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What is a word?

Noun

word (countable and uncountable, plural words)

1. The smallest unit of language that has a particular meaning and can be expressed by itself; the smallest discrete, meaningful unit of language. (contrast morpheme.) [quotations ▼]
   1. The smallest discrete unit of spoken language with a particular meaning, composed of one or more phonemes and one or more morphemes [quotations ▼]
   2. The smallest discrete unit of written language with a particular meaning, composed of one or more letters or symbols and one or more morphemes [quotations ▼]
2. A discrete, meaningful unit of language approved by an authority or native speaker (compare non-word). [quotations ▼]
3. Something like such a unit of language:
   1. A sequence of letters, characters, or sounds, considered as a discrete entity, though it does not necessarily belong to a language or have a meaning [quotations ▼]

Examples

The word inventory may be pronounced with four syllables (ˈɪn.vɛn.to.ɹ/) or only three (ɪnˈvɛn.tər/).

The word island is six letters long; the s has never been pronounced but was added under the influence of isle.

The word about signed in American Sign Language.
What is a word?

Is this a word?
What is a word? Is this a word? Is this a different word, or the same word?
What is a word?

Is this a word?

Are these the same word, or different words?
Lemma

A lemma is what humans usually think of as a “word.” It is defined to be the form of the word that appears in a dictionary.
• Other wordforms that can be easily predicted from the lemma need not be listed.
Wordform

A wordform is a unique sequence of characters.

• Wordforms are much easier for computers to find than lemmas, therefore most automatic processing deals with wordforms.

• ...however, we lose something. “dog” and “dogs” become completely unrelated – as unrelated as “dog” and “exaggerate.”
Word sense

Often, a word has different meanings that are completely unrelated. We think of them as different words, that just happen to be spelled and pronounced the same way.

We say that these are different “senses” of the same word.
Wordform, lemma, and word sense

• wordform
  • easy for a computer to work with: just look for space-bounded sequences of characters

• lemma
  • This is what humans think of as a word. A cluster of wordforms whose spellings, pronunciations, and meanings can all be derived from one another by applying simple rules.

• word sense
  • A meaning so distinct from the other meanings of the word that it’s hard to consider them the same word.
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Synonymy and similarity

• Words are “synonyms” if they have exactly the same meaning.
• No words ever have exactly the same meaning, so no two words are ever exactly synonyms.
• We prefer to talk about word similarity, \( 0 \leq s(w_1, w_2) \leq 1 \)
  • \( s(w_1, w_2) = 1 \): \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are perfect synonyms. Never happens in practice, but sometimes close.
  • \( s(w_1, w_2) = 0 \): \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are completely different.

\[ s(w_1, w_2) \]

0.98 (vanish, disappear)

0.73 (behave, obey)

0.60 (belief, impression)

0.37 (muscle, bone)

0.01 (modest, flexible)

0.003 (hole, agreement)
Algorithms that try to estimate the similarity of two wordforms can be tested on databases such as SimLex-999.

Humans rated the similarity of each word pair on a 10-point scale.
Similarity vs. Relatedness

**Similar**: words can be used interchangeably in most contexts

**Related**: there is some connection between the two words, such that they tend to appear in the same documents.
Similarity: The Internet is the database

Similarity = words can be used interchangeably in most contexts
How do we measure that in practice?
Answer: extract examples of word $w_1$, +/- N words (N=2 or 3):

...hot, although iced **coffee** is a popular...
...indicate that moderate **coffee** consumption is benign...

...and of $w_2$:

...consumed as iced **tea**. Sweet tea is...
...national average of **tea** consumption in Ireland...

