# ECE 537 Practice Exam 3 

## UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
The real exam will be December 16, 2022 in class

- This is a closed-book exam.
- You are allowed to bring two $8.5 \times 11$ sheets of handwritten notes (front and back).
- No calculators are allowed. Please do not simplify explicit numerical expressions.
- There are 200 points in the exam. Points for each problem are specified by the problem number.

Name: $\qquad$

NetID: $\qquad$

## Possibly Useful Charts and Formulas

$$
G(1000, L)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} G\left(1000, L_{k}\right), \quad b_{k}=\left[\frac{250+\Delta f}{1000}\right] Q\left(L_{k}\right)
$$




TABLE III
Values of $G\left(L_{k}\right)$.

| $L$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10 | 0.015 | 0.025 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.70 |
| 0 | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.90 | 2.51 | 3.40 | 4.43 | 5.70 | 7.08 | 9.00 | 11.2 |
| 10 | 13.9 | 17.2 | 21.4 | 26.6 | 32.6 | 39.3 | 47.5 | 57.5 | 69.5 | 82.5 |
| 20 | 97.5 | 113 | 131 | 151 | 173 | 197 | 222 | 252 | 287 | 324 |
| 30 | 360 | 405 | 455 | 505 | 555 | 615 | 675 | 740 | 810 | 890 |
| 40 | 975 | 1060 | 1155 | 1250 | 1360 | 1500 | 1640 | 1780 | 1920 | 2070 |
| 50 | 2200 | 2350 | 2510 | 2680 | 2880 | 3080 | 3310 | 3560 | 3820 | 4070 |
| 60 | 4350 | 4640 | 4950 | 5250 | 5560 | 5870 | 6240 | 6620 | 7020 | 7440 |
| 70 | 7950 | 8510 | 9130 | 9850 | 10600 | 11400 | 12400 | 13500 | 14600 | 15800 |
| 80 | 17100 | 18400 | 19800 | 21400 | 23100 | 25000 | 27200 | 29600 | 32200 | 35000 |
| 90 | 38000 | 41500 | 45000 | 49000 | 53000 | 57000 | 62000 | 67500 | 74000 | 81000 |
| 100 | 88000 | 97000 | 106000 | 116000 | 126000 | 138000 | 150000 | 164000 | 180000 | 197000 |
| 110 | 215000 | 235000 | 260000 | 288000 | 316000 | 346000 | 380000 | 418000 | 460000 | 506000 |
| 120 | 556000 | 609000 | 668000 | 732000 | 800000 | 875000 | 956000 | 1047000 | 1150000 | 1266000 |

Dynamic Time Warping

$$
A_{i, k}=\max \left(A_{i-1, k}, A_{i, k-1}, a_{i, k}+A_{i-1, k-1}\right)
$$

Linear Prediction

$$
\begin{gathered}
s[n]=G e[n]+\sum_{m=1}^{p} a_{m} s[n-m]=h[n] * x[n] \\
H(z)=\frac{G}{1-\sum_{m=1}^{N} a_{m} z^{-m}}=\frac{G}{\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1-p_{k} z^{-1}\right)} \\
\mathcal{E}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2}[n]=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(s[n]-\sum_{m=1}^{p} a_{m} s[n-m]\right)^{2} \\
0=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(s[n]-\sum_{m=1}^{p} a_{m} s[n-m]\right) s[n-k], \quad 1 \leq k \leq p \\
\vec{c}=\Phi \vec{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Hidden Markov Models

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\alpha}_{t}(j) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\alpha}_{t-1}(i) a_{i j} b_{j}\left(\vec{x}_{t}\right), \quad \hat{\alpha}_{t}(j)=\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{\alpha}_{t}(j)} \\
\beta_{t}(i) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} b_{j}\left(\vec{o}_{t+1}\right) \beta_{t+1}(j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq t \leq T-1 \\
\bar{a}_{i j} & =\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \xi_{t}(i, j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \xi_{t}(i, j)}, \quad \bar{\mu}_{i}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(i) \vec{o}_{t}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Formant Synthesis

$$
c_{k}=-e^{-2 \pi B_{k} T}, \quad b_{k}=2 e^{-\pi B_{k} T} \cos \left(2 \pi F_{k} T\right), \quad a_{k}=1-b_{k}-c_{k}
$$

