

**CS 473 ✧ Fall 2024**  
**Midterm 1 Problem 1 Solution**

Prove that every integer (positive, negative, or zero) can be written in the form  $\sum_i \pm 3^i$ , where the exponents  $i$  are distinct non-negative integers.

**Solution (direct induction):** This notation is called *balanced ternary*.

Let  $n$  be an arbitrary integer. Assume that any non-negative integer  $m$  such that  $|m| < |n|$  can be written in balanced ternary. There are four cases to consider.

- The base case  $n = 0$  is trivial—zero is the empty sum.
- Suppose  $n = 3m$  for some integer  $m \neq 0$ . Because  $|m| < |n|$ , the inductive hypothesis implies that  $m$  can be written in balanced ternary. Shifting all exponents up by 1 gives us a balanced ternary representation of  $n$ .
- Suppose  $n = 3m + 1$  for some integer  $m$ . Because  $|m| < |n|$ , the inductive hypothesis implies that  $m$  can be written in balanced ternary. Shifting all exponents up by 1 and adding  $3^0$  gives us a balanced ternary representation of  $n$ .
- Finally, suppose  $n = 3m - 1$  for some integer  $m$ . Because  $|m| < |n|$ , the inductive hypothesis implies that  $m$  can be written in balanced ternary. Shifting all exponents up by 1 and subtracting  $3^0$  gives us a balanced ternary representation of  $n$ .

In all cases, we conclude that  $n$  can be written in balanced ternary. ■

**Solution (induction and symmetry):** This notation is called *balanced ternary*.

Let  $n$  be an arbitrary integer. If  $n$  can be written in balanced ternary, then obviously so can  $-n$ , by inverting the sign of every term (or equivalently, by negating every *trit*). Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $n$  is non-negative.

We complete the proof by induction on  $n$ . Assume that any non-negative integer  $m < n$  can be written in balanced ternary. There are two cases to consider.

- The base case  $n = 0$  is trivial—zero is the empty sum.
- Otherwise, let  $m = \lfloor (n + 1)/3 \rfloor$ ; this is the integer closest to  $n/3$ . Because  $m < n$ , the inductive hypothesis implies that  $m$  can be written in balanced ternary. Shifting all exponents up by 1 gives us a balanced ternary representation of  $3m$  that does not include  $3^0$ . There are three subcases to consider:
  - If  $n = 3m$ , we're done.
  - If  $n = 3m + 1$ , adding  $3^0$  gives us a balanced ternary representation of  $n$ .
  - If  $n = 3m - 1$ , subtracting  $3^0$  gives us a balanced ternary representation of  $n$ .

In all cases, we conclude that  $n$  can be written in balanced ternary. ■

**Rubric:** 10 points = 2 for valid strong inductive hypothesis + 2 for explicit exhaustive case analysis + 1 for base case(s) + 2 for correctly applying the stated induction hypothesis + 3 for other details of the inductive case(s). These are not the only correct proofs.

A proof that only considers only non-zero integers is worth at most 9 points; only non-negative integers is worth at most 7; only positive integers is worth at 6 points.

**CS 473 ✧ Fall 2024**  
**Midterm 1 Problem 2 Solution**

Describe and analyze an algorithm to find the maximum number of points you can earn in a Number Blast puzzle. (See the question handout for a detailed description of the rules.) The input to your algorithm is an array  $A[1..2n]$  of positive integers.

**Solution (just like HW3.2):** For any indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $j - i$  is odd, let  $MaxBlast(i, j)$  denote the maximum score we can earn using only squares in the interval  $A[i..j]$ . Considering all possible *last* moves  $(i', j')$  gives us the following recurrence:

$$MaxBlast(i, j) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } j - i \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j + 1 \\ \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A[i'] \cdot A[j'] \\ + MaxBlast(i, i' - 1) \\ + MaxBlast(i' + 1, j' - 1) \\ + MaxBlast(j' + 1, j) \end{array} \middle| i \leq i' < j' \leq j \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can memoize this function into a two-dimensional array. We can evaluate the array using two nested for-loops, one decreasing  $i$  and the other increasing  $j$ . (It doesn't matter how these loops are nested.) The resulting algorithm runs in  $O(n^4)$  time. ■

