

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs576>

Slides based in part on slides by Tobias Nipkow

January 22, 2026

λ -calculus in a nutshell

Informal notation: $t[x]$

term t with 0 or more free occurrences of x

- **Function application:**

$f\ a$ is the function f called with argument a .

- **Function abstraction:**

$\lambda x.t[x]$ is the function with formal parameter x and body/result $t[x]$,
i.e. $x \mapsto t[x]$.

λ -calculus in a nutshell

- **Computation:**

Replace formal parameter by actual value

(“ β -reduction”): $(\lambda x. t[x])a \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[a]$

Example: $(\lambda x. x + 5) 3 \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (3 + 5)$

Isabelle performs β -reduction automatically

Isabelle considers $(\lambda x. t[x])a$ and $t[a]$ equivalent

Terms and Types

Terms must be well-typed!

The argument of every function call must be of the right type

Notation: $t :: \tau$ means t is well-typed term of type τ

Type Inference

- Isabelle automatically computes (“infers”) the type of each variable in a term.
- In the presence of *overloaded* functions (functions with multiple, unrelated types) not always possible.
- User can help with **type annotations** inside the term.
- **Example:** $f(x : \text{nat})$

Currying

- **Curried:** $f :: \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau$
- **Tupled:** $f :: \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau$

Advantage: partial application $f\ a_1$ with $a_1 :: \tau$

Moral: Thou shalt curry your functions (most of the time :-)).

Terms: Syntactic Sugar

Some predefined syntactic sugar:

- Infix: $+$, $-$, $\#$, $@$, ...
- Mixfix: `if_then_else_`, `case_of_`, ...
- Binders: $\forall x. P\ x$ means $(\forall)(\lambda x. P\ x)$

Prefix binds more strongly than infix:

$$! \quad f\ x + y \equiv (f\ x) + y \not\equiv f\ (x + y) \quad !$$

Type bool

Formulae = terms of type bool

True::bool

False::bool

$\neg :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\wedge, \vee, \dots :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

\vdots

if-and-only-if: = but binds more tightly

Type nat

$0 :: \text{nat}$

$\text{Suc} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

$+, \times, \dots :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

\vdots

Overloading

! Numbers and arithmetic operations are overloaded:

$0, 1, 2, \dots :: \text{nat or real (or others)}$

$+ :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ and

$+ :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ (and others)

You need type annotations: $1 :: \text{nat}$, $x + (y :: \text{nat})$

... unless the context is unambiguous: $\text{Suc } 0$

Type list

- `[]`: empty list
- `x # xs`: list with first element `x` (“head”) and rest `xs` (“tail”)
- Syntactic sugar: $[x_1, \dots, x_n] \equiv x_1 \# \dots \# x_n \# []$

List is supported by a large library:

`hd`, `tl`, `map`, `size`, `filter`, `set`, `nth`, `take`, `drop`, `distinct`, ...

Don't reinvent, reuse!

~> `HOL/List.thy`

A Recursive datatype

```
datatype 'a list = Nil    ("[]")  
                | Cons 'a "'a list" (infixr "#'" 65)
```

`[]`: empty list

`x # xs`: list with head `x::'a`, tail `xs::'a list`

A toy list: `False # (True # [])`

Syntactic sugar: `[False, True]`

Concrete Syntax

When writing terms and types in `.thy` files

Types and terms need to be enclosed in `"..."`

Except for single identifiers, e.g. `'a`

`" ..."` won't always be shown on slides

Structural Induction on Lists

P xs holds for all lists xs if

- $P []$
- and for arbitrary y and ys , $P\ ys$ implies $P\ (y \# ys)$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} P\ ys \\ \vdots \\ P\ (y \# ys) \end{array}}{P\ xs}$$

A Recursive Function: List Append

Definition by *primitive recursion*:

```
primrec app :: "'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
```

```
where
```

```
app [ ] ys = _____
```

```
app (x # xs) ys = _____app xs ..._____
```

One rule per constructor

Recursive calls only applied to constructor arguments

Guarantees termination (total function)

Demo: Append and Reverse

Proofs - Method 1

General schema:

```
lemma name:  " ..."  
apply ( ... )  
  ⋮  
done
```

If the lemma is suitable as a simplification rule:

```
lemma name[simp]:  " ..."
```

Adds lemma *name* to future simplifications

Proof - Method 2

General schema:

```
lemma lemma_name:  "  ..."  
proof method  
fix x y z  
assume hyp1_name:  "  ..."  
from hyp1_name  
show :  "  ..."  
  proof method  
  :  
qed  
qed
```

Will try to use only Method 2 (Isar) in lectures in class