## Softmax

$$
p(i \mid f)=\frac{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{\sum_{j} \exp \left(f_{j}\right)} \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial(-\ln p(i \mid f))}{\partial f_{k}}= \begin{cases}p(i \mid f)-1 & k=i \\ p(k \mid f) & k \neq i\end{cases}
$$

CTC

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mathcal{L}}{d y_{k}^{\tau}} & =-\frac{\gamma_{\tau}(k)}{y_{k}^{\tau}}, \quad \gamma_{\tau}(k)=p\left(\pi_{\tau}=k, \ell \mid \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{1}{y_{k}^{\tau}} \sum_{s: \ell_{s}^{\prime}=k} \alpha_{\tau}\left(\ell_{1: s}^{\prime}\right) \beta_{\tau}\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right) \\
\beta_{\tau}\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right) & =y_{\ell_{s}^{\prime}}^{\tau}\left(\beta_{\tau+1}\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right)+\beta_{\tau+1}\left(\ell_{(s+1):\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right)+\beta_{\tau+1}\left(\ell_{(s+2):\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right)\left[\ell_{s}^{\prime} \neq-\wedge \ell_{s}^{\prime} \neq \ell_{s+2}^{\prime}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Transformer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Attention}(Q, K, V) & =\operatorname{softmax}\left(Q K^{T}\right) V \\
\operatorname{head}_{i} & =\operatorname{Attention}\left(Q W_{i}^{Q}, K W_{i}^{K}, V W_{i}^{V}\right) \\
\operatorname{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) & =\operatorname{Concat}\left(\operatorname{head}_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{head}_{h}\right) W^{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Self-Supervised

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{CPC}} & =-\sum_{t} \ln \frac{\exp \left(\operatorname{Score}\left(x_{t+k}, c_{t}\right)\right)}{\sum_{x \in X} \exp \left(\operatorname{Score}\left(x, c_{t}\right)\right)} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HuBERT}} & =-\sum_{t \in M} \ln \frac{\exp \left(\operatorname{Score}\left(A o_{t}, e_{c}\right)\right)}{\sum_{c^{\prime}=1}^{C} \exp \left(\operatorname{Score}\left(A o_{t}, e_{c^{\prime}}\right)\right)} \\
\nabla_{z_{e}(x)} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{VQVAE}} & \approx \nabla_{z_{q}(x)} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{VQVAE}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Speech Resynthesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i} & =\sum_{j=-D}^{D} K_{j} h_{i+j}, \quad\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{2 L+1}\right]=\left[0, z_{1}, 0, z_{2}, 0, \ldots, 0, z_{L}, 0\right] \\
\mathcal{L}_{G}(D, G) & =\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left[\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{adv}}\left(G, D_{j}\right)+\lambda_{f m} \mathcal{L}_{f m}\left(G, D_{j}\right)\right]+\lambda_{r} \mathcal{L}_{\text {recon }}(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

1. (30 points) A particular signal contains component tones at $150,300,1050$, and 1200 Hz . The levels of these four components are $70,70,45$, and 50 dB SPL, respectively.
(a) Find the loudness levels of all four components.

Solution: The loudness levels of these four tones are $60,68,45$, and 50 phons, respectively.
(b) Find the loudness of each of these four components.

Solution: The loudnesses are 4350, 7020, 1500, and 2200 sones, respectively.
(c) What is the total loudness of this signal?

