Hardware Support for Reliability

Instructor: Josep Torrellas

CS533

Enhancing Software Reliability with Speculative Threads

Oplinger and Lam, ASPLOS 02

ReEnact: Using Thread-Level Speculation Support to Debug Data Races in Multithreaded Codes

Prvulovic and Torrellas, ISCA03

Detailed Design and Evaluation of Redundant Multithreading Alternatives

Mukherjee et al, ISCA02

ReVive: Cost-Effective Architectural Support for Rollback Recovery in Shared-Memory Multiprocessors

Prvulovic et al, ISCA02

Oplinger et al: Contributions

- Use speculative threads to support:
  - Efficient fine-grain code monitoring
  - Fine-grain transactional programming

- Goal: Enhance software reliability
- Rationale: We have plenty of transistors; no need to devote them all to performance

Fine-Grain Execution Monitoring



Main code 2 assumes monitoring code will return “success”

Question: What code speedups are possible?

Fine-Grain Execution Monitoring

- Current state of the art:
  - execution monitoring has large overheads
  - not run on production codes
- Proposed solution:
  - low overhead
  - if error caught, monitoring function communicates the failed check to the original program: return error code to the caller
  - hope to recover without terminating the program
  - typically: the speculative thread will not be rolled back

Fine-Grain Transactional Programming



All threads are speculative

All threads contain checking code at the end,

which either aborts or okays the commit

Transactional model of execution

Fine-Grain Transactional Prgm

- Envision that programs in the future be built as a composition of transactions.
- Goal: error containment and recovery
- Current programming construct in Java:

```
TRY {
        … the original code…
        if (error-detected())
                ABORT;
}CATCH{
    return an error code;
}
return OK;
}
```

If exception, go to CATCH, but side effects are not removed

Fine-Grain Transactional Prgm

- What we want:

```
        TRY <L1>;
        … the original code…
        if (error-detected())
                ABORT;
        COMMIT;
        return OK;
L1:     return an error code;
```

- If exception, side effects are removed
- architectural support needed to minimize overhead of transactions of finest grain

ReEnact: Using Thread-Level Speculation Support to Debug Data Races in Multithreaded Codes

Prvulovic and Torrellas, ISCA03

SM Provides Support to …

- **Buffer** state for squash or commit (caches)
- Maintain task **ordering** information (Task ID)
- **Monitor** communication across tasks to enforce ordering (cache coherence protocol)
- Cleanly **undo** side-effects of speculative task in a few cycles (flash-invalidate cache, restore regs)

Implementation Issues

- When entering the speculative thread:
  - Fence-type instruction that creates a checkpoint of the register state
- While executing thread speculatively:
  - Buffer all memory updates in the cache -- cannot update memory
  - Mark cache lines read and written
  - Monitor for errors or faults
- If an error or fault occurs:
  - Invalidate updated cache lines, reset marks, restore the register checkpoint
- Successful end of speculation:
  - Allow updated cache lines to be committed (displaced to memory)

ReEnact

Enhance Software Debugging:

Detect + Characterize + Correct software bugs in programs on-the-fly automatically in **production** codes

Conventional Debugging



What ReEnact Provides

- Cleanly undo group of tasks (buggy code section, hopefully)
- Re-execute those tasks only
- Re-execution of tasks is deterministic even under multithreading
- Bonus: detect bugs that appear as communication (e.g. Data Races)



Enhancing Debugging



Breaking Code into Chunks

Dynamic Instructions

```
ST X
ST Y
ADD
...
ST A
ST B
ST A
...
ST A
ST C
```



Breaking Code into Chunks

- New chunk begins ⇒ save CPU state
- Undo (squash) recent chunks if needed
  - Invalidate cache lines, restore saved CPU state
  - Enables re-execution for analysis and repair
- Commit old chunks
  - Allow displacement from cache, free saved CPU state
  - Makes room for buffering more recent chunks
  - Can not undo committed chunks

Undo Chunks

Dynamic Instructions

```
ST X
ST Y
ADD
...
ST A
ST B
ST A
...
ST A
ST C
```

Analysis requires a rerun of these chunks



Undo Chunks

Dynamic Instructions

```
ST X
ST Y
ADD
...
ST A
ST B
ST A
...
ST A
ST C
```



Chunk Commit

Dynamic Instructions

```
ST X
ST Y
ADD
...
ST A
ST B
ST A
...
ST A
ST C
```

Need to displace X from this chunk



Chunk Commit

Dynamic Instructions

```
ST X
ST Y
ADD
...
ST A
ST B
ST A
...
ST A
ST C
```



Ordering Chunks



Chunk ■ "happens before" chunk ■

Deterministic Re-execution

- Cross-thread communication $\Rightarrow$ chunk order
  - Order chunks on first communication
  - Enforce order on subsequent communication (may squash)
- Entire chunk ordered before or after another
- Deterministic re-execution $\Leftrightarrow$ repeat chunk order

Chunk Ordering by Synchronization



Data Race Detection

- Detect communication between…
  - Ordered chunks: synchronized access
  - Unordered chunks: data race detected!

Example: Missing Critical Section

Thread X

```
lock(L)
LD A
ST A
unlock(L)
```

Thread Y

```
LD A
ST A
```

Detection: Data Race



Analysis: Refine Race Signature

Thread X **Thread Y**





1. Rollback

2. Put a watchpoint on accesses to data address A

3. Re-execute assuming order:  **after** 

Found Race Signature

Thread X

```
LD A
ST A
```

Thread Y

```
LD A
ST A
```

Repair: Pattern Matching

- Analysis resulted in a detailed signature
  - Instruction & data addresses, data values, timing, etc.
- Pattern-match it with a library of common races:
  - Suggest repair to programmer, or
  - Download bug-specific patch, or
  - Try to automatically re-introduce missing ordering
- Squash chunks, re-execute with corrections

ReEnact Pros

- On the fly – debug each problem as it is found
  - Low-latency re-execution of surrounding code
  - Low-latency detection of bug symptoms
- Always on – even in production code
  - Low overhead in bug-free execution
- Debug multi-threaded code
  - Must address non-determinism of parallel execution

ReEnact Evaluation

- Low overhead in error-free execution: **6% avg**
- Highly effective: Detect, Analyze & Correct(?) SW bugs
  - Existing bugs
    - Synchronization through plain variables
    - Other existing data races
  - Induced bugs
    - Remove critical section
    - Remove barrier

How Good ReEnact is to:

	Detect	Rollback	Analyze	Match
Sync through plain variables	✓	✓	✓	✓
Other Existing Data Races	✓	✓	✓	No
Induced Bugs: Removed Lock	✓	✓	✓	✓
Induced Bugs: Removed Barrier	✓	~	~	~

- Splash-2 benchmarks on 4-proc CMP

Conclusions

- Speculative multithreading boosts software productivity
  - Enhances Software Debugging (detection, analysis, correction)
- Exciting area: cost effective use of transistors for reliability or debuggability