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## Lenses and focus

- The lens in your eye collects light.
- Light that passes directly through the center of the lens is not bent.
- Light that passes above center is bent back toward center, and vice versa, so that it can all be collected in the same point on the image plane.



## The "pinhole camera" approximation

- A "pinhole camera" is a camera that only allows light through a very small hole.
- Disadvantage: A pinhole camera gets much less light than a lens (because the hole is smaller).
- Advantage: A pinhole camera focuses on all objects, at every
 distance, simultaneously.


## Converting a 3D world to a 2D picture

- Different spots in the real world are projected onto different points in the image plane.
- Light that passes through the center of the lens is not bent.
- Therefore, we can use the pinhole camera approximation to analyze the relationship between real world position ( $x, y, z$ ) and position on the image plane ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ).


## The pinhole camera equations

- Define the origin $(0,0,0)$ to be the pinhole.
- Define ( $x, y, z$ ) as position of the object: $x$ is horizontal (into the slide), y is vertical (upward), z is away from the camera.
- Define ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) as the position on the image plane where the light
 strikes (upside down).
- Define $f$ as the distance from the pinhole to the image plane.


## The pinhole camera equations

- These are similar triangles! So

$$
\frac{x^{\prime}}{x}=\frac{y^{\prime}}{y}=\frac{-f}{z}
$$

- Solving for ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ), we get the pinhole camera equations:


$$
\frac{x^{\prime}}{f}=-\frac{x}{z}, \quad \frac{y^{\prime}}{f}=-\frac{y}{z}
$$
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## Vanishing point

- When you take a picture, lines that are parallel in the real world appear to converge.
- The point toward which they converge is called the vanishing point. It lies on the horizon.
- The "horizon" is a line in the image, where a plane parallel to the ground passes through the
 pinhole.


## Vanishing point

- Recall the pinhole camera equations:

$$
\frac{x^{\prime}}{f}=-\frac{x}{z}, \quad \frac{y^{\prime}}{f}=-\frac{y}{z}
$$

- Suppose we have a couple of lines:

Line 1: $x_{1}=a z+c_{1}, y_{1}=b z+d_{1}$
Line 2: $x_{2}=a z+c_{2}, y_{2}=b z+d_{2}$


These are parallel lines, so they have the same slopes, $a$ and $b$.

## Vanishing point

- Plug equations for the lines into the pinhole camera equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{x_{1}^{\prime}}{f} & =-\frac{a z+c_{1}}{z},
\end{aligned} \quad \frac{y_{1}^{\prime}}{f}=-\frac{b z+d_{1}}{z}, ~ \frac{x_{2}^{\prime}}{f}=-\frac{a z+c_{2}}{z}, \quad \frac{y_{2}^{\prime}}{f}=-\frac{b z+d_{2}}{z}
$$

- As $z \rightarrow \infty$, the two lines converge to the vanishing point, which depends only on the slope of the lines, not on their shift:

$$
\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=(-f a,-f b)
$$

## Vanishing point

$(-f a,-f b)$ :

- Notice that, if the lines are on a flat plane (b=0; e.g., the ground), then the vanishing point is at the eye level of the camera ( $y^{\prime}=0$ ).
- The line $y^{\prime}=0$ is therefore called the "horizon."
- If the lines are not on a flat
 plane, they would not converge at $y^{\prime}=0$.


## Quiz

Try the quiz!
https://us.prairielearn.com/pl/course instance/147925/assessment/239 8230
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## Color spaces: RGB

- Every natural object reflects a continuous spectrum of colors.
- However, the human eye only has three color sensors:
- Red cones are sensitive to lower frequencies
- Green cones are sensitive to intermediate frequencies
- Blue cones are sensitive to higher frequencies


Wavelength (nm)

Illustration from Anatomy \& Physiology, Connexions Web site. http://cnx.org/content/col11496/1. 6/, Jun 19, 2013.

## Structure of the eye

- Cones (color-sensitive cells) are located in only a small area, close to the fovea
- Rods (black-and-white cells) are spread more widely.

By Rhcastilhos. And Jmarchn. -


Schematic_diagram_of_the_human_eye_with_English_annotations.svg, CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=1597930

## Structure of the eye

- Because we only have cones in the center of the eye, we can only actually see color in the center.
- The colors that you believe you see, in the periphery of your vision, are being filled in from memory by your pre-conscious visual processes (optic nerve and striate cortex).

