

# Appendix 1 to Lecture 23: Proof of the Lifting Lemma

J. Meseguer

The Lifting Lemma states:

**Theorem** (Lifting Lemma). Let  $(\Sigma, R)$  be a term rewriting system,  $t \in T_\Sigma(X)$ , and  $\theta$  an  $R$ -irreducible substitution (i.e., if  $x \in \text{dom}(\theta)$ , then  $\theta(x)$  cannot be rewritten with  $R$ ). Then for each rewrite step  $t\theta \rightarrow_R u$  there is a narrowing step  $t \rightsquigarrow_R^\alpha v$  and an  $R$ -irreducible substitution  $\delta$  such that  $v\delta = u$ .

**Proof:** Since we have a rewrite step  $t\theta \rightarrow_R u$  and  $\theta$  is  $R$ -irreducible, the rewrite must happen at a non-variable position  $p$  of  $t$ . Therefore, there is a rule  $l \rightarrow r$  in  $R$  and a substitution  $\gamma$  of the variables of  $l$  such that  $t|_p\theta = l\gamma$  and  $u = t\theta[r\gamma]_p$ . Since without loss of generality<sup>1</sup> we may assume that  $t$  and  $t\theta$  do not share any variables with  $l$ , we can rephrase the equality  $t|_p\theta = l\gamma$  as,  $t|_p(\theta \uplus \gamma) = l(\theta \uplus \gamma)$ , which shows that  $(\theta \uplus \gamma)$  is a unifier of the equation  $t|_p = l$ . For the same reason we have  $u = t\theta[r\gamma]_p = t[r]_p(\theta \uplus \gamma)$ . Therefore, there is a unifier  $\alpha$  in the set  $\text{Unif}(t|_p = l)$  and a substitution  $\delta$  such that  $(\theta \uplus \gamma) = \alpha\delta$ . But this means that we have a narrowing step with rule  $l \rightarrow r$  at position  $p$  in  $t$  of the form,  $t \rightsquigarrow_R^\alpha v$  with  $v = t[r\gamma]_p\alpha$ . Therefore, from  $(\theta \uplus \gamma) = \alpha\delta$ , we immediately get  $v\delta = u$ , as desired. The only pending issue is to check that  $\delta$  is  $R$ -irreducible. But since we have  $t|_p\alpha = l\alpha$  and, without loss of generality, we may assume that the domain of  $\delta$  is<sup>2</sup>  $\text{rng}(\alpha)$ , assuming that  $\delta$  is  $R$ -reducible exactly means that there is a variable  $x$  in  $t|_p$  and a variable  $y$  in  $t|_p\alpha$  such that  $\delta(y)$  is  $R$ -reducible. But this is impossible, because  $t|_p\theta = t|_p\alpha\delta$  and  $\theta$  is  $R$ -irreducible by hypothesis.  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>Just by renaming the variables of  $l$  (and therefore those of  $r$ ) with fresh new variables.

<sup>2</sup>For the definition of  $\text{rng}(\alpha)$  see page 4 of the slides.