Solution: The masking coefficients are $1,0.8\left(\frac{400}{1000}\right)$, 1 , and $0.9\left(\frac{400}{1000}\right)$. The total loudness of this signal is $4350+0.8\left(\frac{400}{1000}\right) 7020+1500+0.9\left(\frac{400}{1000}\right) 2200$.
(d) Suppose that the correlogram of this signal is computed using critical bandwidths $B(f)$ given by the following equation, where $B(f)$ and $f$ are both in Hertz:

$$
B(f)= \begin{cases}100 & 0 \leq f \leq 1000 \\ 200 & 1000<f \leq 2000 \\ 300 & 2000<f \leq 3000 \\ 400 & 3000<f \leq 4000\end{cases}
$$

What is the correlogram of this signal, as a function of the filter center frequency $f$ and autocorrelation delay $\tau$ ?

Solution: OK, let's first find the amplitudes of each tone. Those would be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=A_{\text {ref }} 10^{70 / 20} \\
& A_{2}=A_{\text {ref }} 10^{70 / 20} \\
& A_{3}=A_{r e f} 10^{45 / 20} \\
& A_{4}=A_{r e f} 10^{50 / 20}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{\text {ref }}$ is whatever amplitude corresponds to 1 dB . The autocorrelation of $A \cos (\omega t+\theta)$ is $\frac{A^{2}}{2} \cos (\omega \tau)$, so

$$
\phi(f, \tau)= \begin{cases}0 & f<50 \\ \frac{A_{1}^{2}}{2} \cos (2 \pi 150 \tau) & 100<f<200 \\ 0 & 200<f<250 \\ \frac{A_{2}^{2}}{2} \cos (2 \pi 300 \tau) & 250<f<350 \\ 0 & 350<f<1000 \\ \frac{A_{3}^{2}}{2} \cos (2 \pi 1050 \tau) & 1000<f<1100 \\ \frac{A_{3}^{2}}{2} \cos (2 \pi 1050 \tau)+\frac{A_{4}^{2}}{2} \cos (2 \pi 1200 \tau) & 1100<f<1150 \\ \frac{A_{4}^{2}}{2} \cos (2 \pi 1200 \tau) & 1150<f<1300 \\ 0 & 1400<f\end{cases}
$$

2. (20 points) Consider an LPC-based speech synthesizer with no pitch prediction; thus the speech signal $s_{k}[n]$ is generated from an excitation signal $e_{k}[n]$ using only

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}[n]=e_{k}[n]+\sum_{m=1}^{p} a_{m} s_{k}[n-m], \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{m}$ are the linear prediction coefficients. Note that Eq. (1) can also be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{k}(z) & =\frac{1}{1-P(z)} E_{k}(z) \\
P(z) & =\sum_{m=1}^{p} a_{m} z^{-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that we wish to exhaustively test $K$ different candidate excitations, $e_{k}[n]$, for $1 \leq k \leq K$. We want to choose the excitation that minimizes the perceptually weighted error, $\mathcal{E}_{k}$, defined as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y_{k}^{2}[n]
$$

where

$$
Y_{k}(z)=\frac{1-P(z)}{1-P(z / \alpha)} S_{k}(z)
$$

Demonstrate that $y_{k}[n]$ can be generated from $e_{k}[n]$ using only $p$ multiplications per sample.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{k}(z) & =\frac{1}{1-P(z / \alpha)} E_{k}(z) \\
P(z / \alpha) & =\sum_{m=1}^{p} a_{m} \alpha^{m} z^{-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if we compute the coefficients $c_{m}=\alpha^{m} a_{m}$ once per frame, we can then compute all of the frame's $N$ samples using

$$
y_{k}[n]=e_{k}[n]+\sum_{m=1}^{p} c_{m} y_{k}[n-m]
$$

3. (20 points) The scaling constant, in the standard scaled-forward algorithm, can be interpreted as

$$
c_{t}=P\left(o_{t} \mid o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t-1}, \lambda\right)
$$

This is an intriguing quantity; it suggests that we are predicting the next spectrum, given the previous spectra. Suppose that somebody else has provided you with a table of the non-scaled forward probabilities for a particular waveform,

$$
\alpha_{t}(i)=P\left(q_{t}=i, o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t} \mid \lambda\right)
$$

Is it possible to compute $c_{T}$ for the last frame without computing the scaled forward algorithm for all time steps? In other words, can you come up with a formula for $c_{T}$ in terms of $\alpha_{t}(i), a_{i, j}$, and $b_{i}(k)$, for some appropriate values of $i, j, t, k$, but without computing the scaled forward algorithm for all time steps?

