

Program Verification: Lecture 4

José Meseguer

Computer Science Department
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Definition of Many-Sorted Algebras

For $\Sigma = (S, F, \Sigma)$ a many-sorted signature, a **many-sorted Σ -algebra** is a pair $\mathbb{A} = (A, _A)$, where:

1. A is a **sort symbol interpretation function**, choosing for each sort/type symbol $s \in S$ a corresponding **data set** A_s **interpreting** that sort. Therefore, if $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, then A is a function:

$$A : \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \ni s_i \mapsto A_{s_i} \in \{A_{s_1}, \dots, A_{s_n}\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

where the A_{s_1}, \dots, A_{s_n} **need not be different** sets.

Notation. We denote the sort interpretation function A as $A = \{A_s\}_{s \in S}$, call A an **S -indexed set**, and think of it as a **parametric family of sets**, parameterized by $s \in S$.

2. $_A$ is a **function symbol interpretation function**, choosing for each:

- constant $a : \epsilon \rightarrow s$ in Σ an **element** $a_A \in A_s$
- function symbol $f : s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ in Σ , $n \geq 1$, a **function** $f_A : A_{s_1} \times \dots \times A_{s_n} \rightarrow A_s$.

Notation: if $w = s_1 \dots s_n$, we write $A^w = A_{s_1} \times \dots \times A_{s_n}$. For $f : s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ we then write $f_A : A^w \rightarrow A_s$.

In summary, for $\Sigma = (S, F)$, a Σ -algebra $A = (A, _A)$ **interprets**:

- each sort/type **symbol** $s \in S$ as a **data set** A_s
- each function **symbol** $f \in F$ as a **constant** or **function** f_A that respects the **typing information** in Σ .

Examples of Many-Sorted Algebras

For Σ the signature of the module `NAT-LIST` we can define several algebras:

1. (Strings of naturals). We interpret the sort `Natural` as the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers, and the sort `List` as the set of strings \mathbb{N}^* . The interpretation function for the constants and operations is then as follows: (i) all operations in the submodule `NAT-MIXFIX` are interpreted as the algebra \mathbb{N} of natural numbers; (ii) `nil` is interpreted as the empty string; (iii) `_ . _` is interpreted as the function that concatenates a natural number on the left of a string; and (iv) `length` is interpreted as the function measuring the length of a string.
2. (Sets of naturals). We interpret the sort `Natural` as the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers, and the sort `List` as the set $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})$ of

finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . The interpretation function for the constants and operations is then as follows: (i) all operations in the submodule **NAT-MIXFIX** are interpreted as the algebra \mathbb{N} of natural numbers; (ii) **nil** is interpreted as the empty set \emptyset ; (iii) **_.** is interpreted as the function inserting a natural number on a set of naturals; and (iv) **length** is interpreted as the cardinality function $|_ | : \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N}) \ni U \mapsto |U| \in \mathbb{N}$.

For another series of examples, consider the many-sorted signature Σ in Picture 4.1. The following are then examples of Σ -algebras:

1. (n -dimensional rational, real, and complex **vector spaces**). The sort **Scalar** is interpreted, respectively, by \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{C} ; and the sort **Vector** by, respectively, \mathbf{Q}^n , \mathbf{R}^n , \mathbf{C}^n . The operations of sort **Scalar** are interpreted on, respectively, \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{R} , and \mathbf{C} , exactly as in the signature of **NAT-MIXFIX** as already explained. The only new constant now is 1, which is interpreted precisely

as the number 1 in all cases. Vector addition is interpreted in all three cases in the usual way:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$$

The constant $\vec{0}$ is interpreted as the zero vector $(0, \dots, 0)$. The operation symbol \cdot is interpreted by the rule:

$$\lambda \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda * x_1, \dots, \lambda * x_n).$$

2. (n -dimensional integer **modules**). Exactly as above, but using \mathbf{Z} as scalars, and \mathbf{Z}^n as vectors.
3. (n -dimensional natural **semi-modules**). Exactly as above, but using \mathbf{N} as scalars, and \mathbf{N}^n as vectors.

