#### **CS 498ABD: Algorithms for Big Data**

## **Quantiles and Selection**

Lecture 16 October 20, 2022

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 1 Fall 2022 1/32

## Part I

## Introduction

#### **Selection**

**Selection:** Given a sequence of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and integer  $k \in [n]$  want to find the rank k element (the k'th element after sorting)

**Median:** rank n/2 element

#### Selection

**Selection:** Given a sequence of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and integer  $k \in [n]$  want to find the rank k element (the k'th element after sorting)

Median: rank n/2 element

#### Offline solutions:

- Sort and pick the k'th element.  $O(n \log n)$  time. Can find all ranks in constant time after sorting.
- O(n) time algorithm for Selection of given rank k. Randomized QuickSelect or deterministic Median-of-Medians algorithm (clever but slow).

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 3 Fall 2022 3 / 32

## **Selection in Streaming**

**Question:** Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  arrive in a stream. Can we do Selection in small space?

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 4 Fall 2022 4 / 32

## **Selection in Streaming**

**Question:** Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  arrive in a stream. Can we do Selection in small space?

**Exact Selection** in one pass requires  $\Omega(n)$  space. Need to store all elements so trivial solution is optimal.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 4 Fall 2022 4 / 32

## **Selection in Streaming**

**Question:** Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  arrive in a stream. Can we do Selection in small space?

**Exact Selection** in one pass requires  $\Omega(n)$  space. Need to store all elements so trivial solution is optimal.

#### Relaxations:

- Approximate selection. Recall sampling to find  $\epsilon$ -approximate median using  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log(1/\delta))$  samples. Can do this in streaming with reservoir sampling.
- Multiple passes.
- Assume random order arrival of elements.

**Multipass model:** See same stream p times for some  $p \ge 1$ . With larger p one can do more with same memory bound.

Initially motivated by database applications where random access main memory is small and large external memory (such as tapes) that allow for reasonably fast sequential scans.

**Multipass model:** See same stream p times for some  $p \ge 1$ . With larger p one can do more with same memory bound.

Initially motivated by database applications where random access main memory is small and large external memory (such as tapes) that allow for reasonably fast sequential scans.

Selection in multiple passes:

- $\Theta(n)$  space allows 1 pass.
- O(1) space. How many passes?

**Multipass model:** See same stream p times for some p > 1. With larger p one can do more with same memory bound.

Initially motivated by database applications where random access main memory is small and large external memory (such as tapes) that allow for reasonably fast sequential scans.

Selection in multiple passes:

- $\bullet$   $\Theta(n)$  space allows 1 pass.
- O(1) space. How many passes?  $O(\log n)$  suffices. Implement Quick Select in O(1) space.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 5 Fall 2022 5/32

**Multipass model:** See same stream p times for some  $p \ge 1$ . With larger p one can do more with same memory bound.

Initially motivated by database applications where random access main memory is small and large external memory (such as tapes) that allow for reasonably fast sequential scans.

#### Selection in multiple passes:

- $\bullet$   $\Theta(n)$  space allows 1 pass.
- O(1) space. How many passes?  $O(\log n)$  suffices. Implement Quick Select in O(1) space.
- p passes?

**Multipass model:** See same stream p times for some  $p \ge 1$ . With larger p one can do more with same memory bound.

Initially motivated by database applications where random access main memory is small and large external memory (such as tapes) that allow for reasonably fast sequential scans.

#### Selection in multiple passes:

- $\Theta(n)$  space allows 1 pass.
- O(1) space. How many passes?  $O(\log n)$  suffices. Implement Quick Select in O(1) space.
- p passes?  $O(n^{1/p} \operatorname{polylog}(n))$  space suffices. Hence  $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$  for 2 passes. [Munro-Paterson 1980]

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 5 Fall 2022 5/32

#### Quantiles

Large numerical/ordered data: say heights/weights/salaries of the population of the country.

Exact selection is not as interesting as high-level summary. Pick some granularity and bucket data into groups of roughly equal size.

**Example:** For  $\alpha=1,2,\ldots,100$  want  $\alpha$  percentile salaries

More precision: For  $lpha=0.1,0.2,\ldots,100$  want lpha percentile salaries

#### Quantiles

Large numerical/ordered data: say heights/weights/salaries of the population of the country.