Solution: First, we want a probability conditioned on $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t-1}$. We can get that by normalizing $\alpha_{t-1}(i)$ :

$$
P\left(q_{t-1}=i \mid o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t-1}, \lambda\right)=\frac{\alpha_{t-1}(i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{t-1}(j)}
$$

Then we can find the probability of $o_{t}$ given $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t-1}$ by summing over all of the ways in which $o_{t}$ could have been made:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(o_{t} \mid o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t-1}, \lambda\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P\left(q_{t-1}=i \mid o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t-1}, \lambda\right) a_{i j} b_{j}\left(o_{t}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{t-1}(i) a_{i j} b_{j}\left(o_{t}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{t-1}(j)} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}(j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{t-1}(j)}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. (40 points) A neural net can be used to transform, in frame $n$, a source speaker's vector of formant frequencies, $s_{n}=\left[s_{n, 1}, \ldots, s_{n, 3}\right]^{T}$, to $\hat{t}_{n}$, an estimate of the target speaker's vector of formant frequencies, $t_{n}=\left[t_{n, 1}, \ldots, t_{n, 3}\right]^{T}$. This can be done using a two-layer fully-connected network of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n}=\tanh \left(W_{1} s_{n}\right) \\
& \hat{t}_{n}=W_{2} h_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The weight matrices $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are trained to minimize

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n}\left\|t_{n}-\hat{t}_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Note that, for scalar $y=\tanh (x), \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}=1-y^{2}$.
(a) Formant frequency measurements are sometimes erroneous. The effect of a formant frequency error on training can be estimated by finding the sensitivity of the loss gradient to the formant. Let $W_{\ell, i, j}$ be the $(i, j)^{\text {th }}$ element of $W_{\ell}$; the sensitivity of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{\ell, i, j}}$ to an error in the measurement of $s_{n, k}$ can be estimated as $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{n, k}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{\ell, i, j}}\right)$. Find the sensitivity of the layer-2 gradient, i.e., find

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{n, k}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{2, i, j}}\right)
$$

Your answer need not be simplified, but it should contain no unresolved derivatives.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{2, i, j}} & =\sum_{n} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{t}_{n, i}} \frac{\partial \hat{t}_{n, i}}{\partial W_{2, i, j}} \\
& =\sum_{n}\left(\hat{t}_{n, i}-t_{n, i}\right) h_{n, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

This depends on $s_{n, k}$ in two ways: both $\hat{t}_{n, i}$ and $h_{n, j}$ depend on $s_{n, k}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{n, k}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{2, i, j}}\right) & =\sum_{n}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{t}_{n, i}}{\partial s_{n, k}} h_{n, j}+\left(\hat{t}_{n, i}-t_{n, i}\right) \frac{\partial h_{n, j}}{\partial s_{n, k}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n}\left(h_{n, j} \sum_{\ell} \frac{\partial \hat{t}_{n, i}}{\partial h_{n, \ell}} \frac{\partial h_{n, \ell}}{\partial s_{n, k}}+\left(\hat{t}_{n, i}-t_{n, i} \frac{\partial h_{n, j}}{\partial s_{n, k}}\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{n}\left(h_{n, j} \sum_{\ell} W_{2, i, \ell}\left(1-h_{n, \ell}^{2}\right) W_{1, \ell, k}+\left(\hat{t}_{n, i}-t_{n, i}\right) W_{2, i, j}\left(1-h_{n, j}^{2}\right) W_{1, j, k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) During test time, errors in measuring $s_{n}$ can cause errors in the estimated target, $\hat{t}_{n}$, which, in turn, cause errors in the synthesis filter. Let the synthesis filter for the $k^{\text {th }}$ formant at frame $n$ be