Definition of Order-Sorted Algebras

Given an order-sorted signature $\Sigma = ((S, <), F, \Sigma)$ an **order-sorted Σ -algebra** is defined as a **many-sorted** (S, F, Σ) -algebra $\mathbb{A} = (A, __{\mathbb{A}})$ such that:

- In $A = \{A_s\}_{s \in S}$, if $s < s'$ then $A_s \subseteq A_{s'}$
- if f is **subsort overloaded**, so that we have, $f : w \rightarrow s$, and $f : w' \rightarrow s'$, with w and w' strings of equal length, and with $w \equiv_{\leq} w'$ and $s \equiv_{\leq} s'$, then:
 - if $w = w' = nil$, then f is a constant and we have $f_{\mathbb{A}}^{nil, s} = f_{\mathbb{A}}^{nil, s'}$ (**subsort overloaded constants coincide**)
 - otherwise, if $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^w \cap A^{w'}$, then $f_{\mathbb{A}}^{w, s}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f_{\mathbb{A}}^{w', s'}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (**subsort overloaded operations agree on shared data**)

Examples of Order-Sorted Algebras

For Σ the signature of NAT-LIST-II we can define, among others, two different order-sorted algebra structures:

1. Interpret the sort `NzNatural` as $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$, `Natural` as \mathbb{N} , `s`, `p`, and `_ + _` in the usual way, `NeList` as \mathbb{N}^+ , `List` as \mathbb{N}^* , `nil` as the empty string ϵ , `_. _` as left concatenation with a natural, and `first`, `rest` and `length` in the usual way.
2. We can instead interpret both `NzNatural` and `Natural` as \mathbb{Z} , `s`, `p`, and `_ + _` as those functions extended to all integers, `NeList` as \mathbb{Z}^+ , `List` as \mathbb{Z}^* , `nil` as the empty string ϵ , `_. _` as left concatenation with an integer, `first`, `rest` and `length` in the usual way.

Order-Sorted Term Algebras

For $((S, <), F, \Sigma)$ an order-sorted signature, an obvious Σ -algebra is the **term algebra** $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma = (T_\Sigma, __{\mathbb{T}_\Sigma})$, where the family of **data sets** $T_\Sigma = \{T_{\Sigma, s}\}_{s \in S}$ and its **symbol interpretation function** $__{\mathbb{T}_\Sigma}$ are **mutually defined** in an inductive way by:

- for each $a : \epsilon \rightarrow s$ in Σ , $a_{\mathbb{T}_\Sigma} = a \in T_{\Sigma, s}$
- for each $f : w \rightarrow s$ in Σ , with $w = s_1 \dots s_n$, $n > 0$, the function $f_{\mathbb{T}_\Sigma} : T_{\Sigma, s_1} \times \dots \times T_{\Sigma, s_n} \rightarrow T_{\Sigma, s}$ maps the tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_\Sigma^w$ to the expression (called a **term**) $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma, s}$
- if $s < s'$, then $T_{\Sigma, s} \subseteq T_{\Sigma, s'}$

Examples of Terms for the NATURAL Specification

$$T_{\text{NATURAL}, \text{NzNatural}} = \{\text{s } 0, \text{s s } 0, \text{s s s } 0, \text{s p s } 0, \text{s}(0 + \text{s } 0), \dots\}$$

$$T_{\text{NATURAL}, \text{Natural}} = T_{\text{NATURAL}, \text{NzNatural}} \cup \{0, \text{p s } 0, (0 + 0), \dots\}.$$

Although the mathematical definition of terms uses **prefix** notation, Maude allows general **mixfix** notation. This is just a (very useful) **parsing and pretty-printing** facility. If one insists (by giving the command `set print mixfix off .`) Maude can print even mixfix terms in prefix notation. For example, `s_(_+_ (0,s_(0)))` instead of `s(0 + s 0)`.

The Algebra Defined by a Functional Module

Consider a functional module $\text{fmod } (\Sigma, E) \text{ endfm}$ with (Σ, E) **order-sorted** and $\Omega \subseteq \Sigma$ the **constructor subsignature**.