The words “iced” and “consumption” appear in both contexts, so we can conclude that $s(\text{coffe}, \text{tea}) > 0$. No other words are shared, so we can conclude $s(\text{coffee, tea}) < 1$. 
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Vector semantics

- If word $w_1$ is represented by vector $\mathbf{v}_1 = [v_{11}, ..., v_{1D}]$, we say that $\mathbf{v}_1$ is the D-dimensional embedding of word $w_1$.
- The general area of vector semantics (represent the meaning of a word as a vector) goes back to the 1950s, in the field of information retrieval.
cosine similarity

If words $w_1$ and $w_2$ are similar, $w_1$ is represented by vector $\vec{v}_1$, and $w_2$ by vector $\vec{v}_2$, then the angle between the two vectors should be small.

Angle between two vectors can be measured by their dot product:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2}{|\vec{v}_1||\vec{v}_2|}$$

where

$$\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = \sum_{d=1}^{D} v_{1d} v_{2d}, \quad |\vec{v}_1| = \sqrt{\sum_{d=1}^{D} v_{1d}^2}$$
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word2vec

• **word2vec**, or skip-gram, is an algorithm for training real-valued vectors to represent each word.

• If word $w_1$ is represented by vector $\vec{v}_1 = [v_{11}, ..., v_{1D}]$, we say that $\vec{v}_1$ is the D-dimensional **embedding** of word $w_1$.

• The general area of **vector semantics** (represent the meaning of a word as a vector) goes back to the 1950s, in the field of information retrieval (more about that in the next lecture).

• word2vec is an algorithm for learning those vectors using a one-layer neural network, in such a way that similar words are close together in the vector space.
Word2vec: context probability

The key innovation of word2vec is the idea of representing similarity as the probability that words \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) could occur in the same context, and of estimating the probability using a sigmoid.

Consider the “...hot although iced coffee is a popular...”.

Define the target word to be \( w = \text{coffee} \).

Define the context words \( c_{-3} = \text{hot}, c_{-2} = \text{although}, ..., c_3 = \text{popular} \).

Use a naïve Bayes model of the context probability:

\[
p(c_{-3}, ..., c_3 | w) = \prod_{i=-3}^{3} p(c_i | w)
\]
word2vec: context probability

Now suppose we want to embed \( w = \text{coffee} \) with a vector \( \vec{v} \).

...and we want to embed \( c_{-3} = \text{hot} \) with a vector \( \vec{c} \).

Define the probability that “hot” occurs within +/-N words of “coffee” to be just a sigmoid:

\[
p(c|w) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\vec{c} \cdot \vec{v}}}
\]
word2vec: training

We train the neural network by listing, as positive examples, the words that occur in the context of “\( w = \text{coffee} \),” e.g.,

\[
\mathcal{D}_+(w) = \{ \text{hot, although, iced, moderate, the, hot, consumption, ... } \}
\]

Create a negative database by selecting words at random from the vocabulary, each word in proportion to its frequency in the whole dataset:

\[
\mathcal{D}_-(w) = \{ \text{aardvark, dog, gazebo, the, precipitates, ... } \}
\]
word2vec: training

The coefficients $\mathbf{v}_i = [v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{iD}]$ for each vector are then learned in order to maximize the log probability of the dataset:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \ln p(\text{Data}) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}} \ln p(\mathcal{D}_+(w) | w) + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}} \ln p(\mathcal{D}_-(w) | w)
$$

$$
= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{D}_+(w)} \ln p(c | w) + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{D}_-(w)} \ln (1 - p(c | w))
$$

$$
= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}_+(\mathbf{w})} \ln \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}} + \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}_-(\mathbf{w})} \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}} \right)
$$

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}_+(\mathbf{w})} \ln \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}} + \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}_-(\mathbf{w})} \ln \frac{1}{1 + e^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}}
$$
word2vec: training

The coefficients $\vec{v}_i = [v_{i1}, ..., v_{iD}]$ for each vector are then learned in order to maximize the log probability of the dataset:

$$v_{id} \leftarrow v_{id} + \eta \frac{dL}{dv_{id}}$$

$$= v_{id} + \eta \frac{d}{dv_{id}} \left( \sum_{\vec{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\vec{c} \in \mathcal{D}_+(w)} \ln \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\vec{c} \cdot \vec{v}}} + \sum_{\vec{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\vec{c} \in \mathcal{D}_-(w)} \ln \frac{1}{1 + e^{\vec{c} \cdot \vec{v}}} \right)$$