**Solution (leftmost turn, 12/10):** For any indices  $i$  and  $k$  such that  $k - i$  is odd, let  $MaxBlast(i, k)$  denote the maximum score we can get earn using only squares in the interval  $A[i..k]$ . At some point during the puzzle, we must choose the leftmost square  $A[i]$  along with another square  $A[j]$  with  $i < j \leq k$ . Without loss of generality, this is the last move of the puzzle! Considering all possible indices  $j$  gives us the following recurrence:

$$MaxBlast(i, k) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } k - i \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i = k + 1 \\ \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A[i] \cdot A[j] \\ + MaxBlast(i + 1, j - 1) \\ + MaxBlast(j + 1, k) \end{array} \middle| i < j \leq k \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can memoize this function into a two-dimensional array. We can evaluate the array using two nested for-loops, one decreasing  $i$  and the other increasing  $k$ . (It doesn't matter which one is the inner loop.) The resulting algorithm runs in  $O(n^3)$  time. ■

**Rubric:** 10 points; standard dynamic programming rubric. These are not the only correct solutions. These solution includes more justification than necessary for full credit. An algorithm that runs in  $O(n^4)$  time is worth full credit. Max 12 points (yes, out of 10) for  $O(n^3)$  time; max 8 points for  $O(n^5)$  time; scale extra/partial credit.

**CS 473 ✧ Fall 2024**  
**Midterm 1 Problem 3 Solution**

- (a) Describe an algorithm to determine the number of well-spaced triples in a given bit string  $B[1..n]$ .
- (b) Describe an algorithm to determine the number of offset triples in a given bit string  $B[1..n]$ .

**Solution (part (a)):** The following algorithm runs in  $O(n \log n)$  time; the running time is dominated by the initial convolution.

```

COUNTEVENTRIPLES( $B[0..n]$ ):
   $BB \leftarrow \text{CONVOLUTION}(B, B)$ 
   $triples \leftarrow 0$ 
  for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n$ 
    if  $B[j] = 1$ 
       $triples \leftarrow triples + \lfloor BB[2j]/2 \rfloor$ 
  return  $triples$ 

```

**Justification:** In any well-spaced triple  $\{i, j, k\}$ , the middle index  $j$  is the average of the other two indices  $i$  and  $k$ , and without loss of generality  $i < j < k$ . Thus, if  $B[j] = 1$ , the number of well-spaced triples with middle index  $j$  is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{i+k=2j \\ i < j < k}} B[i] \cdot B[k].$$

This is *almost* equal to the  $(2j)$ th element of the convolution  $B * B$ :

$$(B * B)[2j] = \sum_{i+k=2j} B[i] \cdot B[k].$$

The latter sum actually counts well-spaced triples twice, once as  $\{i, j, k\}$  and again as  $\{k, j, i\}$ ; it also counts the degenerate triple  $\{j, j, j\}$ . So in fact,  $j$  is the middle index of  $c$  evenly-spaced triples if and only if  $(B * B)[2j] = 2c + 1$ . ■

**Rubric:** 5 points = 2 for using FFT/convolution + 2 for correctly extracting the number of triples from the convolution + 1 for time analysis. The justification is *not* required for full credit. This is not the only correct solution.

**Solution (part (b)):** The following algorithm runs in  $O(n \log n)$  time; the running time is dominated by the initial convolution.

```

COUNTOFFTRIPLES( $B[0..n]$ ):
  for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n$ 
     $BB[2i] \leftarrow B[i]$ 
     $BB[2i + 1] \leftarrow 0$ 
   $BBB \leftarrow \text{CONVOLUTION}(BB, B)$ 
   $triples \leftarrow 0$ 
  for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n$ 
    if  $B[j] = 1$ 
       $triples \leftarrow triples + BBB[3j] - 1$ 
  return  $triples$ 

```

**Justification:** The indices of offset triple  $\{i, j, k\}$  satisfy the equation  $3j = 2i + k$ . Thus, if  $B[j] = 1$ , the number of offset triples with middle index  $j$  is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{2i+k=3j \\ i \neq j \neq k}} B[i] \cdot B[k].$$

