ECE 537 Fundamentals of Speech Processing Problem Set 8

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Assigned: Sunday, 10/30/2022; Due: Friday, 11/4/2022 Reading: Graves, Fernández, Gomez & Schmidhuber, "Connectionist Temporal Classification: Labelling Unsegmented Sequence Data with Recurrent Neural Networks," 2006

1. The CTC article defines as the joint probability of current and future states, $\beta_t(s) = p(\mathbf{l}'_{s:(2U+1)}|\mathbf{x}_{t:T})$ (Eq. (9) in the article), as a consequence of which the posterior state probability has the awkward form shown in the summand of Eq. (14), $p(\pi_t = l'_s | \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{y'_t} \alpha_t(s) \beta_t(s)$. Note that there is an inconsistency

in the article: Equations (5) and (9) define s as an index into the length- $|\mathbf{l}|$ sequence \mathbf{l} , but Equations (6)-(8) and (10)-(16) define s as an index into the length- $(2|\mathbf{l}|+1)$ sequence \mathbf{l}' . We will assume the latter definition, and will use the symbol U to mean $|\mathbf{l}|$.

In this problem, we will consider a definition of $\beta_t(s)$ that gives slightly cleaner equations. Consider the following definition:

$$\beta_t(s) \equiv p(\mathbf{l}'_{s:(2U+1)} | \mathbf{x}_{(t+1):T}, \pi_t = \mathbf{l}'_s)$$
(1)

Note that Eq. (1) specifies that the label sequence starting from time t is $\mathbf{l}_{s:(2U+1)}$, but that, rather than depending on $\mathbf{x}_{t:T}$, this probability is dependent on $\mathbf{x}_{(t+1):T}$ and $\pi_t = l'_s$.

(a) (1 point) Given the definition of $\beta_t(s)$ in Eq. (1), and the definition of $\alpha_t(s)$ in the article's Eq. (5), what is $p(\pi_t = l'_s | \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{x})$ as a function of $\alpha_t(s)$ and $\beta_t(s)$?

Solution:

$$p(\pi_t = l'_s | \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{x}) = \alpha_t(s) \beta_t(s)$$

(b) (1 point) Given the definition of $\beta_t(s)$ in Eq. (1), what is the "initialize" step of the backward algorithm? In other words, find a formula for $\beta_T(s)$ in terms of any of the neural net outputs. If you wish, you can use U to mean the length of **l**, and 2U + 1 to mean the length of **l**'.

Solution:

$$\beta_T(s) \equiv p(\mathbf{l}'_{s:(2U+1)} | \mathbf{x}_{(T+1):T}, \pi_T = l'_s)$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1 & s \in \{2U, 2U+1\}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(c) (1 point) Given the definition of $\beta_t(s)$ in Eq. (1), what is the "iterate" step of the backward algorithm? In other words, find a formula for $\beta_t(s)$ in terms of $\beta_{t+1}(s')$, and in terms of any of the neural net outputs. Be sure to take into account the fact that the character at time t+1 may be s, s+1, or, if $l'_s \neq b$ and $l'_s \neq l'_{s+2}$, s+2. Note that your answer will be a little different from Eq. (10) in the article, because our definition of $\beta_t(s)$ is a little different.

Solution:

$$\begin{split} \beta_t(s) &\equiv p(\mathbf{l}'_{s:(2U+1)} | \mathbf{x}_{(t+1):T}, \pi_t = l'_s) \\ &= \begin{cases} y_{l'_s}^{t+1} \beta_{t+1}(s) + y_{l'_{s+1}}^{t+1} \beta_{t+1}(s+1) & l'_s = b \text{ or } l'_s = l'_{s+2} \\ y_{l'_s}^{t+1} \beta_{t+1}(s) + y_{l'_{s+1}}^{t+1} \beta_{t+1}(s+1) + y_{l'_{s+2}}^{t+1} \beta_{t+1}(s+2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

(d) (1 point) The new definition of $\beta_t(s)$ requires a revision of Equations (14) and (15) in the article. How should these two equations read if one is using the new definition of $\beta_t(s)$?

Solution: Equation (14) should read:

$$p(\mathbf{l}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{s=1}^{|\mathbf{l}'|} \alpha_t(s) \beta_t(s)$$

Equation (15) should read:

$$\frac{\partial p(\mathbf{l}|\mathbf{x})}{\partial y_k^t} = \frac{1}{y_k^t} \sum_{s \in \mathbf{lab}(\mathbf{l},k)} \alpha_t(s) \beta_t(s)$$

2. Using the un-numbered equations preceding Eq. (15) in the article, it's possible to re-write Eq. (15) as

$$\frac{\partial p(\mathbf{l}|\mathbf{x})}{\partial y_k^t} = \frac{1}{y_k^t} p(\mathbf{l}, \pi_t = k | \mathbf{x}),$$

where $p(\mathbf{l}, \pi_t = k | \mathbf{x})$ is the probability that the label sequence is \mathbf{l} , and that the character generated at time t is k. Differentiating the loss function $\mathcal{L} = -\ln p(\mathbf{l} | \mathbf{x})$ therefore gives us

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial y_k^t} = -\frac{1}{y_k^t} \frac{p(\mathbf{l}, \pi_t = k | \mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{l} | \mathbf{x})} = -\frac{1}{y_k^t} p(\pi_t = k | \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{x})$$

Defining $\gamma_t(k) = p(\pi_t = k | \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{x})$ gives the equation reported in lecture:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial y_k^t} = -\frac{\gamma_t(k)}{y_k^t}$$

Suppose we know that the softmax outputs, y_k^t , are defined in terms of the softmax logits, u_i^t , as

$$y_k^t = \frac{e^{u_k^t}}{\sum_j e^{u_j^t}}$$

You may recall, from homework 7, that the derivative of the softmax can be written in this way:

$$\frac{\partial y_k^t}{\partial u_i^t} = \begin{cases} y_k^t (1 - y_k) & i = k\\ -y_k^t y_i^t & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Eq. (2) is sometimes written as:

$$\frac{\partial y_k^t}{\partial u_i^t} = y_k^t (\delta_{ik} - y_i^t),\tag{3}$$

where δ_{ik} is an indicator function, defined as

$$\delta_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Remember that the chain rule is

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial u_i^t} = \sum_k \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial y_k^t} \frac{\partial y_k^t}{\partial u_i^t}$$

Use the chain rule to prove Eq. (16) in the article, i.e., to show that $\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial u_i^t} = y_i^t - \gamma_t(i)$. Hint: what is $\sum_k \gamma_t(k)$?

Solution:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial u_i^t} &= \sum_k \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial y_k^t} \frac{\partial y_k^t}{\partial u_i^t} \\ &= -\sum_k \frac{\gamma_t(k)}{y_k^t} y_k^t(\delta_{ik} - y_i^t) \\ &= -\sum_k \gamma_t(k) \delta_{ik} + \sum_k \gamma_t(k) y_i^t \\ &= -\gamma_t(i) + y_i^t \end{split}$$