Lecture 10
PPAD and other TFNP classes

CS598

Ruta Mehta

Most slides are borrowed from Prof. C. Daskalakis's presentation.

Menu

↳ Existence Theorems: **Nash**, Brouwer, Sperner

Games and Equilibria

	Kick / Dive	Left (2/5)	Right (3/5)
1/2	Left	2 , -1	-1 , 1
1/2	Right	-1 , 1	1, -1

Equilibrium:
A pair of randomized strategies so that no player has incentive to deviate if the other stays put.

[Nash '50]: *An equilibrium exists in every game.*

no poly-time algorithm known, despite intense effort

Menu

→ Existence Theorems: Nash, **Brouwer,** Sperner

Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem

[Brouwer 1910]: Let $f : D \to D$ be a continuous function from a convex and compact subset D of the Euclidean space to itself.

Then there exists an $x \in D$ s.t. $x = f(x)$.

closed and bounded

A few examples, when D is the 2-dimensional disk.



Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem



Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem



Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem



$$Brouwer \Rightarrow Nash$$

(Nash'51)

Visualizing Nash's Proof

Kick / Dive	Left	Right
Left	1 , -1	-1 , 1
Right	-1 , 1	1, -1

Penalty Shot Game

⇨

f: $[0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]^2$, continuous
such that
fixed points $\equiv$ Nash eq.

Visualizing Nash's Proof

Kick / Dive	Left	Right
Left	1 , -1	-1 , 1
Right	-1 , 1	1, -1

Penalty Shot Game



Visualizing Nash's Proof

Kick / Dive	Left	Right
Left	1 , -1	-1 , 1
Right	-1 , 1	1, -1

Penalty Shot Game



Visualizing Nash's Proof

Dive \ Kick	Left	Right
Left	1 , -1	-1 , 1
Right	-1 , 1	1, -1

Penalty Shot Game





Visualizing Nash's Proof

		½	½
	Kick / Dive	Left	Right
½	Left	1 , -1	-1 , 1
½	Right	-1 , 1	1, -1

Penalty Shot Game





Menu

Existence Theorems: Nash, Brouwer, **Sperner**

Sperner's Lemma (2-d)





Sperner's Lemma (2-d)



[Sperner 1928]: Color the boundary using three colors in a legal way.



Sperner's Lemma (2-d)



[Sperner 1928]: Color the boundary using three colors in a legal way. No matter how the internal nodes are colored, there exists a tri-chromatic triangle. In fact an odd number of those.

Sperner's Lemma (2-d)



[Sperner 1928]: Color the boundary using three colors in a legal way. No matter how the internal nodes are colored, there exists a tri-chromatic triangle. In fact an odd number of those.

$$Sperner \Rightarrow Brouwer$$

Sperner ⇒ Brouwer (High-Level)

Given $f : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]^2$

1) For all $\epsilon > 0$, existence of approximate fixed point $|f(x)-x| < \epsilon$, can be shown via Sperner's lemma.

2) Then let $\epsilon \to 0$

For 1): Triangulate $[0,1]^2$;



Sperner ⇒ Brouwer (High-Level)

Given $f : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]^2$

1) For all $\epsilon > 0$, existence of approximate fixed point $|f(x)\text{-}x| < \epsilon$, can be shown via Sperner's lemma.

2) Then let $\epsilon \to 0$

For 1): Triangulate $[0,1]^2$;

Color points according to the direction of $(f(x)\text{-}x)$;





Sperner ⇒ Brouwer (High-Level)

Given $f : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]^2$

1) For all $\epsilon > 0$, existence of approximate fixed point $|f(x)-x| < \epsilon$, can be shown via Sperner's lemma.

2) Then let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

For 1): Triangulate $[0,1]^2$;

Color points according to the direction of $(f(x)-x)$;





Sperner ⇒ Brouwer (High-Level)

Given $f : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]^2$

1) For all $\epsilon > 0$, existence of approximate fixed point $|f(x)-x| < \epsilon$, can be shown via Sperner's lemma.

2) Then let $\epsilon \to 0$

For 1): Triangulate $[0,1]^2$;

Color points according to the direction of $(f(x)-x)$;

Apply Sperner.





2D-Brouwer on the Square

d be l_∞ norm

Suppose f: $[0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]^2$, continuous

$\forall \epsilon, \exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0, s.t.$ (by the Heine-Cantor theorem)

$d(x,y) < \delta(\epsilon) \Rightarrow d\big(f(x), f(y)\big) < \epsilon$



Choose small enough grid size so that..



Claim: If z a corner of a trichromatic triangle, then

Choosing $\delta = \min\{\delta(\epsilon), \epsilon\}$

$$|f(z) - z|_\infty < c\delta, \qquad c > 0$$

Menu

- Existence Theorems: Nash, Brouwer, Sperner
- (Constructive) proof of Sperner → PPAD.

Proof of Sperner's Lemma



[Sperner 1928]: Color the boundary using three colors in a legal way. No matter how the internal nodes are colored, there exists a tri-chromatic triangle. In fact an odd number of those.

Proof of Sperner's Lemma





For convenience introduce an outer boundary, that does not create new tri-chromatic triangles.

