## CS 498ABD: Algorithms for Big Data

## Fast and Space Efficient NLA Lecture 20 <br> Nov 3, 2022

## Some topics today

We have seen fast "approximation" algorithms for matrix multiplication

- random sampling
- Using JL

Today:

- Subspace embeddings for faster linear least squares and low-rank approximation
- Frequent directions algorithms for one/two pass approximate SVD


## Subspace Embedding

Question: Suppose we have linear subspace $E$ of $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ of dimension d. Can we find a projection $\Pi: \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$ such that for every $x \in E,\|\Pi x\|_{2}=(1 \pm \epsilon)\|x\|_{2}$ ?

- Not possible if $\boldsymbol{k}<\boldsymbol{d}$.
- Possible if $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{d}$. Pick $\Pi$ to be an orthonormal basis for $\boldsymbol{E}$. Disadvantage: This requires knowing $E$ and computing orthonormal basis which is slow.

What we really want: Oblivious subspace embedding ala JL based on random projections

## Oblivious Supspace Embedding

## Theorem

Suppose $E$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ of dimension $\boldsymbol{d}$. Let $\Pi$ be a $D J L$ matrix $\Pi \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{k} \times \boldsymbol{n}}$ with $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{O}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{d}}{\epsilon^{2}} \log (1 / \boldsymbol{\delta})\right)$ rows. Then with probability $(1-\delta)$ for every $x \in E$,

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Pi x\right\|_{2}=(1 \pm \epsilon)\|x\|_{2}
$$

In other words JL Lemma extends from one dimension to arbitrary number of dimensions in a graceful way.

## Part I

## Faster algorithms via subspace embeddings

## Linear least squares/Regression

Linear least squares: Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n \times d}}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ find $x$ to minimize $\|A x-b\|_{2}$.

Interesting when $\boldsymbol{n} \gg \boldsymbol{d}$ the over constrained case when there is no solution to $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ and want to find best fit.

Geometrically $\boldsymbol{A x}$ is a linear combination of columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$. Hence we are asking what is the vector $\boldsymbol{z}$ in the column space of $\boldsymbol{A}$ that is closest to vector $\boldsymbol{b}$ in $\ell_{2}$ norm.

Closest vector to $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the projection of $\boldsymbol{b}$ into the column space of $\boldsymbol{A}$ so it is "obvious" geometrically. How do we find it?

## Linear least squares/Regression

Linear least squares: Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n \times d}}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ find $x$ to minimize $\|A x-b\|_{2}$.

Interesting when $\boldsymbol{n} \gg \boldsymbol{d}$ the over constrained case when there is no solution to $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ and want to find best fit.

Geometrically $\boldsymbol{A x}$ is a linear combination of columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$. Hence we are asking what is the vector $\boldsymbol{z}$ in the column space of $\boldsymbol{A}$ that is closest to vector $\boldsymbol{b}$ in $\ell_{2}$ norm.

Closest vector to $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the projection of $\boldsymbol{b}$ into the column space of $\boldsymbol{A}$ so it is "obvious" geometrically. How do we find it? Find an orthonormal basis $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{r}$ for the columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$. Compute projection $\boldsymbol{c}$ as $\boldsymbol{c}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}=1}^{r}\left\langle\boldsymbol{b}, z_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right\rangle z_{j}$ and output answer as $\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{c}\|_{2}$.

## Linear least squares via Subspace embeddings

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\boldsymbol{d}}$ be the columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and let $\boldsymbol{E}$ be the subspace spanned by $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{d}, b\right\}$
$\boldsymbol{E}$ has dimension at most $\boldsymbol{d}+1$.

Use subspace embedding on $E$. Applying JL matrix $\Pi$ with $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{O}\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ rows we reduce $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{d}, b$ to $a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{d}^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ which are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$.

Solve $\min _{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{d}}}\left\|\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}$

## Low-rank approximation

Recall: Given $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n} \times \boldsymbol{d}}$ and integer $k$ want to find best rank matrix $B$ to minimize $\|A-B\|_{F}$

- SVD gives optimum for all $k$. If $A=U D V^{\top}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma_{i} u_{i} v_{i}^{\top}$ then $A_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{i} u_{i} v_{i}{ }^{\top}$ is optimum for every $k$.
- $\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{i>k} \sigma_{i}^{2}$.
- $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ are $k$ orthogonal unit vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and maximize the sum of squares of the projection of the rows of $\boldsymbol{A}$ onto the space spanned by them
- $u_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{k}$ are $k$ orthogonal unit vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ that maximize the sum of squares of the projections of the columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$ onto the space spanned


## Low-rank approximation via subspace embeddings

Column view of SVD: $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ are $\boldsymbol{k}$ orthogonal unit vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ that maximize the sum of squares of the projections of the columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$ onto the space spanned

Let $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{d}}$ be the columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and let $\boldsymbol{E}$ be subspace spanned by them. $\operatorname{dim}(E) \leq \boldsymbol{d}$ obviously.
$W \log \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \in E$. Why?
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## Low-rank approximation via subspace embeddings

Column view of SVD: $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ are $\boldsymbol{k}$ orthogonal unit vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ that maximize the sum of squares of the projections of the columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$ onto the space spanned

Let $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{d}}$ be the columns of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and let $\boldsymbol{E}$ be subspace spanned by them. $\operatorname{dim}(E) \leq \boldsymbol{d}$ obviously.

