## CS 498ABD: Algorithms for Big Data

## Heavy Hitters / Fequent Ilains

 Lecture 08September 17, 2020

## Models

## Richer model:

- Want to estimate a function of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ which is initially assume to be the all 0 's vector.
- Each element $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ of a stream is a tuple $\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)$ where $\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \in[\boldsymbol{n}]$ and $\Delta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real-value: this updates $x_{i_{j}}$ to $x_{i_{j}}+\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{j} .\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{j}\right.$ can be positive or negative)
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## Richer model:

- Want to estimate a function of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ which is initially assume to be the all 0 's vector.
- Each element $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ of a stream is a tuple $\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{j}}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)$ where $\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \in[\boldsymbol{n}]$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real-value: this updates $\boldsymbol{x}_{i_{j}}$ to $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}_{j}}+\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{j}} .\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right.$ can be positive or negative)
- $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{j}>\mathbf{0}$ : cash register model. Special case is $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{j}=\mathbf{1}$.
- $\Delta_{j}$ arbitrary: turnstile model
- $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ arbitrary but $\boldsymbol{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ at all times: strict turnstile model
- Sliding window model: interested only in the last $W$ items (window)

Frequent Items Problem
What is $F_{k}$ when $k=\infty$ ? $\quad\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$

$$
F_{\infty}=\max _{i} f_{i}
$$
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$$
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## Frequent Items Problem

What is $F_{k}$ when $k=\infty$ ? Maximum frequency.
$F_{\infty}$ very brittle and hard to estimate with low memory. Can show strong lower bounds for very weak relative approximations.

Hence settle for weaker (additive) guarantees.
Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items $\boldsymbol{i}$ such that $f_{i}>m / k$ for some fixed $k$.

Heavy hitters are very frequent items.

## Finding Majority Element

Majority element problem:

- Offline: given an array/list $\boldsymbol{A}$ of $\boldsymbol{m}$ integers, is there an element that occurs more than $m / 2$ times in $A$ ?
- Streaming: is there an $i$ such that $f_{i}>m / 2$ ?


## Finding Majority Element

## Streaming-Majority:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{s} \leftarrow \text { null } \\
& \text { While (stream is not empty) do } \\
& \text { If }\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}}=\boldsymbol{s}\right) \text { do } \\
& \qquad \boldsymbol{c} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{c}+\mathbf{1} \\
& \text { ElseIf }(\boldsymbol{c}=0) \\
& \qquad \boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{1} \\
& \boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \\
& \text { Else } \\
& \qquad \boldsymbol{c} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{1} \\
& \text { endWhile } \\
& \text { Output } \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$1,2,3,4,5,6,7$
$1,2,1,2,1,2,1$
$\xrightarrow{1,1,1,1,2,2,2}$
$2,2,2,1,1,1$

## Finding Majority Element

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Streaming-Majority: } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{s} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{n u l l} \\
\text { While }(\text { stream is not empty) do } \\
\text { If }\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}}=\boldsymbol{s}\right) \text { do } \\
\boldsymbol{c} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{c}+\mathbf{1} \\
\text { ElseIf }(\boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{0}) \\
\boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{1} \\
\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \\
\text { Else } \\
\boldsymbol{c} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{1} \\
\text { endWhile } \\
\text { Output } \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{c}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim: If there is a majority element $\boldsymbol{i}$ then algorithm outputs $s=\boldsymbol{i}$ and $c \geq f_{i}-m / 2$.

## Finding Majority Element

```
Streaming-Majority:
    \(c=0, s \leftarrow\) null
    While (stream is not empty) do
        If \(\left(e_{j}=s\right)\) do
            \(c \leftarrow c+1\)
        ElseIf ( \(c=0\) )
            \(c=1\)
            \(s=e_{j}\)
        Else
            \(c \leftarrow c-1\)
    endWhile
    Output s, c
```

Claim: If there is a majority element $i$ then algorithm outputs $s=i$ and $c \geq f_{i}-m / 2$.
Caveat: Algorithm may output incorrect element if no majority element. Can verify correctness in a second pass.