Exact selection is not as interesting as high-level summary. Pick some granularity and bucket data into groups of roughly equal size.

**Example:** For  $\alpha=1,2,\ldots,100$  want  $\alpha$  percentile salaries

More precision: For  $lpha=0.1,0.2,\ldots,100$  want lpha percentile salaries

In terms of Selection:

- want rank k element for  $k = \frac{\alpha}{100} n$  for each  $\alpha$
- allows for  $\epsilon$ -approximate Selection (additive error  $\epsilon n$  where  $\epsilon$  is granularity in quantile)

# Quantile Summaries or Approximate Selection in Streaming

See stream of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ . Parameter  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ 

Maintain a small space summary such that given any  $k \in [n]$  can output number a from stream such that

$$k - \epsilon n \le \operatorname{rank}(a) \le k + \epsilon n$$

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 7 Fall 2022 7 / 32

# **Quantile Summaries or Approximate Selection in Streaming**

See stream of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ . Parameter  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ 

Maintain a small space summary such that given any  $k \in [n]$  can output number a from stream such that

$$k - \epsilon n \le \operatorname{rank}(a) \le k + \epsilon n$$

Offline: can do with  $O(1/\epsilon)$  space. Store rank  $\epsilon i/n$  elements for  $i=1,2,\ldots,1/\epsilon$ 

Q: Can we do it in streaming and how much space do we need?

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 7 Fall 2022 7/32

# **Quantile Summaries or Approximate Selection in Streaming**

See stream of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  Parameter  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ 

Maintain a small space summary such that given any  $k \in [n]$  can output number a from stream such that

$$k - \epsilon n \le \operatorname{rank}(a) \le k + \epsilon n$$

Q: Can we do it in streaming and how much space do we need?

- $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log^2 n)$  space using merge and reduce approach
- Involved  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log(n/\epsilon))$  space algorithm that is near optimal

Both are deterministic algorithms. Can be used to derive Munro-Paterson multi-pass Selection algorithm

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 8 Fall 2022

8/32

#### Part II

# Approximate Quantiles in Streaming

See stream of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ . Parameter  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ **Note:** Items can be from any ordered set, use only comparison

What should we store?

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 10 Fall 2022 10 / 32

See stream of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ . Parameter  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ **Note:** Items can be from any ordered set, use only comparison

What should we store? Take cue from offline solution. Equally spaced  $1/\epsilon$  elements from sorted list.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 10 Fall 2022 10 / 32

See stream of numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ . Parameter  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ **Note:** Items can be from any ordered set, use only comparison

What should we store? Take cue from offline solution. Equally spaced  $1/\epsilon$  elements from sorted list.

#### **Quantile Summary:**

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_\ell\}$  where each  $q_i$  is an element of stream. Wlog  $q_1 < q_2 < \ldots < q_\ell$  and  $q_1$  is smallest and  $q_\ell$  is largest in stream
- For each  $q_i \in Q$  an interval  $I(q_i) = [\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i), \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)]$  where  $\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rank}(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)$ . In input at least  $\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i)$  elements  $\leq q_i$  and at least  $\operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)$  elements  $\geq q_i$ .

10 / 32

#### **Quantile Summary:**

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell\}$ . Also  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_\ell$  and  $q_1$  is smallest and  $q_\ell$  is largest
- For each  $q_i \in Q$  an interval  $I(q_i) = [\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i), \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)]$ where  $\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rank}(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)$

Given  $k \in [n]$  want to use Q to answer  $\epsilon$ -approximate rank k query. How?

#### **Quantile Summary:**

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell\}$ . Also  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_\ell$  and  $q_1$  is smallest and  $q_\ell$  is largest
- ullet For each  $q_i \in Q$  an interval  $I(q_i) = [\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i), \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)]$  where  $\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rank}(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)$

Given  $k \in [n]$  want to use Q to answer  $\epsilon$ -approximate rank k query. How?

Suppose  $I(q_i) \subseteq [k - \epsilon n, k + \epsilon n]$  then it is clear that  $q_i$  is good to output since

$$k - \epsilon n \le \text{rmin}(q_i) \le \text{rank}(q_i) \le \text{rmax}(q_i) \le k + \epsilon n$$
.

