#### **Interest Points**



Galatea of the Spheres Salvador Dali

Computational Photography Derek Hoiem, University of Illinois Review of "Modeling the Physical World"

#### Pinhole camera model

- Linear projection from 3D to 2D
  - Be familiar with projection matrix (focal length, principal point, etc.)



## Vanishing points and metrology

• Parallel lines in 3D intersect at a vanishing point in



Can measure relative object heights using vanishing point tricks



#### Single-view 3D Reconstruction

- Technically impossible to go from 2D to 3D, but we can do it with simplifying models
  - Need some interaction or recognition algorithms
  - Uses basic VP tricks and projective geometry



#### Lens, aperture, focal length

• Aperture size and focal length control amount of exposure needed, depth of field, field of view



Good explanation: <u>http://www.cambridgeincolour.com/tutorials/depth-of-field.htm</u>

# Capturing light with a mirrored sphere





#### One small snag

- How do we deal with light sources? Sun, lights, etc?
  - They are much, much brighter than the rest of the environment



• Use High Dynamic Range photography

#### Key ideas for Image-based Lighting

• Capturing HDR images: needed so that light probes capture full range of radiance



#### Key ideas for Image-based Lighting

• Relighting: environment map acts as light source, substituting for distant scene



#### Next section of topics

- Correspondence
  - How do we find matching patches in two images?
  - How can we automatically align two images of the same scene?
  - How do we find images with similar content?
  - How do we tell if two pictures are of the same person's face?
  - How can we detect objects from a particular category?
- Applications
  - Photo stitching
  - Object recognition
  - 3D Reconstruction
  - Tracking

#### How can we align two pictures?

• Case of global transformation



#### How can we align two pictures?

- Global matching?
  - But what if
    - Not just translation change, but rotation and scale?
    - Only small pieces of the pictures match?





#### Today: Keypoint Matching



1. Find a set of distinctive key-points

- 2. Define a region around each keypoint
- 3. Extract and normalize the region content
- 4. Compute a local descriptor from the normalized region

## 5. Match local descriptors

#### Main challenges

- Change in position, scale, and rotation
- Change in viewpoint
- Occlusion

• Articulation, change in appearance

#### Question

• Why not just take every patch in the original image and find best match in second image?





#### **Goals for Keypoints**



Detect points that are *repeatable* and *distinctive* 

#### Key trade-offs



#### Localization

More Points Robust to occlusion Works with less texture

#### Description

More Repeatable Robust detection Precise localization

More Robust

Deal with expected variations Maximize correct matches More Selective Minimize wrong matches

## Keypoint localization

- Suppose you have to click on some point, go away and come back after I deform the image, and click on the same points again.
  - Which points would you choose?

original



#### Keypoint localization



- Goals:
  - Repeatable detection
  - Precise localization
  - Interesting content

#### Choosing interest points

Where would you tell your friend to meet you?



#### Choosing interest points

Where would you tell your friend to meet you?



#### Choosing interest points

• Corners



• Peaks/Valleys



#### Which patches are easier to match?





#### Many Existing Detectors Available

Hessian & Harris Laplacian, DoG Harris-/Hessian-Laplace Harris-/Hessian-Affine EBR and IBR MSER Salient Regions Others... [Beaudet '78], [Harris '88] [Lindeberg '98], [Lowe 1999] [Mikolajczyk & Schmid '01] [Mikolajczyk & Schmid '04] [Tuytelaars & Van Gool '04] [Matas '02] [Kadir & Brady '01]

#### Harris Detector [Harris88]

# Second moment matrix $\mu(\sigma_{I},\sigma_{D}) = g(\sigma_{I}) * \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}^{2}(\sigma_{D}) & I_{x}I_{y}(\sigma_{D}) \\ I_{x}I_{y}(\sigma_{D}) & I_{y}^{2}(\sigma_{D}) \end{bmatrix}$



*Intuition:* Search for local neighborhoods where the image gradient has two main directions (eigenvectors).

#### Harris Detector [Harris88]

#### Second moment matrix

 $\mu(\sigma_I, \sigma_D) = g(\sigma_I) * \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2(\sigma_D) & I_x I_y(\sigma_D) \\ I_x I_y(\sigma_D) & I_y^2(\sigma_D) \end{bmatrix}$ 





 $g(l_x)$ 

4. Cornerness function – both eigenvalues are strong

$$har = \det[\mu(\sigma_{I}, \sigma_{D})] - \alpha[\operatorname{trace}(\mu(\sigma_{I}, \sigma_{D}))^{2}] = g(I_{x}^{2})g(I_{y}^{2}) - [g(I_{x}I_{y})]^{2} - \alpha[g(I_{x}^{2}) + g(I_{y}^{2})]^{2}$$