Wlog $u_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \ldots, u_{k} \in E$. Why?
If $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ fixed then $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ are determined. Why?
Let $\Pi$ be an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$-approximate subspace preserving embedding for $\boldsymbol{E}$
Claim: $\left\|(\Pi A)-(\Pi A)_{k}\right\|_{F} \leq(1+\epsilon)\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|_{F}$
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## Analysis

Claim: $\left\|(\Pi A)-(\Pi A)_{k}\right\|_{F} \leq(1+\epsilon)\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|_{F}$
Proof sketch: Let $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{d}^{\prime}$ be columns of $\Pi A$ and let $u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{k}^{\prime}$ be $\Pi u_{1}, \ldots, \Pi u_{k}$.
$\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j}(i) u_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}$
From subspace embedding property of $\Pi$, $\left\|\Pi\left(a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j}(i) u_{j}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq(1+\epsilon)\left\|a_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j}(i) u_{j}\right\|_{2}$

Since $u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\prime}$ is a feasible solution for $\boldsymbol{k}$-rank approximation to $\Pi$.

Claim: $\left\|(\sqcap A)-(\Pi A)_{k}\right\|_{F} \geq(1-\epsilon)\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|_{F}$. Prove it!

## Running Time

- $\boldsymbol{A}$ has $\boldsymbol{d}$ columns in $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ and $\Pi \boldsymbol{A}$ has $\boldsymbol{d}$ columns in $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$ where $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{O}\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon^{2}} \ln (1 / \delta)\right)$. Hence dimensionality reduction from $\boldsymbol{n}$ to $\boldsymbol{k}$ and one can run SVD on $\Pi A$.
- $\Pi \boldsymbol{A}$ can be computed fast in time roughly proportional to $n n z(A)$ (number of non-zeroes of $\boldsymbol{A}$ ).


## Part II

## Frequent Directions Algorithm

## Low-rank approximation

Faster low-rank approximation algorithms based on randomized algorithm: sampling and subspace embeddings

- Can we find a deterministic algorithm?
- Streaming algorithm?


## Low-rank approximation and SVD

Given matrix $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n} \times \boldsymbol{d}}$ and (small) integer $\boldsymbol{k}$
Row view of SVD: $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ are $k$ orthogonal unit vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ that maximize the sum of squares of the projections of the rows $\boldsymbol{A}$ onto the space spanned

Let $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ be the rows of $\boldsymbol{A}$ (treated as vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ )
$\sigma_{j}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle^{2}$ and $\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{j>k} \sigma_{j}^{2}$

## Low-rank approximation and SVD

Given matrix $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n} \times \boldsymbol{d}}$ and (small) integer $\boldsymbol{k}$
Row view of SVD: $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ are $k$ orthogonal unit vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ that maximize the sum of squares of the projections of the rows $\boldsymbol{A}$ onto the space spanned

Let $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ be the rows of $\boldsymbol{A}$ (treated as vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ )
$\sigma_{j}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle^{2}$ and $\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{j>k} \sigma_{j}^{2}$
Consider matrix $D_{k} V_{k}^{T}$ whose rows are $\sigma_{1} v_{1}, \sigma_{2} v_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{k} v_{k}$. $\left\|D_{k} V_{k}^{T}\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}^{2}=\left\|A_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}$

## Frequent Directions Algorithm

[Liberty] and analyzed for relative error guarantee by [Ghashami-Phillips]
Liberty inspired by Misra-Greis frequent items algorithm.
Rows of $\boldsymbol{A}$ come one by one
Algorithm maintains a matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times d}$ where $\ell=\boldsymbol{k}(1+1 / \epsilon)$. Hence memory is $O(k d / \epsilon)$

At end of algorithm let $\boldsymbol{Q}_{k}$ be best rank $k$-approximation for $\boldsymbol{Q}$. Then $\left\|\boldsymbol{A}-\operatorname{Proj}_{Q_{k}}(\boldsymbol{A})\right\|_{F} \leq(1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\left\|\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{F}}$.

Thus a $(1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$-approximate $\boldsymbol{k}$-dimensional subspace for rows of $\boldsymbol{A}$ be identified by storing $O(k / \epsilon)$ rows.

## FD Algorithm

## Frequent-Directions

Initialize $\boldsymbol{Q}^{0}$ as an all zeroes $\ell \times \boldsymbol{d}$ matrix
For each row $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \in \boldsymbol{A}$ do
Set $\boldsymbol{Q}_{+} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{Q}^{i-1}$ with last row replaced by $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ Compute SVD of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{+}$as $\boldsymbol{U D} V^{T}$
$\boldsymbol{C}^{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{D} V^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ (for analysis)
$\delta_{i}=\sigma_{\ell}^{2}$ (for analysis)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{D}^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sqrt{\sigma_{1}^{2}-\delta_{\boldsymbol{i}}}, \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}^{2}-\delta_{\boldsymbol{i}}}, \ldots, \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\ell-1}^{2}-\delta_{\boldsymbol{i}}}, 0\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{Q}^{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{D}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{V}^{\boldsymbol{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

EndFor
Return $\boldsymbol{Q}=\boldsymbol{Q}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$
If $\boldsymbol{\ell}=\lceil\boldsymbol{k}(1+1 / \boldsymbol{\epsilon})\rceil$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ is the rank $\boldsymbol{k}$ approximation to output $Q$ then

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{A}-\operatorname{Proj}_{Q_{k}}(\boldsymbol{A})\right\|_{F} \leq(1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\left\|\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{A}_{k}\right\|_{F}
$$

## Running time

- One pass algorithm but requires second pass to compute actual singular values etc
- Space $O(k d / \epsilon)$
- Run time: $\boldsymbol{n}$ computations of SVD on $\boldsymbol{k} / \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \times \boldsymbol{d}$ matrix. Can be improved (see home work problem).

Interesting even when $\boldsymbol{k}=1$. Alternative to power method to find top singular value/vector. Deterministic.