## **ε**-Approximate Quantile Summary

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell\}$ . Also  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_\ell$  and  $q_1$  is smallest and  $q_\ell$  is largest
- For each  $q_i \in Q$  an interval  $I(q_i) = [\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i), \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)]$  where  $\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rank}(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)$

Maintain key invariant: For each i,

$$rmax(q_{i+1}) - rmin(q_i) \le 2\epsilon n$$

In other words width of union of  $I(q_i)$  and  $I(q_{i+1})$  is atmost  $2\epsilon n$  Also implies  $\operatorname{rank}(q_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rank}(q_i) \leq 2\epsilon n$ 

#### **ε**-Approximate Quantile Summary

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell\}$ . Also  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_\ell$  and  $q_1$  is smallest and  $q_\ell$  is largest
- For each  $q_i \in Q$  an interval  $I(q_i) = [\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i), \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)]$  where  $\operatorname{rmin}_Q(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rank}(q_i) \leq \operatorname{rmax}_Q(q_i)$

Maintain key invariant: For each i,

$$\operatorname{rmax}(q_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}(q_i) \le 2\epsilon n$$

In other words width of union of  $I(q_i)$  and  $I(q_{i+1})$  is atmost  $2\epsilon n$  Also implies  $\operatorname{rank}(q_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rank}(q_i) \leq 2\epsilon n$ 

#### Lemma

With invariant quantile summary can be used to answer  $\epsilon$ -approximate rank queries.

Maintain key invariant: For each i,

$$\operatorname{rmax}(q_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}(q_i) \le 2\epsilon n$$

**Claim:** For each k there is  $q_j$  such that  $I(q_j) \subseteq [k - \epsilon n, k + \epsilon n]$ 

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 13 Fall 2022 13 / 32

Maintain key invariant: For each i,

$$\operatorname{rmax}(q_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}(q_i) \le 2\epsilon n$$

**Claim:** For each k there is  $q_j$  such that  $I(q_j) \subseteq [k - \epsilon n, k + \epsilon n]$ 

• If  $k \geq (1 - \epsilon)n$  then  $q_{\ell}$  satisfies condition.

Maintain key invariant: For each i,

$$\mathsf{rmax}(q_{i+1}) - \mathsf{rmin}(q_i) \le 2\epsilon n$$

**Claim:** For each k there is  $q_j$  such that  $I(q_j) \subseteq [k - \epsilon n, k + \epsilon n]$ 

- If  $k \geq (1 \epsilon)n$  then  $q_{\ell}$  satisfies condition.
- Let j be smallest index such that  $\operatorname{rmax}(q_j) \geq k + \epsilon n$  (exists since  $\operatorname{rmax}(q_\ell) = n$  and  $k < (1 \epsilon)n$ ).

Maintain key invariant: For each i,

$$\mathsf{rmax}(q_{i+1}) - \mathsf{rmin}(q_i) \le 2\epsilon n$$

**Claim:** For each k there is  $q_j$  such that  $I(q_j) \subseteq [k - \epsilon n, k + \epsilon n]$ 

- If  $k \geq (1 \epsilon)n$  then  $q_{\ell}$  satisfies condition.
- Let j be smallest index such that  $\operatorname{rmax}(q_j) \geq k + \epsilon n$  (exists since  $\operatorname{rmax}(q_\ell) = n$  and  $k < (1 \epsilon)n$ ).
- $q_{j-1}$  satisfies condition. Suppose not. By choice of j,  $\operatorname{rmax}(q_{j-1}) < k + \epsilon n$ . Since condition is not satisfied by  $q_{j-1}$ ,  $\operatorname{rmin}(q_{j-1}) < k \epsilon n$  but then

$$\operatorname{rmax}(q_j) - \operatorname{rmin}(q_{j-1}) > k + \epsilon n - (k - \epsilon n) > 2\epsilon n$$

contradiction to invariant.