Illustration of image as 'seen' by the retina independent of optic nerve and striate cortex processing.


By Ben Bogart - Own work, CC BY-SA 3.0,
https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=31009153
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## Color spaces: RGB

- Every natural object reflects a continuous spectrum of colors.
- However, the human eye only has three color sensors:
- Red cones are sensitive to lower frequencies
- Green cones are sensitive to intermediate frequencies
- Blue cones are sensitive to higher frequencies


Wavelength (nm)

Illustration from Anatomy \& Physiology, Connexions Web site. http://cnx.org/content/col11496/1. 6/, Jun 19, 2013.

## Color spaces: RGB

A photograph of Mohammed Alim Khan (1880-1944), Emir of Bukhara, taken in 1911 by Sergey Prokudin-Gorsky using three exposures with blue, green, and red filters.

- By activating LED or other display hardware at just three discrete colors (R, G, and $B$ ), it is possible to fool the human eye into thinking that it sees a continuum of colors.
- Therefore, a so-called "color" camera is really three different black-andwhite photographs:
- $R\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is the brightness of red light at position ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ )
- $\mathrm{G}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is brightness of green.
- $B\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is brightness of blue.


By Sergei Prokudin-Gorskii - Taken from the Library of Congress' website and converted from TIFF to PNG.TIFF file from LOC, Public Domain, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=1470606
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## Color features: Luminance

- The "grayscale" image is often computed as the average of $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{G}$, and B intensities, i.e., $I\left[x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right]=\frac{1}{3}\left(R\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+B\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$
- The human eye, on the other hand, is more sensitive to green light than to either red or blue.
- The intensity of light, as viewed by the human eye, is well approximated by the standard ITU-R BT.601:

$$
Y\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=0.299 R\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+0.587 G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+0.114 B\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

- The signal $Y\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is called the luminance of light at pixel $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$.


## Color features: YPrPb

- The human eye is much more sensitive to spatial variation in luminance (brightness) than to spatial variation in chrominance (color)
- For this reason, the JPG image coding standard represents luminance, $Y\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, at twice the spatial resolution of chrominance:
- First, JPG converts ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{B}$ ) into ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Pb}$ ), where Pr and Pb represent the "degree of redness" and "degree of blueness."
- Second, JPG downsamples $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Pb}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, so that they have $1 / 2$ as many rows and $1 / 2$ as many columns as $Y\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$.
- For computer vision, we can use the same logic: represent Pr and Pb at half the resolution that we use for luminance.


## Color features: Chrominance

- Chrominance = color-shift of the image.
- We measure $P_{R}=$ red-shift, and $P_{B}=$ blue-shift, relative to luminance (luminance is sort of green-based, remember?)
- We want $P_{R}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ and $P_{B}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ to describe only the color-shift of the pixel, not its average luminance.
- We do that using

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
Y \\
P_{B} \\
P_{R}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{v}_{Y} \\
\vec{v}_{B} \\
\vec{v}_{R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where $\operatorname{sum}\left(\vec{v}_{R}\right)=\operatorname{sum}\left(\vec{v}_{B}\right)=0$.


Cr and Cb , at $\mathrm{Y}=0.5$
Simon A. Eugster, own work.

## Color features: Chrominance

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
Y \\
P_{B} \\
P_{R}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.299 & 0.587 & 0.114 \\
-0.168736 & -0.331264 & 0.5 \\
0.5 & -0.418688 & -0.081312
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

gives $\operatorname{sum}\left(\vec{v}_{R}\right)=\operatorname{sum}\left(\vec{v}_{B}\right)=0$. You don't need to memorize those numbers, but you should know that


YPbPr image 11


## Color features: Chrominance

- Some images are obviously red! (e.g., fire, or wood)
- Some images are obviously blue!
 (e.g., water, or sky)
- Average(Pb)-Average(Pr) should be a good feature for distinguishing between, for example, "fire" versus "water"
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## Edge detection by subtraction



Subtract neighboring pixels, to compute an "image gradient:"
$X$ gradient:

$$
G_{x}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(Y\left(x^{\prime}+1, y^{\prime}\right)-Y\left(x^{\prime}-1, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2} \approx \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x^{\prime}}
$$

Y gradient:


Image 0 Gy


## A problem with "edge detection by subtraction"

It tends to exaggerate noise.


## Solving the noise problem

We can solve the noise problem by smoothing the image first, then taking the difference.