In the **unsorted** case we saw that, under reasonable assumptions on E , the **meaning** (i.e., **semantics**) of $\text{fmod } (\Sigma, E) \text{ endfm}$ is its **canonical term algebra** $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$. We can now explain the **more general** case when (Σ, E) is **order-sorted**.

As before, the constructors Ω define the **data elements** of $\text{fmod } (\Sigma, E) \text{ endfm}$ belonging to the **constructor term algebra** $\mathbb{T}_{\Omega} = (T_{\Omega}, __{\mathbb{T}_{\Omega}})$. Instead, all the Σ -**terms** belong to the term algebra $\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma} = (T_{\Sigma}, __{\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma}})$. In $\text{fmod } (\Sigma, E) \text{ endfm}$, Σ -terms should **evaluate** to **constructor terms** (data values) in T_{Ω} . But, **under what conditions** on E can we define $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$?

Properties Needed to Define $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$

Defining the symbol interpretation function $_C_{\Sigma/E}$ of $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E} = (T_{\Omega}, _C_{\Sigma/E})$ requires three properties of E :

- (1). Unique Termination. For any Σ -term t , applying the equations E to t as left-to-right **simplification rules** always **terminates** with a **unique result**, denoted $t!_E$. I.e., the Maude command “`red t .`” always terminates.
- (2). Sufficient Completeness. Simplification of any Σ -term t always terminates in a **constructor term** $t!_E \in T_{\Omega}$.
- (3). Sort Preservation. If $t \in T_{\Sigma,s}$, $s \in S$, then $t!_E \in T_{\Omega,s}$. This property **holds automatically** in the unsorted and many-sorted cases, but may fail for (Σ, E) order-sorted.

Defining $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$

Properties (1)–(3) will allow us to define $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$. To see why this is so, we need the notion of an *S-indexed* function:

Given two *S*-indexed sets $A = \{A_s\}_{s \in S}$, and $B = \{B_s\}_{s \in S}$, an *S-indexed function* f from A to B is an *S*-indexed set $f = \{f_s\}_{s \in S}$ such that for each $s \in S$, f_s is a function $f_s : A_s \longrightarrow B_s$. We then write $f : A \longrightarrow B$.

By Unique Termination, Sufficient Completeness and Sort Preservation, for each $s \in S$ we have a function

$_!_{E,s} : T_{\Sigma,s} \ni t \mapsto t!_E \in T_{\Omega,s}$. That is, an *S-indexed* function:

$$_!_E : T_{\Sigma} \rightarrow T_{\Omega}$$

which is precisely the function implemented in Maude by the **red** command. How is $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$ **defined**? See the next slide.

Defining $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$ (II)

Let $\mathbf{fmod}(\Sigma, E)$ \mathbf{endfm} be a functional module with order-sorted signature Σ and constructor subsignature Ω , where the E satisfy properties (1)–(3). Thus, we have an S -indexed function $_!_E : T_\Sigma \rightarrow T_\Omega$. Assume $\forall t \in T_\Omega, t!_E = t$. The **semantics** of $\mathbf{fmod}(\Sigma, E)$ \mathbf{endfm} is the **canonical term algebra** $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E} = (T_\Omega, _ \mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E})$, where $_ \mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$ maps:

- any constant $a : \rightarrow s$ in Σ to $a_{\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}} = a!_E \in T_{\Omega,s}$.
- any $f : w \rightarrow s$ in Σ , $|w| \geq 1$, to the function:

$$f_{\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}} : T_\Omega^w \ni (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto f(t_1, \dots, t_n)!_E \in T_{\Omega,s}.$$

Therefore, for any $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T_\Omega$, $f_{\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is the **result** returned by the Maude command `red f(t1, ..., tn)`. For $\Sigma = \mathbf{NAT-LIST}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$ **is** the algebra defined in pg. 3 (1).

Maude Programming = Mathematical Modeling

The slogan:

Maude Programming = Computable Mathematical Modeling

sounds good. But what does it really mean? Is it really true?

Yes, it **is** true. When you write a Maude functional module `fmod` (Σ, E) `endfm` meeting conditions (1)–(3), what you do is exactly to **define a mathematical model**, namely, the Σ -**algebra** $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$. This model is furthermore **computable** using Maude's `red` command: is a **computable algebra**.