5. Non-maxima suppression



#### Matlab code for Harris Detector

```
function [ptx, pty] = detectKeypoints(im, alpha, N)
```

```
% get harris function
gfil = fspecial('gaussian', [7 7], 1); % smoothing filter
imblur = imfilter(im, gfil); % smooth image
[Ix, Iy] = gradient(imblur); % compute gradient
Ixx = imfilter(Ix.*Ix, gfil); % compute smoothed x-gradient sq
Iyy = imfilter(Iy.*Iy, gfil); % compute smoothed y-gradient sq
Ixy = imfilter(Ix.*Iy, gfil);
har = Ixx.*Iyy - Ixy.*Ixy - alpha*(Ixx+Iyy).^2; % cornerness
```

```
% get local maxima within 7x7 window
maxv = ordfilt2(har, 49, ones(7)); % sorts values in each window
maxv2 = ordfilt2(har, 48, ones(7));
ind = find(maxv==har & maxv~=maxv2);
```

```
% get top N points
[sv, sind] = sort(har(ind), 'descend');
sind = ind(sind);
[pty, ptx] = ind2sub(size(im), sind(1:min(N, numel(sind))));
```

#### Harris Detector – Responses [Harris88]



#### Harris Detector – Responses [Harris88]



#### So far: can localize in x-y, but not scale





#### How to find corresponding patch sizes?

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

• Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)



scale

 $f(I_{i_1...i_m}(x,\sigma))$ 

2.0 3.89



K. Grauman, B. Leibe

19

• Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)





K. Grauman, B. Leibe

• Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)



 $f(I_{i_1...i_m}(x,\sigma))$ 



 $f(I_{i_1...i_m}(x',\sigma))$ 

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

• Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)



 $f(I_{i_1...i_m}(x,\sigma))$ 

47 hours and 10 1 2.0 scale 19.

 $f(I_{i_1...i_m}(x',\sigma))$ 

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

• Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)



i.4 scale 19 2.03.89 scale 19  $f(I_{i_1...i_m}(x,\sigma))$ 



K. Grauman, B. Leibe

• Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)





K. Grauman, B. Leibe

#### What Is A Useful Signature Function?

• Difference of Gaussian = "blob" detector



#### Difference-of-Gaussian (DoG)









#### **DoG – Efficient Computation**

Computation in Gaussian scale pyramid



#### Results: Lowe's DoG



#### **Orientation Normalization**

- Compute orientation histogram
- Select dominant orientation
- Normalize: rotate to fixed orientation





[Lowe, SIFT,

1999]

#### Available at a web site near you...

- For most local feature detectors, executables are available online:
  - <u>http://robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg/research/affine</u>
  - <u>http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~lowe/keypoints/</u>
  - <u>http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/~surf</u>

#### How do we describe the keypoint?

#### Descriptors for local matching

 Image patch (plain intensities or gradientbased features)



Example of patch-based matching for stereo

Local descriptors for matching different views/times

- The ideal descriptor should be
  - Robust to expected deformation
  - Distinctive
  - Compact
  - Efficient to compute
- Most available descriptors focus on edge/gradient information
  - Capture texture information
  - Color rarely used

#### Local Descriptors: SIFT Descriptor



## Histogram of oriented gradients

- Captures important texture information
- Robust to small translations / affine deformations

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

[Lowe, ICCV 1999]

## Details of Lowe's SIFT algorithm

- Run DoG detector
  - Find maxima in location/scale space
  - Remove edge points
- Find all major orientations
  - Bin orientations into 36 bin histogram
    - Weight by gradient magnitude
    - Weight by distance to center (Gaussian-weighted mean)
  - Return orientations within 0.8 of peak
    - Use parabola for better orientation fit
- For each (x,y,scale,orientation), create descriptor:
  - Sample 16x16 gradient mag. and rel. orientation
  - Bin 4x4 samples into 4x4 histograms
  - Threshold values to max of 0.2, divide by L2 norm
  - Final descriptor: 4x4x8 normalized histograms



#### Matching SIFT Descriptors

- Nearest neighbor (Euclidean distance)
- Threshold ratio of nearest to 2<sup>nd</sup> nearest descriptor



#### Local Descriptors: SURF



#### Fast approximation of SIFT idea

Efficient computation by 2D box filters & integral images ⇒ 6 times faster than SIFT Equivalent quality for object identification

#### **GPU implementation available**

Feature extraction @ 200Hz (detector + descriptor, 640×480 img) http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/~surf

#### What to use when?

Detectors

- Harris gives very precise localization but doesn't predict scale
  - Good for some tracking applications
- DOG (difference of Gaussian) provides ok localization and scale
  - Good for multi-scale or long-range matching

Descriptors

- Intensity patch: suitable for precise local search
- SIFT: good for long-range matching, general descriptor

## Things to remember

- Keypoint detection: repeatable and distinctive
  - Corners, blobs
  - Harris, DoG



 Descriptors: robust and selective

 SIFT: spatial histograms of gradient orientation



#### Next time: Panoramic Stitching