There’s one more issue to consider here: if the word coffee occurs as a center word (w=coffee) or a context word (c=coffee), should those vectors ($\vec{v}$ and $\vec{c}$, respectively) be the same vector, or different vectors? The results are slightly different; which one is better depends on the application for which you’re training word2vec.
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Visualizations: Similarity

Mikolov et al. (2013) tested word2vec on SimLex-999, and had better results than previously published baselines. Here are some examples from their paper. Notice that not all of their “similar words” are really similar – some are just related. I’ll talk more about that next time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model (training time)</th>
<th>Redmond</th>
<th>Havel</th>
<th>ninjutsu</th>
<th>graffiti</th>
<th>capitulate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collobert (50d) (2 months)</td>
<td>conyers lubbock keene</td>
<td>plauen dzerzhinsky osterreich</td>
<td>reiki kohona karate</td>
<td>cheesecake gossip dioramas</td>
<td>abdicate accede rearm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turian (200d) (few weeks)</td>
<td>McCarthy Alston Cousins</td>
<td>Jewell Arzu Ovitz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>emotion impunity</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mnih (100d) (7 days)</td>
<td>Podhurst Harlang Agarwal</td>
<td>Pontiff Pinochet Rodionov</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>anaesthetics monkeys Jews</td>
<td>Mavericks planning hesitated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skip-Phrase (1000d, 1 day)</td>
<td>Redmond Wash. Redmond Washington Microsoft</td>
<td>Vaclav Havel president Vaclav Havel Velvet Revolution</td>
<td>ninja martial arts swordsmanship</td>
<td>spray paint graffitti taggers</td>
<td>capitulation capitulated capitulating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Examples of the closest tokens given various well known models and the Skip-gram model trained on phrases using over 30 billion training words. An empty cell means that the word was not in the vocabulary.
Mikolov (2013) showed that word2vec captures similarity relationships among words. For example, the difference between the vectors for “woman” and “man” is roughly the same as the difference between the vectors for “queen” and “king.” Perone (2016) showed that this effect works differently depending on the training corpus: in his blog post, he looks at word relatedness in the 15th century Voynich manuscript.
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Learning biased analogies from data

• It’s useful that algorithms like word2vec learn appropriate analogies, like “Paris → France as Tokyo → Japan” and “kings → king as queens → queen.”

• Unfortunately, it also learns other analogies that were implied in the training corpus, but that are invalid analogies.

• The paper that first demonstrated that problem was named after one of the worst such discovered analogies:

  “Man is to Computer Programmer as Woman is to Homemaker? Debiasing Word Embeddings,” Bolukbasi et al., 2016
Biased analogies

Bolukbasi et al. defined a “male-female” continuum by subtracting vec(female) - vec(male), vec(woman) - vec(man), and so on, then averaging these difference vectors. They then took all of the words whose dictionary definitions included gender-specific language (man, woman), and considered those to be the gender-specific words (words for which a gender difference is appropriate).

All other words were considered gender-neutral (any difference on the male-female dimension is inappropriate).

The result is a second dimension: the appropriateness of a gender bias.
The Male-Female vs. Neutral-Specific Space

Here's the resulting 2D space, from Bolukbasi et al., 2016:
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\[
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}_+ (w)} \ln \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}} + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}_- (w)} \ln \frac{1}{1 + e^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}}
\]

• Visualizations
  • Similarity: list the K-nearest neighbors, show that they are similar
  • Relatedness: analogies are shown as directions in the vector space!

• Bias
  • Bias can be reduced by learning a direction that should not depend on the female-male axis, and then squashing the female-male axis to zero for words that should be gender-neutral.