# Maintaining $\epsilon$ -Approx Quantile Summary in Streaming

**Question:** How to maintain  $\epsilon$ -approximate quantile summary in small space in streaming setting?

## Merge and Reduce/Prune Framework (also useful in other settings)

**Merge:** given  $\epsilon_1$ -approx  $Q_1$  for multiset  $S_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$ -approx  $Q_2$  for multiset  $S_2$  obtain approx Q for  $S = S_1 \cup S_1$ 

**Prune:** Given  $\epsilon$ -approx Q for S of size  $\ell$ , prune to size h without increasing error by too much

## **Merging Summaries**

$$Q_1=\{q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_\ell\}$$
 and intervals  $\emph{\emph{I}}_1(q_1),\ldots,\emph{\emph{I}}_1(q_\ell)$  for multiset  $\emph{\emph{S}}_1$  with  $\emph{\emph{n}}_1=|\emph{\emph{S}}_1|$ 

$$m{Q}_2=\{m{s}_1,m{s}_2,\ldots,m{s}_m\}$$
 and intervals  $m{l}_2(m{s}_1),\ldots,m{l}_2(m{s}_m)$  for multiset  $m{S}_2$  with  $m{n}_2=|m{S}_1|$ 

$$Q=\{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_{\ell+m}\}$$
 which is sorted version of  $\{q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_\ell,s_1,\ldots,s_m\}$  for multiset  $S=S_1\uplus S_2$  with  $n=n_1+n_2$ 

## **Merging Summaries**

$$Q_1=\{m{q}_1,m{q}_2,\ldots,m{q}_\ell\}$$
 and intervals  $m{l}_1(m{q}_1),\ldots,m{l}_1(m{q}_\ell)$  for multiset  $m{S}_1$  with  $m{n}_1=|m{S}_1|$ 

$$m{Q}_2=\{m{s}_1,m{s}_2,\dots,m{s}_m\}$$
 and intervals  $m{l}_2(m{s}_1),\dots,m{l}_2(m{s}_m)$  for multiset  $m{S}_2$  with  $m{n}_2=|m{S}_1|$ 

$$Q=\{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_{\ell+m}\}$$
 which is sorted version of  $\{q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_\ell,s_1,\ldots,s_m\}$  for multiset  $S=S_1 \uplus S_2$  with  $n=n_1+n_2$ 

How do we find intervals for Q while maintaining key invariant?

Consider  $z_i$  and assume wlog that  $z_i = q_j$  for some  $1 \le j \le \ell$ 

## Merging

Consider  $z_i$  and assume wlog that  $z_i = q_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ 

Find  $s_t, s_{t+1}$  such that  $s_t \leq q_j \leq s_{t+1}$  (ignore corner cases)

We know that  $\operatorname{rmin}_{Q_1}(q_j)$  elements in  $S_1$  are smaller than  $q_j$  and also  $\operatorname{rmin}_{Q_2}(s_t)$  elements in  $S_2$  are smaller than  $q_j$ . Hence it safe to set

$$\mathsf{rmin}_Q(z_i) = \mathsf{rmin}_{Q_1}(q_j) + \mathsf{rmin}_{Q_2}(s_t)$$

## Merging

Consider  $z_i$  and assume wlog that  $z_i = q_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ 

Find  $s_t, s_{t+1}$  such that  $s_t \leq q_j \leq s_{t+1}$  (ignore corner cases)

We know that  $\operatorname{rmin}_{Q_1}(q_j)$  elements in  $S_1$  are smaller than  $q_j$  and also  $\operatorname{rmin}_{Q_2}(s_t)$  elements in  $S_2$  are smaller than  $q_j$ . Hence it safe to set

$$\mathsf{rmin}_{Q}(z_i) = \mathsf{rmin}_{Q_1}(q_j) + \mathsf{rmin}_{Q_2}(s_t)$$

Similarly it is safe to set

$$\mathsf{rmax}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(z_i) = \mathsf{rmax}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_1}(q_j) + \mathsf{rmax}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_2}(s_{t+1}) - 1$$

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 16 Fall 2022

16/32

## Merging

#### Lemma

If  $Q_1$  is an  $\epsilon_1$ -approx quantile summary for  $S_1$  and  $Q_2$  is an  $\epsilon_2$ -approx quantile summary for  $S_2$  then Q is an  $\epsilon = \max\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ -approx quantile summary for  $S = S_1 \uplus S_2$ .

Hence error does not increase but  $|\mathbf{Q}| = |\mathbf{Q}_1| + |\mathbf{Q}_2|$ .

**Remark:** Error not increasing may seem surprising at first. Note, however, that  $n = n_1 + n_2$  after merging which allows larger additve error for same  $\epsilon$ .