Also introduce an artificial tri-chromatic triangle.

Next define a directed walk starting from the artificial tri-chromatic triangle.

[Sperner 1928]: Color the boundary using three colors in a legal way. No matter how the internal nodes are colored, there exists a tri-chromatic triangle. In fact an odd number of those.

Proof of Sperner's Lemma

Space of Triangles

Transition Rule:

If ∃ ***red*** *-* ***yellow*** *door cross it with* ***red*** *on your left hand.*



[Sperner 1928]: Color the boundary using three colors in a legal way. No matter how the internal nodes are colored, there exists a tri-chromatic triangle. In fact an odd number of those.

Proof of Sperner's Lemma

Claim**:** *The walk cannot exit the square, nor can it loop into itself.*

Hence, it must stop somewhere inside. This can only happen at tri-chromatic triangle…

Starting from other triangles we do the same going forward or backward.



For convenience we introduce an outer boundary, that does not create new tri-chromatic triangles.

We also introduce an artificial tri-chromatic triangle.

Next we define a directed walk starting from the artificial tri-chromatic triangle.

[Sperner 1928]: Color the boundary using three colors in a legal way. No matter how the internal nodes are colored, there exists a tri-chromatic triangle. In fact an odd number of those.

Proof Structure: A directed parity argument



Proof: ∃ at least one trichromatic (artificial one) ➔ ∃ another trichromatic

The PPAD Class [Papadimitriou ’94]

(Polynomial Parity Argument for Directed Graph)

Suppose that an exponentially large graph with vertex set $\{0,1\}^n$ is defined by two circuits:



$N(v_1) = v_2$ & $P(v_2) = v_1$



END OF THE LINE: P and N are given. If 0^n is an unbalanced node, find another unbalanced node. Otherwise output 0^n.

PPAD = { *Problems reducible to* END OF A LINE }

END OF A LINE





[Papadimitriou '94]

PPAD-complete:

Nash eq. (even 2-player games),
Market eq., Sperner, Brouwer,
win-lose games, sparse games,
competitive eq. with equal income,
clearing payments in financial markets,
Fractional hypergraph matching,
Fractional stable path problem, …



ϵ-Brower: Given $f: D \to D$, find $x \in D, s.t. |f(x) - x| < \epsilon$

ϵ-Nash: Profile from which no player can deviate and gains by more than ϵ.

∵Exact could be irrational

Menu

- Existence Theorems: Nash, Brouwer, Sperner
- (Constructive) proof of Sperner, and PPAD
- Why not use NP?
  Total Search problems.

NP, co-NP vs PPAD



Can they be NP-hard? **NO!**

If there exists a solution?

- NP: poly-time verifier for YES answer
- co-NP: poly-time verifier for NO answer

- Here the answer is always YES!
  - The problem is to find a solution.

Total Function NP

Function NP (FNP): Search problems

Either find a solution or say there is none!

Total FNP: A search problem is called ***total*** iff a solution is guaranteed

$$\text{PPAD} \subseteq \text{TFNP} \subseteq (\text{NP} \cap \text{co-NP})$$

Complexity Theory of TFNP:

1. identify the combinatorial argument of existence, responsible for making these problems total;

2. define a complexity class inspired by the argument of existence;

3. make sure that the complexity of the problem was captured as tightly as possible (via completeness results).

PPAD:

In & out degree$\leq$ 1

0^n with in+out=1

$\exists$ another such node



Other arguments of existence, and resulting complexity classes

"If an undirected graph has a node of odd degree, then it must have another."

PPA

"Every directed acyclic graph must have a sink."

PLS

"If a function maps n elements to n-1 elements, then there is a collision."

PPP

Formally?

PPA: Polynomial Parity Argument
[Papadimitriou '94]

"If a graph has a node of odd degree, then it must have another."

Suppose that an exponentially large graph with vertex set $\{0,1\}^n$ is defined by one circuit:



$v_1 \in A(v_2)$ & $v_2 \in A(v_1)$



ODD DEGREE NODE: Given C, if 0^n has odd degree, find another node with odd degree. Otherwise say "yes".

PPA = { *Search problems in FNP reducible to* ODD DEGREE NODE}

The Undirected Graph



PLS: Polynomial Local Search

[Johnson, Papadimitriou, Yannakakis '89]

"Every DAG has a sink."

Suppose that a DAG with vertex set $\{0,1\}^n$ is defined by two circuits:



$v_2 \in A(v_1)$ & $F(v_2) > F(v_1)$



FIND SINK. Given *C*, *F*: Find x s.t. $F(x) \geq F(y)$, for all $y \in C(x)$.

PLS = { *Search problems in FNP reducible to* FIND SINK }

The DAG



PPP: Polynomial Pigeonhole Principle

[Papadimitriou '94]

"If a function maps n elements to n-1 elements, then there is a collision."

Suppose that an exponentially large graph with vertex set $\{0,1\}^n$ is defined by one circuit:



COLLISION. Given C: Find x s.t. $C(x)= 0^n$; or find $x \neq y$ s.t. $C(x)=C(y)$.

PPP = { *Search problems in FNP reducible to* COLLISION }


TFNP
PLS
PPP
PPA
PPAD
P