Smoothing is done by taking a local average, e.g.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=-5}^{5} \sum_{n=-5}^{5}\left(\frac{1}{(11)^{2}}\right) Y\left(x^{\prime}-m, y^{\prime}-n\right) \\
& G_{x}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(S\left(x^{\prime}+1, y^{\prime}\right)-S\left(x^{\prime}-1, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$





$G_{y}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}+1\right)-S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}-1\right)\right)}{2}$

## Gaussian blur

Weighted averaging is a little better than unweighted averaging. We just need to make sure that the weights add up to one. For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=-5}^{5} \sum_{n=-5}^{5} h(m, n) Y\left(x^{\prime}-m, y^{\prime}-n\right) \\
& \quad h(m, n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\left(\left(\frac{m}{\sigma}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{n}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)} \\
& G_{x}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(S\left(x^{\prime}+1, y^{\prime}\right)-S\left(x^{\prime}-1, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2} \\
& G_{y}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}+1\right)-S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}-1\right)\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Gaussian blur filter $h(m, n)$ results in different degrees of smoothness of $S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, depending on how we set the hyperparameter $\sigma$ (the StDev):


## Gaussian blur

Weighted averaging is a little better than unweighted averaging. We just need to make sure that the weights add up to one. For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=-5}^{5} \sum_{n=-5}^{5} h(m, n) Y\left(x^{\prime}-m, y^{\prime}-n\right) \\
& \quad h(m, n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\left(\left(\frac{m}{\sigma}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{n}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)} \\
& G_{x}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(S\left(x^{\prime}+1, y^{\prime}\right)-S\left(x^{\prime}-1, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2} \\
& G_{y}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}+1\right)-S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}-1\right)\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Gaussian blur filter $h(m, n)$ looks kind of like this (plotted as a function of just $n$, for the coordinate $m=0$ ):


Plotted in 2D, it looks kind of like this:


By Krishnavedala - Own work, CCO,
https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=35701251

## Difference of Gaussians

A "difference-of-Gaussians" filter is created by subtracting two Gaussian-blur filters, like this:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(m, n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\left(\left(\frac{m}{\sigma}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{n}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)} \\
h_{x}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(h\left(x^{\prime}+1, y^{\prime}\right)-h\left(x^{\prime}-1, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{2} \\
h_{y}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(h\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}+1\right)-h\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}-1\right)\right)}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

A "difference-of-Gaussians" filter looks kind of like this:


## Difference of Gaussians

If we pre-compute the difference-of-Gaussians filters, then we can combine the weightedaverage and the subtraction into just one operation, to save computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{x}\left(x_{5}^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=-5}^{5} \sum_{n=-5}^{5} h_{x}^{\prime}(m, n) Y\left(x^{\prime}-m, y^{\prime}-n\right) \\
& G_{y}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=-5}^{5} \sum_{n=-5}^{5} h_{y}^{\prime}(m, n) Y\left(x^{\prime}-m, y^{\prime}-n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

... so we never need to compute the smoothed image, $S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, at all. We just go directly from the luminance, $Y\left(x^{\prime}-m, y^{\prime}-n\right)$, to the edge detection.

The difference-of-Gaussians filters, $h_{x}{ }^{\prime}(m, n)$ and $h_{y}{ }^{\prime}(m, n)$, detect more or less edges, depending on how we set the hyperparameter $\sigma$. Here is $\sqrt{G_{x}^{2}+G_{y}^{2}}$, thresholded to make it black and white:


By Overremorto - Own work, Public Domain,
https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=10581259

## Conclusions

- Pinhole camera equations tell you the relationship between the position on the image, $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, and the position in the real world, $(x, y, z)$. In particular, they tell you why parallel lines seem to converge at the vanishing point.
- The eye has two types of light sensors: cones (see color, only near the center), and rods (see black \& white, near the periphery). The real world has all colors, but we can fool the eye by stacking up three images ( $R, G$, and $B$ ). The YPrPB color space separates luminance ( $Y=$ average $(R, G, B)$ ) from chrominance ( $\operatorname{Pr}=\mathrm{R}$-average $(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{B}), \mathrm{Pb}=\mathrm{B}$-average $(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{G})$ ).
- Edges are caused by discontinuities of depth, orientation, illumination, and color. It's useful to detect where such things happen! Subtracting pixels is noisy, so use a difference-of-Gaussians filter instead.