$$
R_{n, k}(z)=\frac{a_{n, k}}{1-b_{n, k} z^{-1}-c_{n, k} z^{-2}}
$$

where $b_{n, k}$ and $c_{n, k}$ are computed so that $R_{n, k}(z)$ is a resonator at a frequency of $\hat{t}_{n, k}$ Hertz, with a bandwidth of $B_{k}$ Hertz. Assume that the bandwidths, $B_{k}$, are fixed, and that only the formant frequencies, $\hat{t}_{n, k}$, are computed at the output of the neural network. How much does an error in the estimation of $s_{n, j}$ affect the filter coefficient $b_{n, k}$ ? In other words, what is $\frac{\partial b_{n, k}}{\partial s_{n, j}}$ ? Your answer will be a function of the variables provided, and of the sampling period $T$.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n, k} & =2 e^{-\pi B_{k} T} \cos \left(2 \pi \hat{t}_{n, k} T\right) \\
\frac{\partial b_{n_{k}}}{\partial s_{n, j}} & =-4 \pi T e^{-\pi B_{k} T} \sin \left(2 \pi \hat{t}_{n, k} T\right) \frac{\partial \hat{t}_{n, k}}{\partial s_{n, j}} \\
& =-4 \pi T e^{-\pi B_{k} T} \sin \left(2 \pi \hat{t}_{n, k} T\right) \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \hat{t}_{n, k}}{\partial h_{n, i}} \frac{\partial h_{n, i}}{\partial s_{n, j}} \\
& =-4 \pi T e^{-\pi B_{k} T} \sin \left(2 \pi \hat{t}_{n, k} T\right) \sum_{i} W_{2, k, i}\left(1-h_{n, i}^{2}\right) W_{1, i, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. (40 points) Consider an RNN with

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n} & =\tanh \left(U x_{n}+V h_{n-1}\right)=\left[h_{n, 1}, \ldots, h_{n, d}\right]^{T} \\
y_{n} & =\operatorname{softmax}\left(W h_{n}\right)=\left[y_{n, 1}, \ldots, y_{n, c}\right]^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose the output is scored using CTC, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{L}=-\ln \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{z})} \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_{n, \pi_{n}}
$$

Let $\ell^{\prime}=\left[\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{2 L+1}^{\prime}\right]=\left[-, z_{1},-, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{L},-\right]$ be the blank-expansion of $\mathbf{z}=\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{L}\right]$.
(a) Assume $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$ is a character that occurs nowhere else in $\ell^{\prime}$, i.e., $\forall j \neq i, \ell_{j}^{\prime} \neq \ell_{i}^{\prime}$. What is $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{n, \ell_{i}^{\prime}}}$ ? If you need auxiliary variables, define them clearly.

Solution: There are at least two very different-looking solutions to this problem, but I think they are actually the same, even though they look different.

1. By directly differentiating, you get

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{n, \ell_{i}^{\prime}}}=-\frac{1}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{z})} \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_{n, \pi_{n}}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{z}) \text { and } \pi_{n}=\ell_{i}^{\prime}} \prod_{m: m \neq n} y_{m, \pi_{n}}
$$

2. You can get an equivalent but very different-looking solution by copying the answer down from the formula sheet, and appropriately modifying the notation.