For proof need to verify key invariant.  $Q = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{\ell+m}\}$ . Need to show that

$$\operatorname{rmax}_{Q}(z_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{Q}(z_{i}) \leq 2\epsilon(n_{1} + n_{2}).$$

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 17 Fall 2022 17 / 32

## **Merging Analysis**

Need to show that

$$\operatorname{rmax}_{Q}(z_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{Q}(z_{i}) \leq 2\epsilon(n_{1} + n_{2}).$$

Case 1:  $z_i, z_{i+1}$  in same summary, say  $Q_1$  wlog. Then  $z_i = q_j$  and  $z_{i+1} = q_{i+1}$  for some j.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 18 Fall 2022 18 / 32

## **Merging Analysis**

Need to show that

$$\operatorname{rmax}_{Q}(z_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{Q}(z_{i}) \leq 2\epsilon(n_{1} + n_{2}).$$

**Case 1:**  $z_i, z_{i+1}$  in same summary, say  $Q_1$  wlog. Then  $z_i = q_j$  and  $z_{i+1} = q_{j+1}$  for some j.

This implies that there are  $s_t, s_{t+1}$  in  $Q_2$  such that

$$s_t \leq q_j < q_{j+1} \leq s_{t+1}.$$

Hence  $\operatorname{rmax}_Q(z_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_Q(z_i)$ 

$$= \operatorname{\mathsf{rmax}}_{{\boldsymbol{Q}}_1}({\boldsymbol{q}}_{j+1}) + \operatorname{\mathsf{rmax}}_{{\boldsymbol{Q}}_2}(s_{t+1}) - 1 - (\operatorname{\mathsf{rmin}}_{{\boldsymbol{Q}}_1}({\boldsymbol{q}}_j) + \operatorname{\mathsf{rmin}}_{{\boldsymbol{Q}}_2}(s_t))$$

$$\leq \hspace{0.1cm} (\operatorname{rmax}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_1}(\boldsymbol{q}_{j+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_1}(\boldsymbol{q}_{j})) + (\operatorname{rmax}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_2}(\boldsymbol{s}_{t+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_2}(\boldsymbol{s}_{t}))$$

$$\leq 2\epsilon \mathbf{n}_1 + 2\epsilon \mathbf{n}_2 \leq 2\epsilon (\mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{n}_2)$$

## **Merging Analysis**

Case 2:  $z_i, z_{i+1}$  in different summaries, say  $Q_1, Q_2$  wlog. Then  $z_i = q_i$  and  $z_{i+1} = s_{t+1}$  for some j, t.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 19 Fall 2022 19 / 32

## **Merging Analysis**

Case 2:  $z_i, z_{i+1}$  in different summaries, say  $Q_1, Q_2$  wlog. Then  $z_i = q_j$  and  $z_{i+1} = s_{t+1}$  for some j, t. This implies that  $s_t \leq q_j \leq s_{t+1} \leq q_{j+1}$  (ignoring corner cases) Hence  $\operatorname{rmax}_Q(z_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_Q(z_i)$ 

$$= \operatorname{\mathsf{rmax}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_1}(\boldsymbol{q}_{j+1}) + \operatorname{\mathsf{rmax}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_2}(\boldsymbol{s}_{t+1}) - 1 - (\operatorname{\mathsf{rmin}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_1}(\boldsymbol{q}_j) + \operatorname{\mathsf{rmin}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_2}(\boldsymbol{s}_t))$$

$$\leq \left( \operatorname{rmax}_{Q_1}(q_{j+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{Q_1}(q_j) \right) + \left( \operatorname{rmax}_{Q_2}(s_{t+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{Q_2}(s_t) \right)$$

$$\leq 2\epsilon \mathbf{n}_1 + 2\epsilon \mathbf{n}_2 \leq 2\epsilon (\mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{n}_2)$$

## **Pruning/Reducing Summary**

Merging keeps accuracy but increases summary size.

**Reduce/Prune:** reduce size at expense of accuracy.

### Lemma

Given  $\epsilon$ -approx quantile Q and integer  $h \geq 3$  can find Q' such that  $|Q'| \leq h + 1$  and Q' is  $\epsilon'$ -approximate for  $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{2h}$ .