This is again *almost* equal one element of a convolution. Let  $BB$  be a copy of  $B$  interleaved with 0's, as shown in the pseudocode above. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (BB * B)[3j] &= \sum_{i'+k=3j} BB[i'] \cdot B[k] \\
 &= \sum_{2i+k=3j} BB[2i] \cdot B[k] && [i' = 2i] \\
 &= \sum_{2i+k=3j} B[i] \cdot B[k]
 \end{aligned}$$

This sum includes the degenerate triple  $\{j, j, j\}$ , so we have to subtract 1 to get the actual number of offset triples with middle index  $j$ . ■

**Rubric:** 5 points = 1 for using FFT/convolution + 2 for correctly setting up the convolution + 1 for correctly computing the number of offset triples from the convolution + 1 for time analysis. The justification is *not* required for full credit. This is not the only correct solution.

**CS 473 ✧ Fall 2024**  
**Midterm 1 Problem 4 Solution**

Describe an algorithm that finds the smallest possible total badness for a given sequence of words. The input to your algorithm consists of the positive integer  $M$  (the number of characters that fit on a single line) and an array  $L[1..n]$ , where  $L[i]$  is the length of the  $i$ th word.

**Solution (word-by-word):** Let  $MinBad(i, L)$  denote the smallest possible total badness for words  $i$  through  $n$ , assuming we have room for  $L$  characters on the first line, and  $M$  characters on every later line. We need to compute  $MinBad(1, M)$ . This function satisfies the following recurrence:<sup>a</sup>

$$MinBad(i, L) = \begin{cases} badness(L) & \text{if } i > n \\ MinBad(i + 1, M - L[i]) & \text{if } L = M \\ badness(L) + MinBad(i + 1, M - L[i]) & \text{if } L[i] > L - 1 \\ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} MinBad(i + 1, L - L[i] - 1) \\ badness(L) + MinBad(i + 1, M - L[i]) \end{array} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The choices in the final recursive case correspond to putting a space followed by the  $i$ th word on the current line, or starting a new line with the  $i$ th word. The  $-1$ s in red account for the spaces between words.

We can memoize this function into a two-dimensional array  $MinBad[1..n, 0..M]$ . We can evaluate this array using two nested loops, decreasing  $i$  in the outer loop and considering  $L$  in any order in the inner loop. The resulting algorithm runs in  $O(nM)$  time. ■

<sup>a</sup>This recurrence assumes that the paragraph layout must use at least one line. This assumption is trivial if  $n$  is positive, but when  $n = 0$ , the correct value of  $MinBad(1, M)$  is arguably 0, not  $badness(M)$ .

**Solution (line-by-line, 11/10):** Let  $PrefLen(j) = \sum_{i=1}^j L[i]$  denote the sum of the first  $j$  word lengths. We can compute all values of this function in  $O(n)$  time as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} PrefLen[0] \leftarrow 0 \\ \text{for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \\ \quad PrefLen[i] \leftarrow PrefLen[i - 1] + L[i] \end{array}$$

Now let  $LineLen(i, j)$  denote the length of a single line containing words  $i, i + 1, \dots, j$ . We immediately have

$$LineLen(i, j) = PrefLen(j) - PrefLen(i - 1) + j - i$$

(The  $j - i$  term at the end counts the spaces between words.)

Finally, let  $MinBad(i)$  denote the smallest possible total badness for words  $i$  through  $n$ , assuming every line has length  $M$ . We need to compute  $MinBad(1)$ . This function satisfies

the following recurrence, which tries all possibilities for the first line:

$$MinBad(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > n \\ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} badness(M - LineLen(i, j)) \\ + MinBad(j + 1) \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} i \leq j \leq n \text{ and} \\ LineLen(i, j) \leq M \end{array} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can memoize this function into an array  $MinBad[1..n]$ , which we can fill in decreasing index order. Because each word length is positive (or alternatively, thanks to the spaces between words), each entry  $MinBad[i]$  depends on at most  $n$  and at most  $M$  later entries  $MinBad[j]$ . Thus, the resulting algorithm runs in  $O(\min\{n^2, nM\})$  time. ■

**Rubric:** 10 points: standard dynamic programming rubric. The explanations in gray are not required for full credit. -1 for ignoring spaces between words. +1 extra credit for  $O(\min\{n^2, nM\})$  time. (In practice,  $n > L$  seems more likely than  $n < L$ , so this is a small improvement.) These are not the only correct solutions.