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{n, \ell_{i}^{\prime}}}=\frac{\gamma_{n}\left(\ell_{i}^{\prime}\right)}{y_{n, \ell_{i}^{\prime}}}
$$

where $\gamma_{n}\left(\ell_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
\gamma_{n}\left(\ell_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{y_{n, \ell_{i}^{\prime}}} \sum_{s: \ell_{s}^{\prime}=\ell_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha\left(\ell_{1: s}^{\prime}\right) \beta\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right) \quad=\frac{1}{y_{n, \ell_{i}^{\prime}}} \alpha\left(\ell_{1: s}^{\prime}\right) \beta\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{\tau}\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right) & =y_{\ell_{s}^{\prime}}^{\tau}\left(\beta_{\tau+1}\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right)+\beta_{\tau+1}\left(\ell_{(s+1):\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right)+\beta_{\tau+1}\left(\ell_{(s+2):\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right)\left[\ell_{s}^{\prime} \neq-\wedge \ell_{s}^{\prime} \neq \ell_{s+2}^{\prime}\right]\right) \\
\alpha_{\tau}\left(\ell_{s:\left|\ell^{\prime}\right|}^{\prime}\right) & =y_{\ell_{s}^{\prime}}^{\tau}\left(\alpha_{\tau-1}\left(\ell_{1: s}^{\prime}\right)+\alpha_{\tau-1}\left(\ell_{1:(s-1)}^{\prime}\right)+\alpha_{\tau-1}\left(\ell_{1:(s-2)}^{\prime}\right)\left[\ell_{s}^{\prime} \neq-\wedge \ell_{s}^{\prime} \neq \ell_{s-2}^{\prime}\right]\right) \\
\beta_{N}(\ell) & = \begin{cases}y_{N,-} & \ell=[-] \\
y_{N, \ell_{2 L}^{\prime}} & \ell=\left[\ell_{2 L}^{\prime},-\right] \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
\alpha_{1}(\ell) & = \begin{cases}y_{1,-} & \ell=[-] \\
y_{1, \ell_{2}^{\prime}} & \ell=\left[-, \ell_{2}^{\prime}\right] \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Suppose you have already computed $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{n, k}}$ for a particular $n$, and for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, c\}$. Suppose you have also already computed $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{n+1, j}}$ for the same $n$, and for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$. In terms of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{n, k}}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{n+1, j}}$, what is $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{n, i}}$, as a function of $i$, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ ?

## Solution:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{n, i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{n+1, j}} \frac{\partial h_{n+1, j}}{\partial h_{n, i}}+\sum_{k=1}^{c} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{n, k}} \frac{\partial y_{n, k}}{\partial h_{n, i}}
$$

Now,

$$
h_{n+1, j}=\tanh _{j}\left(U x_{n+1}+V h_{n}\right),
$$

so,

$$
\frac{\partial h_{n+1, j}}{\partial h_{n, i}}=\left(1-h_{n+1, j}^{2}\right) V_{j, i}
$$

Similarly, but somewhat more challenging,

$$
y_{n, k}=\frac{\exp \left(W_{k,:} h_{n}\right)}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{c} \exp \left(W_{\ell,:} h_{n}\right)},
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial y_{n, k}}{\partial h_{n, i}} & =\frac{\exp \left(W_{k,:} h_{n}\right)}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{c} \exp \left(W_{\ell,:} h_{n}\right)} W_{k, i}-\sum_{m=1}^{c} \frac{\exp \left(W_{k,:} h_{n}\right) \exp \left(W_{m,:} h_{n}\right.}{\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{c} \exp \left(W_{\ell,:} h_{n}\right)\right)^{2}} W_{m, i} \\
& =y_{n, k}\left(W_{k, i}-\sum_{m=1}^{c} y_{n, m} W_{m, i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting those together, we get that

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{n, i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{n+1, j}}\left(1-h_{n+1, j}^{2}\right) V_{i, j}+\sum_{k=1}^{c} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{n, k}} y_{n, k}\left(W_{k, i}-\sum_{m=1}^{c} y_{n, m} W_{m, i}\right)
$$

6. (25 points) Suppose

$$
Y=\operatorname{softmax}\left(Q K^{T}\right) V
$$

Let $Y_{i, j}, Q_{i, j}, K_{i, j}$, and $V_{i, j}$ be the $(i, j)^{\text {th }}$ elements of the matrices $Y, Q, K$ and $V$, respectively. What is $\frac{\partial Y_{i, j}}{\partial K_{k, \ell}}$ ? If you need any intermediate variables, please define them.

