you address?
- What technology?
- Define your special vocabulary here

What did you actually do?

- Apparatus / Procedures / Raw Data
- **X** What are your results?
 - Polished graphs, proofs, numerical findings
 - Principal difficulties and uncertainties

Conclusions

Slide title tells what the slide is about. The rest of the slide supports the assertion.

> Fonts matter for projectors Arial Comic Sans Times Courier

For online talks using sans serif font is not important -- computer monitors have much better resolution that screen projectors.

Choose readable font sizes and slide backgrounds

Write titles in size 32 bold

Write body text in size 18-20

Write comments / citations in size 14

Choose readable font sizes and slide backgrounds

Write titles in size 32 bold

Write body text in size 18-20

Write comments / citations in size 14



Choose readable font sizes and slide backgrounds

Write titles in size 32 bold

Write body text in size 18-20

Write comments / citations in size 14


Make good contrast between text and background


Presentation components and grading scale

CRITERIA		
Attended both days		
Title was sent to instructor on time		
First slide has appropriate title, name, affiliation, date		
Scientific background, goal and motivation were clearly and correctly presented		
Research activities were clearly and correctly presented		
Results were clearly and correctly presented		
Technical aspects: good balance of text and figures, good quality figures, appropriate citations, correct spelling, correct number of significant digits, etc.		
Time management: good balance between Introduction-Procedure-Results- Analysis		
Spoke clearly, at a good pace, loud enough, etc.		
Finished on time and answered questions clearly and correctly		
Total Each speaker has 15 minutes, including questions.	100	
We recommend 13 min. talk + 2 min. questions.		

Title

OPTICAL STUDY OF FERROELECTRIC POTASSIUM DIDEUTERIUM PHOSPHATE (DKDP)








Phase transition of Helium 4


Below T_λ = 2.17 K, helium exists in mixture of superfluid and normal liquid helium




The experimental concept in one animation ...


What happens if they are struck by pulses?


A pulse or a series of pulses is used to change the net magnetization of system. Pulsed NMR!




What happens to a nucleus in a magnetic field ?



















Setup diagrams, apparatus, measuring idea...




Everybody loves an optical bench, but unless you map out the elements and the beam paths, it doesn't mean much

Experimental Apparatus




Example of an image that is not a good setup diagram without labels (but it can go on a title slide)







Samples: preparation, configuration etc.












Presenting data is your most important and challenging task





Examples of plots showing results



Examples of plots showing results

Difference in Up-Down (unnormalized) Fit equation $Ne^{\frac{-t}{\tau}} (1 + \alpha \cos(\omega t + \delta))$


 χ^2 / ndf 106.3 / 95 Difference UP-DOWN Scale 436.6 ± 14.8 Lifetime 2.265 ± 0.067 Amp 0.1782 ± 0.0302 400 Freg 4.804 ± 0.113 -1.682 ± 0.274 Phase 300 200 100 0

Put citations in the slide where you use the image, not at the end of the talk

Courtesy Samuel Homiller and Pakpoom Buabthong Fall 2013


Examples of plots showing results

Difference in Up-Down (normalized) Fit equation $Ne^{\frac{-t}{\tau}} (1 + \alpha \cos(\omega t + \delta))$




Courtesy Samuel Homiller and Pakpoom Buabthong Fall 2013


Results – witnessing a mystery?





Fitting to the Curie-Weiss law







 $C = 3563.3 \pm 0.4$ K $T_c = 118.9825 \pm 0.0003$ K

Courtesy Zongyuan Wang and Arnulf Taylor Su 2017


AFM of Optical Data Storage Media

DVD





Blu-Ray



	CD	DVD	Blu-Ray
Mark length	0.99 - 2.96	0.48 - 1.45	0.14 - 0.41
Track pitch	1.63	1.00	0.40
Track width	0.50	0.24	0.15

Units in µm

Fitting the data


$$V = C \sqrt{\left(\frac{T - T_{offset}}{T_{\lambda}}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{T - T_{offset}}{T_{\lambda}}\right)^{5.6}\right)} \implies V = C \left[\left(\frac{T - T_{offset}}{T_{\lambda}}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{T - T_{offset}}{T_{\lambda}}\right)^{\beta}\right)\right]^{\gamma}$$

Offset, intrinsic to the experiment

$$C \approx 26$$

$$T_{\lambda} \approx 2.17$$

Fit to the exponents as well
Reference where this equation came from




Perform the 5 parameter fit-


The values that are obtained are not very close to the expected values

Also, the fit is not the best

Try to fit the data with this function




The data refuses to fit to this function




Finish your talk with discussion and conclusions and a slide showing the main points you want us to remember

- Make sure you discuss the principal uncertainties.
 - For most of these experiments, it will be how accurately does your instrument measure something
 - A few experiments will also have statistical uncertainties ... more data leading to a better finding
- Include a representative (simplified) graphic
 - This slide will be up during question period so this graphic will get burned into people's memory
- Because this is a lab, offer some advice for others who follow




Magnetic Field Calibration


- The magnetic field from the Earth and other residual magnetic fields is minimized by rotating the stand and adjusting the vertical field coils to minimize the zero field peak width.
- With the main field coils off, the sweep field is applied to determine the center of the zero field resonance (was found to be at 0.251A; using the geometry of the coils, this corresponds to 0.151 gauss).
- RF field is adjusted to provide maximum transition probability.



Too many words on slide


Also do not use note cards during your talk -- practice giving your talk out loud to smooth your oral delivery






Great data but symbols are too small

Nice figure



Too many lines – graph should be "polished" (Optical Pumping)



Use more contrasting colors for lines

Deadlines

- All talk titles should be submitted via email to Prof. Colla no later than midnight Friday, October 7th
- Presentation files should be uploaded on my.physics no later than 11:00 AM the day of your presentation