## **Pruning/Reducing Summary**

Merging keeps accuracy but increases summary size.

Reduce/Prune: reduce size at expense of accuracy.

#### Lemma

Given  $\epsilon$ -approx quantile Q and integer  $h \geq 3$  can find Q' such that  $|Q'| \leq h + 1$  and Q' is  $\epsilon'$ -approximate for  $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{2h}$ .

$$Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell\}$$
 and wlog assume  $\ell > h + 1$ .

Query Q for ranks  $1, n/h, 2n/h, \ldots, n$ .

Create Q' from output of queries. Use same intervals as those in Q.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 20 Fall 2022

20 / 32

## **Pruning/Reducing Analysis**

```
Q=\{q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_\ell\} and wlog assume \ell>h+1. Query Q for ranks 1,\frac{n}{h},\frac{2n}{h},\ldots,n. Q'=\{q'_1,q'_2,\ldots,q'_{h+1}\} Suppose q'_i=q_a and q'_{i+1}=q_b for some a< b.
```

Suppose  $q_i' = q_a$  and  $q_{i+1}' = q_b$  for some a < b.  $I(q_a) \subseteq [in/h - \epsilon n, in/h + \epsilon n]$  and  $I(q_b) \subseteq [(i+1)n/h - \epsilon n, (i+1)n/h + \epsilon n]$ 

## **Pruning/Reducing Analysis**

$$Q=\{m{q}_1,m{q}_2,\ldots,m{q}_\ell\}$$
 and wlog assume  $\ell>h+1$ . Query  $Q$  for ranks  $1,rac{n}{h},rac{2n}{h},\ldots,m{n}$ .  $Q'=\{m{q}'_1,m{q}'_2,\ldots,m{q}'_{h+1}\}$ 

Suppose 
$$q_i' = q_a$$
 and  $q_{i+1}' = q_b$  for some  $a < b$ .  $I(q_a) \subseteq [in/h - \epsilon n, in/h + \epsilon n]$  and  $I(q_b) \subseteq [(i+1)n/h - \epsilon n, (i+1)n/h + \epsilon n]$ 

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{rmax}_{Q'}(q'_{i+1}) - \operatorname{rmin}_{Q'}(q'_i) \leq (i+1)n/h + \epsilon n - (in/h - \epsilon n)$$
  
  $\leq 2\epsilon n + n/h$   
  $\leq 2(\epsilon + 1/(2h))n.$ 

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 21 Fall 2022 21 / 32

Stream:  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and given  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ 

Want to maintain  $\epsilon$ -approximate quantile summary.

 $O(\frac{1}{c}\log^2 n)$  space algorithm based on reduce and merge.

- Come up with a solution as if the whole stream is available offline
- Show how it can implemented in small space in streaming setting.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 22 Fall 2022 22 / 32

Stream:  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and given  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ 

- Imagine a rooted binary tree with  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  as leaves in that order (not sorted). For simplicity assume n power of 2
- At each internal node v let  $S_v$  be leaves under v.
- Compute a summary  $Q_{\nu}$  for  $S_{\nu}$  bottom up.  $Q_{r}$  is output where r is root. Summary at leaf is optimal simply stores element.
- ullet To compute  $oldsymbol{Q_v}$  with children  $oldsymbol{a}, oldsymbol{b}$  Merge  $oldsymbol{Q_a}$  and Prune to size  $oldsymbol{h}+1$
- Guarantees that  $Q_r$  has size h+1

Stream:  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and given  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ 

- Imagine a rooted binary tree with  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  as leaves in that order (not sorted). For simplicity assume n power of 2
- At each internal node v let  $S_v$  be leaves under v.
- Compute a summary  $Q_{\nu}$  for  $S_{\nu}$  bottom up.  $Q_{r}$  is output where r is root. Summary at leaf is optimal simply stores element.
- ullet To compute  $oldsymbol{Q_{v}}$  with children  $oldsymbol{a}, oldsymbol{b}$  Merge  $oldsymbol{Q_{a}}$  and  $oldsymbol{Q_{b}}$  and Prune to size  $oldsymbol{h}+1$
- Guarantees that  $Q_r$  has size h+1

How should we choose h to ensure  $\epsilon$ -approx  $Q_r$ ?