Solution: This problem is actually much harder than I thought it was when I designed it. First, let's define the attention matrix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\operatorname{softmax}\left(Q K^{T}\right) \\
A_{i, j} & =\frac{\exp \left(\sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{j, m}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp \left(\sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{n, m}\right)} \\
Y & =A V \\
Y_{i, j} & =\sum_{p} A_{i, p} V_{p, j} \\
\frac{\partial Y_{i, j}}{\partial K_{k, \ell}} & =\sum_{p} \frac{\partial A_{i, p}}{\partial K_{k, \ell}} V_{p, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

And now the hard part:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial A_{i, p}}{\partial K_{k, \ell}} & =\frac{\exp \left(\sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{p, m}\right)}{\sum_{n} \exp \left(\sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{n, m}\right)} \frac{\partial \sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{p, m}}{\partial K_{k, \ell}} \\
& -\sum_{q} \frac{\exp \left(\sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{p, m}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{q, m}\right)}{\left(\sum_{n} \exp \left(\sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{n, m}\right)\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial \sum_{m} Q_{i, m} K_{q, m}}{\partial K_{k, \ell}} \\
& = \begin{cases}A_{i, k}\left(1-A_{i, k}\right) Q_{i, \ell} & p=k \\
-A_{i, p} A_{i, k} Q_{i, \ell} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting it together, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial Y_{i, j}}{\partial K_{k, \ell}}=A_{i, k} Q_{i, \ell}\left(V_{k, j}-\sum_{p} A_{i, p} V_{p, j}\right)
$$

7. (25 points) The HuBERT loss function is

$$
\mathcal{L}=-\sum_{n} \ln \frac{\exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e_{n}\right)}{\sum_{e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}} \exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e^{\prime}\right)},
$$

where $c_{n}$ is the transformer output at time $n, e_{n}$ is the codevector corresponding to the input spectrum at time $n$, and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of all codevectors. The codevectors are not usually trained from data, but it would be possible to train them from data. For example, we could use the following gradient descent algorithm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \leftarrow v-\eta \nabla_{v} \mathcal{L} \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{E} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is a learning rate. Prove that the gradient descent step shown in Eq. 22 moves $v$ toward the Transformer outputs, $c_{n}$, of all of the frames for which $e_{n}=v$, and moves $v$ away from $c_{m}$ in all frames for which $e_{m} \neq v$.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{v} \mathcal{L} & =-\sum_{n} \nabla_{v} \ln \frac{\exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e_{n}\right)}{\sum_{e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}} \exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{n: e_{n}=v}\left(\frac{\exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e_{n}\right)}{\sum_{e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}} \exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e^{\prime}\right)}-1\right) c_{n}+\sum_{m: e_{m} \neq v}\left(\frac{\exp \left(c_{m}^{T} e_{m}\right)}{\sum_{e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}} \exp \left(c_{m}^{T} e^{\prime}\right)}\right) c_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The CPC gradient descent step would then be

$$
v \leftarrow v+\sum_{n: e_{n}=v}\left(1-\frac{\exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e_{n}\right)}{\sum_{e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}} \exp \left(c_{n}^{T} e^{\prime}\right)}\right) c_{n}-\sum_{m: e_{m} \neq v}\left(\frac{\exp \left(c_{m}^{T} e_{m}\right)}{\sum_{e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}} \exp \left(c_{m}^{T} e^{\prime}\right)}\right) c_{n}
$$

The factor multiplying each $c_{n}$ for which $e_{n}=v$ is a positive number, since the softmax is always less than 1. The factor multiplying each $c_{m}$ for which $e_{m} \neq v$ is a negative number, since the softmax is always greater than 0 .