## Misra-Gries Algorithm

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items $\boldsymbol{i}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}>\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{k}$.

```
MisraGreis(k):
    D is an empty associative array
    While (stream is not empty) do
        \mp@subsup{e}{j}{}}\mathrm{ is current item
        If (e}\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{j}}{\mathrm{ is in keys(D))}}{\mathrm{ in}
            D[\mp@subsup{e}{j}{}]\leftarrowD[\mp@subsup{e}{j}{}]+1
        Else if (|keys(A)|<k-1) then
        D[ej]}\leftarrow
        Else
        for each }\ell\in\boldsymbol{keys(D) do
        D[\ell]}\leftarrowD[\ell]-
```

        Remove elements from \(\boldsymbol{D}\) whose counter values are \(\mathbf{0}\)
    endWhile
    For each $i \in \operatorname{keys}(D)$ set $\hat{f}_{i}=D[i]$
For each $\boldsymbol{i} \notin \boldsymbol{k e y s}(\boldsymbol{D})$ set $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\mathbf{0}$

$$
k=3
$$

$$
1,2,1,4,5,1,2,10,1,3,5,4, \ldots
$$

$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{5} \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{f}_{1}=2 \quad \hat{f}_{2}=0 & \hat{f}_{1}=0 \quad \hat{f}_{4}=1 \\
\hat{f}_{5}=1 & \text { The }_{2}
\end{array}
$$

## Analysis

Space usage $O(k)$.
Theorem
For each $\boldsymbol{i} \in[\boldsymbol{n}]: \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\frac{m}{k+1} \leq \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \leq \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$.

## Corollary

Any item with $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}>\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{k}$ is in $\boldsymbol{D}$ at the end of the algorithm.
A second pass to verify can be used to verify correctness of elements in $D$.

## Proof of Correctness

## Theorem

For each $\boldsymbol{i} \in[n]: \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\frac{m}{k+1} \leq \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \leq \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$.

$$
\hat{f}_{i} \geqslant \max \left\{0, \quad f_{i}-\frac{m}{k+1}\right\}
$$
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## Proof of Correctness

## Theorem

For each $\boldsymbol{i} \in[n]: \boldsymbol{f}_{i}-\frac{m}{k+1} \leq \hat{f}_{i} \leq \boldsymbol{f}_{i}$.
Easy to see: $\hat{f}_{i} \leq f_{i}$. Why?
Alternative view of algorithm:

- Maintains counts $\boldsymbol{C}[\boldsymbol{i}]$ for each $\boldsymbol{i}$ (initialized to $\mathbf{0}$ ). Only $\boldsymbol{k}$ are non-zero at any time.
- When new element $\boldsymbol{e}_{j}$ comes
- If $C\left[e_{j}\right]>0$ then increment $C\left[e_{j}\right]$
- Elself less then $k$ positive counters then set $C\left[e_{j}\right]=\mathbf{1}$
- Else decrement all positive counters (exactly $\boldsymbol{k}$ of them)
- Output $\hat{f}_{i}=C[i]$ for each $i$
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$$
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## Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_{i}-\hat{f}_{i} \leq m /(k+1)$ :

- Suppose we have $\ell$ occurrences of $k$ counters being decremented. Then $\ell k+\ell \leq m$ which implies $\ell \leq m /(k+1)$.
- Consider $\alpha=\left(f_{i}-\hat{f}_{i}\right)$ as items are processed. Initially $\mathbf{0}$. How big can it get?
- If $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}}=\boldsymbol{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}[\boldsymbol{i}]$ is incremented $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ stays same
- If $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}}=\boldsymbol{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}[\boldsymbol{i}]$ is not incremented then $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ increases by one and $\boldsymbol{k}$ counters decremented - charge to $\ell$
- If $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \neq \boldsymbol{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ increases by $\mathbf{1}$ it is because $\boldsymbol{C}[\boldsymbol{i}]$ is decremented - charge to $\ell$
- Hence total number of times $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ increases is at most $\ell$.


## Deterministic to Randomized Sketches

Cannot improve $O(k)$ space if one wants additive error of at most $m / k$. Nice to have a deterministic algorithm that is near-optimal

Why look for randomized solution?

- Obtain a sketch that allows for deletions
- Additional applications of sketch based solutions
- Will see Count-Min and Count sketches



## Basic Hashing/Sampling Idea

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items $\boldsymbol{i}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}>\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{k}$.

- Let $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}$ be the $k$ heavy hitters
- Suppose we pick $\boldsymbol{h}:[n] \rightarrow[c k]$ for some $c>1$
- $\boldsymbol{h}$ spreads $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ among the buckets ( $\boldsymbol{k}$ balls into $c k$ bins)
- In ideal situation each bucket can be used to count a separate heavy hitter