$\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$ is precisely the model **you had in mind** when you wrote `fmod` (Σ, E) `endfm`. You wanted to define some **data** and some **functions** on that data. That's exactly what $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E}$ **is**.

Sensible Signatures

A signature Σ can be intrinsically ambiguous, so that a term may denote **two completely different things**. Consider for example the following many-sorted signature:

```
sorts A B C D .  
op a : -> A .  
op f : A -> B .  
op f : A -> C .  
op g : B -> D .  
op g : C -> D .
```

The term $g(f(a))$ is an ambiguous term of sort D denoting two completely different things.

A very mild condition ruling this out, yet allowing ad-hoc overloading, is the notion of a **sensible signature**, namely one such that whenever we have $f : w \longrightarrow s$ and $f : w' \longrightarrow s'$, with w, w' of equal length, then $w \equiv_{\leq} w' \Rightarrow s \equiv_{\leq} s'$.

Sensible Signatures (II)

Lemma. If Σ is a sensible order-sorted signature, then for any term t in T_Σ we have,

$$t \in T_{\Sigma,s} \wedge t \in T_{\Sigma,s'} \Rightarrow s \equiv_{\leq} s'$$

Proof: By induction on the depth of t .

We define the **depth** of a term as follows: constants have depth 0, and terms of the form $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ have depth $1 + \max(\text{depth}(t_1), \dots, \text{depth}(t_n))$.

For depth 0, $t = a$ is a constant, and $a \in T_{\Sigma,s}$ iff there is $a : \text{nil} \rightarrow s''$ in Σ with $s'' \leq s$. Similarly, if $a \in T_{\Sigma,s'}$ there is $a : \text{nil} \rightarrow s'''$ in Σ with $s''' \leq s'$. By Σ sensible we have $s'' \equiv_{\leq} s'''$, and therefore, $s \equiv_{\leq} s'$.

Sensible Signatures (III)

Assuming the result true for depth $\leq n$, let $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ have depth $n + 1$. If we have $t \in T_{\Sigma, s} \wedge t \in T_{\Sigma, s'}$, this forces the existence of $f : w'' \rightarrow s''$ and $f : w''' \rightarrow s'''$, with $s'' \leq s$ and $s''' \leq s'$ and such that $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}^{w''} \cap T_{\Sigma}^{w'''}$.

By the induction hypothesis this forces $w'' \equiv_{\leq} w'''$. And by Σ sensible this forces $s'' \equiv_{\leq} s'''$, and therefore, $s \equiv_{\leq} s'$. q.e.d.

Preregular Signatures

A sensible order-sorted signature $\Sigma = ((S, <), F, \Sigma)$ is called **preregular** iff for each Σ -term t (possibly with variables X), the set of sorts

$$\text{Sorts}(t) = \{s \in S \mid t \in T_{\Sigma(X),s}\}$$

includes a **least element** of such set in the poset $(S, <)$, called the **least sort** of t and denoted $ls(t)$. That is:

$$ls(t) \in \text{Sorts}(t) \quad \wedge \quad \forall s' \in \text{Sorts}(t), \quad ls(t) \leq s'.$$

Maude automatically checks the preregularity of the signature Σ of any module entered by the user and issues a warning if Σ is not preregular.

Kind-Complete Order-Sorted Signatures

Terms in an order-sorted signature Σ are given **the benefit of the doubt** if we extend Σ to a signature Σ^\square by: (i) adding a new sort $[s]$, called a **kind**, to each connected component $[s]$, with, $(\forall s' \in [s]) [s] > s'$, and (ii) lifting each operator $f : s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$, $n \geq 1$, to the kind level as: $f : [s_1] \dots [s_n] \rightarrow [s]$.

Example. Let Σ have sorts $NzNat$ and Nat with $NzNat < Nat$, constant 0 of sort Nat and operators $s : Nat \rightarrow NzNat$ and $p : NzNat \rightarrow Nat$. The term $p(p(s(s(0))))$ does **not** parse in Σ . But it parses in its **kind completion** Σ^\square , that adds: (i) a kind $[Nat]$, with $[Nat] > Nat$, and operators $s : [Nat] \rightarrow [Nat]$ and $p : [Nat] \rightarrow [Nat]$.