If each leaf summary has error  $\epsilon'$  then Merging does not increase error but Pruning adds 1/(2h) at each level. Hence  $\epsilon_r$  at root with depth d satisfies

$$\epsilon_r \le \epsilon' + d/(2h) \le \epsilon' + \log n/(2h)$$

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 24 Fall 2022 24 / 32

If each leaf summary has error  $\epsilon'$  then Merging does not increase error but Pruning adds 1/(2h) at each level. Hence  $\epsilon_r$  at root with depth d satisfies

$$\epsilon_r \le \epsilon' + d/(2h) \le \epsilon' + \log n/(2h)$$

To ensure  $\epsilon_r \leq \epsilon$  we set  $h = \Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$ . Hence each summary size is  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$  numbers

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 24 Fall 2022 24 / 32

If each leaf summary has error  $\epsilon'$  then Merging does not increase error but Pruning adds 1/(2h) at each level. Hence  $\epsilon_r$  at root with depth d satisfies

$$\epsilon_r \le \epsilon' + d/(2h) \le \epsilon' + \log n/(2h)$$

To ensure  $\epsilon_r \leq \epsilon$  we set  $h = \Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$ . Hence each summary size is  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$  numbers

How can we implement offline algorithm in streaming setting and how much space does it require?

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 24 Fall 2022 24 / 32

To ensure  $\epsilon_r \leq \epsilon$  we set  $h = \Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$ . Hence each summary size is  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$  numbers

How can we implement offline algorithm in streaming setting and how much space does it require?

Only  $Q_r$  needed so sufficient to keep only those summaries in the "imaginary" binary tree that suffice to create  $Q_r$ . Suffices to keep O(d) summaries where d is depth. Hence total space is  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log^2 n)$ .

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 25 Fall 2022 25 / 32

To ensure  $\epsilon_r \leq \epsilon$  we set  $h = \Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$ . Hence each summary size is  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$  numbers

How can we implement offline algorithm in streaming setting and how much space does it require?

Only  $Q_r$  needed so sufficient to keep only those summaries in the "imaginary" binary tree that suffice to create  $Q_r$ . Suffices to keep O(d) summaries where d is depth. Hence total space is  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log^2 n)$ .

Need to know n in advance to set h. Otherwise use squaring.

## Handling unknown n

Length of stream not known. Use some standard tricks/ideas.

- Start by assuming an estimate  $n_0$  for n where  $n_0$  is some constant. Create data structure assuming  $\leq n_0$  items.
- when n exceeds current estimate double estimate and start a new data structure with new estimate
- or when *n* exceeds current estimate *square* estimate

## Handling unknown n

Length of stream not known. Use some standard tricks/ideas.

- Start by assuming an estimate  $n_0$  for n where  $n_0$  is some constant. Create data structure assuming  $\leq n_0$  items.
- when n exceeds current estimate double estimate and start a new data structure with new estimate
- or when *n* exceeds current estimate *square* estimate

**Observation:** When estimate changes we create new data structure and freeze past data structures. Error of each data structure is bounded by  $\epsilon$ . To answer queries we can Merge the data structures without increasing error.

## Handling unknown n

Length of stream not known. Use some standard tricks/ideas.

- Start by assuming an estimate  $n_0$  for n where  $n_0$  is some constant. Create data structure assuming  $\leq n_0$  items.
- when n exceeds current estimate double estimate and start a new data structure with new estimate
- or when *n* exceeds current estimate *square* estimate

**Observation:** When estimate changes we create new data structure and freeze past data structures. Error of each data structure is bounded by  $\epsilon$ . To answer queries we can Merge the data structures without increasing error.

**Observation:** Since space is poly-logarithmic in n when n is known, squaring strategy guarantees only constant factor loss even when n is not known. **Exercise:** verify this.

### **Improvements**

Instead of binary tree all the way use at first level  $1/\epsilon$  nodes. Depth goes to  $\log(\epsilon n)$  and hence space improves to  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log^2(\epsilon n))$ .

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 27 Fall 2022 27 / 32

### **Improvements**

Instead of binary tree all the way use at first level  $1/\epsilon$  nodes. Depth goes to  $\log(\epsilon n)$  and hence space improves to  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log^2(\epsilon n))$ .