Σ is called **kind-complete** if it has already been completed that way, i.e., if is of the form: $\Sigma = \Sigma_0^\square$ for some $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$.

Variables

Note that in our definition of Σ -terms we only allowed constants and terms built up from them by other operation symbols, so-called **ground terms**. Therefore, terms with variables, such as those appearing in the equations

`vars N M : Natural .`

`eq N + 0 = N .`

`eq N + s M = s(N + M) .`

do not seem to fall within our definition. What can we say about such terms? First, note that N and M are variables **in the mathematical sense**, not at all in the sense of variables in an imperative language. Second, we can **reduce** the notion of terms with variables to that of terms without variables (ground terms) in an **extended signature**.

A Sample Extended Signature

We can extend the signature of our above example by **adding the variables as additional constants** to get the new signature,

```
sort Natural .
op 0 : -> Natural .
op N : -> Natural .
op M : -> Natural .
op s_ : Natural -> Natural .
op _+_ : Natural Natural -> Natural .
```

in which a term such as $s(N + M)$ is now a well-defined term of sort `Natural`.

The Extended Signature $\Sigma(X)$

The general way of extending a signature $\Sigma = ((S, <), F, \Sigma)$ with variables is as follows. We assume a family $X = \{X_s\}_{s \in S}$ of sets of variables for the different sorts $s \in S$ in the signature Σ . Such that:

- variables of different sorts are different, i.e., $X_s \cap X_{s'} = \emptyset$ if $s \neq s'$
- the variables in X are different from the constants in Σ , i.e., $(\cup_{s \in S} X_s) \cap \{a \mid \exists s \in S, (a : \epsilon \rightarrow s) \in \Sigma\} = \emptyset$.

Then we define $\Sigma(X) = ((S, <), F(X), \Sigma(X))$, where:

$F(X) = F \uplus X$, and $\Sigma(X) = \Sigma \uplus \{x : \epsilon \rightarrow s \mid x \in X_s \wedge s \in S\}$. I.e., we just add to Σ each $x \in X_s$ as a **constant** $x : \epsilon \rightarrow s$.

The Term Algebra $\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma(X)}$

Therefore, Σ -terms with variables in X are the elements of the term algebra $\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma(X)}$ associated to the extended signature $\Sigma(X)$.

Note that if Σ is a sensible signature, then it is trivial to check that $\Sigma(X)$ is also, by construction, a sensible signature. Therefore, all the results holding for ground terms in sensible signatures do hold likewise for terms with variables.

One can likewise prove that if Σ is preregular, then $\Sigma(X)$ is also preregular.

Substitutions

For an order-sorted signature $\Sigma = ((S, <), F, \Sigma)$ and S -indexed families of variables $X = \{X_s\}_{s \in S}$, and $Y = \{Y_s\}_{s \in S}$, a **substitution** is an S -indexed family of functions of the form:

$$\theta : X \longrightarrow T_{\Sigma(Y)}$$

For example, for Σ an unsorted signature of arithmetic expressions, $X = \{x, y, z\}$, and $Y = \{x, y, z, x', y', z'\}$, a particular θ can be the assignment:

- $x \mapsto (x + y') * z$
- $y \mapsto (x' - y')$
- $z \mapsto z' * z'$

Notation: $\theta = \{x \mapsto (x + y') * z, y \mapsto (x' - y'), z \mapsto z' * z'\}$.

Substitutions Extend to Terms

If Σ is a sensible signature, a substitution $\theta : X \longrightarrow T_{\Sigma(Y)}$ extends in a unique way to an S -indexed function:

$$_ \theta : T_{\Sigma(X)} \longrightarrow T_{\Sigma(Y)}$$

defined recursively by:

- $x\theta = \theta(x)$
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)\theta = f(t_1\theta, \dots, t_n\theta)$

For example, for the above θ we have,

$$(x + (y * z))\theta = ((x + y') * z) + ((x' - y') * (z' * z')).$$