[Greenwald-Khanna] gave a more involved scheme that achieves  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\log(\epsilon n))$  space. Near-optimal.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 27 Fall 2022 27 / 32

### Part III

# **Multipass Selection**

## **Multipass Selection**

### Selection in multiple passes:

- 1-pass requires and can be done in O(n) space
- O(1) space.  $O(\log n)$  suffices. Implement Quick Select in O(1) space.
- p passes?  $O(n^{1/p} \operatorname{polylog}(n))$  space suffices. Hence  $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$  for 2 passes. [Munro-Paterson 1980]

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 29 Fall 2022 29 / 32

## **Multipass Selection**

Selection in multiple passes:

- 1-pass requires and can be done in O(n) space
- O(1) space.  $O(\log n)$  suffices. Implement Quick Select in O(1) space.
- p passes?  $O(n^{1/p} \operatorname{polylog}(n))$  space suffices. Hence  $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$  for 2 passes. [Munro-Paterson 1980]

**Goal:** Derive p-pass algorithm via approximate quantile summary

### p=2 case

**Goal:** Selection of rank k element in 2-passes using  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  space

#### Pass 1:

- Store  $\epsilon=1/\sqrt{n}$ -approximate summary. Space is  $\tilde{O}(1/\epsilon)=\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$ .
- Summary allows to find two numbers a < b such that  $\operatorname{rank}(a) > k O(\epsilon)n$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(b) < k + O(\epsilon)n$

 Chandra (UIUC)
 CS498ABD
 30
 Fall 2022
 30 / 32

### p=2 case

**Goal:** Selection of rank k element in 2-passes using  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  space

### Pass 1:

- Store  $\epsilon=1/\sqrt{n}$ -approximate summary. Space is  $\tilde{O}(1/\epsilon)=\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n}).$
- Summary allows to find two numbers a < b such that  $\operatorname{rank}(a) \geq k O(\epsilon)n$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(b) \leq k + O(\epsilon)n$

#### Pass 2:

- Store all numbers between a and b;  $O(\sqrt{n})$  numbers.
- Compute exact rank of a and b. How?
- Find rank k element from stored elements and knowing rank of a, b. How?

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 30 Fall 2022 30 / 32

## General p

**Goal:** Selection of rank k element in p-passes using  $\tilde{O}(n^{1/p})$  space

#### Pass 1:

- Store  $\epsilon=1/n^{1/p}$ -approximate summary. Space is  $\tilde{O}(1/\epsilon)=\tilde{O}(n^{1/p})$ .
- Summary allows to find two numbers a < b such that  ${\sf rank}(a) \ge k O(n^{1-1/p})$  and  ${\sf rank}(b) \le k + O(n^{1-1/p})$
- In subsequent passes one can restrict attention to numbers between a and b. Only  $n^{1-1/p}$  of them. Hence in one pass reduce to  $n^{1-1/p}$  numbers.

## General p

**Goal:** Selection of rank k element in p-passes using  $\tilde{O}(n^{1/p})$  space

#### Pass 1:

- Store  $\epsilon=1/n^{1/p}$ -approximate summary. Space is  $\tilde{O}(1/\epsilon)=\tilde{O}(n^{1/p})$ .
- Summary allows to find two numbers a < b such that  $\operatorname{rank}(a) \ge k O(n^{1-1/p})$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(b) \le k + O(n^{1-1/p})$
- In subsequent passes one can restrict attention to numbers between a and b. Only  $n^{1-1/p}$  of them. Hence in one pass reduce to  $n^{1-1/p}$  numbers.

After (p-1) passes we have  $n^{1/p}$  numbers left and we can store all of them in p'th pass and solve exactly.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 31 Fall 2022 31/32

### Random Order Streams

 $\Omega(\mathbf{n})$  lower bound for Selection in adversarial setting. Can we do better if we assume non-worst case input?

#### Random Order Stream Model:

- Adversary picks some input.
- Algorithm sees a random permutation of the input. Adversary power is weakened.
- Several interesting results in this model.

For Exact Selection in random order streams.

- $O(\sqrt{n})$  space in 1-pass suffices with high probability. [Munro-Paterson]
- $O(\log \log n)$  passes suffice with  $O(\operatorname{poly}(\log n))$  space whp. [Guha-MacGregor]