

Decision and Regression Trees

Applied Machine Learning Derek Hoiem

Dall-E: A dirt road splits around a large gnarly tree, fractal art

Recap of classification and regression

- Nearest neighbor is widely used
 - Super-powers: can instantly learn new classes and predict from one or many examples
- Naïve Bayes represents a common assumption as part of density estimation, more typical as part of an approach rather than the final predictor
 - Super-powers: Fast estimation from lots of data; not terrible estimation from limited data
- Logistic Regression is widely used
 - Super-powers: Effective prediction from high-dimensional features; good confidence estimates
- Linear Regression is widely used
 - Super-powers: Can extrapolate, explain relationships, and predict continuous values from many variables
- Almost all algorithms involve nearest neighbor, logistic regression, or linear regression
 - The main learning challenge is typically feature learning

- So far, we've seen two main choices for how to use features
 - Nearest neighbor uses all the features jointly to find similar examples
 - 2. Linear models make predictions out of weighted sums of the features
- If you wanted to give someone a rule to split the 'o' from the 'x', what other idea might you try?



If x2 < 0.6 and x2 > 0.2 and x2 < 0.7, 'o' Else 'x'

Can we learn these kinds of rules automatically?

Decision trees

- Training: Iteratively choose the attribute and split value that best separates the classes for the data in the current node
- Combines feature selection/modeling with prediction



Decision Tree Classification



Slide Credit: Zemel, Urtasun, Fidler

Example with discrete inputs

| Example | Input Attributes | | | | | | | | | Goal | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|------|-----|---------|-------|---------------------|
| Literipie | Alt | Bar | Fri | Hun | Pat | Price | Rain | Res | Type | Est | WillWait |
| \mathbf{x}_1 | Yes | No | No | Yes | Some | \$\$\$ | No | Yes | French | 0–10 | $y_1 = Yes$ |
| \mathbf{x}_2 | Yes | No | No | Yes | Full | \$ | No | No | Thai | 30–60 | $y_2 = No$ |
| \mathbf{x}_3 | No | Yes | No | No | Some | \$ | No | No | Burger | 0–10 | $y_3 = Yes$ |
| \mathbf{x}_4 | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Full | \$ | Yes | No | Thai | 10–30 | $y_4 = Yes$ |
| \mathbf{x}_5 | Yes | No | Yes | No | Full | \$\$\$ | No | Yes | French | >60 | $y_5 = \mathit{No}$ |
| \mathbf{x}_{6} | No | Yes | No | Yes | Some | \$\$ | Yes | Yes | Italian | 0–10 | $y_6 = Yes$ |
| \mathbf{x}_7 | No | Yes | No | No | None | \$ | Yes | No | Burger | 0–10 | $y_7 = No$ |
| \mathbf{x}_8 | No | No | No | Yes | Some | \$\$ | Yes | Yes | Thai | 0–10 | $y_8 = Yes$ |
| \mathbf{x}_9 | No | Yes | Yes | No | Full | \$ | Yes | No | Burger | >60 | $y_9 = No$ |
| \mathbf{x}_{10} | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Full | \$\$\$ | No | Yes | Italian | 10–30 | $y_{10} = No$ |
| \mathbf{x}_{11} | No | No | No | No | None | \$ | No | No | Thai | 0–10 | $y_{11} = No$ |
| \mathbf{x}_{12} | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Full | \$ | No | No | Burger | 30–60 | $y_{12} = Yes$ |

- 1. Alternate: whether there is a suitable alternative restaurant nearby.
- 2. Bar: whether the restaurant has a comfortable bar area to wait in.
- 3. Fri/Sat: true on Fridays and Saturdays.
- 4. Hungry: whether we are hungry.
- 5. Patrons: how many people are in the restaurant (values are None, Some, and Full).
- 6. Price: the restaurant's price range (\$, \$\$, \$\$\$).
- 7. Raining: whether it is raining outside.
- 8. Reservation: whether we made a reservation.
- 9. Type: the kind of restaurant (French, Italian, Thai or Burger).
- 10. WaitEstimate: the wait estimated by the host (0-10 minutes, 10-30, 30-60, >60).

Slide Credit: Zemel, Urtasun, Fidler

Attributes:

Example with discrete inputs

• The tree to decide whether to wait (T) or not (F)

| Example | | | Input Attributes | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|
| Linumpic | Alt | Bar | Fri | Hun | Pat | Price | Rain | Res | Type | Est |
| \mathbf{x}_1 | Yes | No | No | Yes | Some | \$\$\$ | No | Yes | French | 0–10 |
| \mathbf{x}_2 | Yes | No | No | Yes | Full | \$ | No | No | Thai | 30–60 |
| \mathbf{x}_3 | No | Yes | No | No | Some | \$ | No | No | Burger | 0–10 |
| \mathbf{x}_4 | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Full | \$ | Yes | No | Thai | 10–30 |
| \mathbf{x}_5 | Yes | No | Yes | No | Full | \$\$\$ | No | Yes | French | >60 |
| \mathbf{x}_6 | No | Yes | No | Yes | Some | \$\$ | Yes | Yes | Italian | 0–10 |
| \mathbf{x}_7 | No | Yes | No | No | None | \$ | Yes | No | Burger | 0–10 |
| \mathbf{x}_8 | No | No | No | Yes | Some | \$\$ | Yes | Yes | Thai | 0–10 |
| \mathbf{x}_9 | No | Yes | Yes | No | Full | \$ | Yes | No | Burger | >60 |
| \mathbf{x}_{10} | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Full | \$\$\$ | No | Yes | Italian | 10–30 |
| \mathbf{x}_{11} | No | No | No | No | None | \$ | No | No | Thai | 0–10 |
| \mathbf{x}_{12} | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Full | \$ | No | No | Burger | 30–60 |
| | 1. | Alterna | te: wheth | er there is | s a suitable : | alternative | restauran | t nearby. | | |
| | 2. | Bar: whether the restaurant has a comfortable bar area to wait in. | | | | | | | | |
| | З. | Fri/Sat: true on Fridays and Saturdays. | | | | | | | | |
| | Hungry: whether we are hungry. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5. Patrons: how many people are in the restaurant (values are None, Some, and Full). | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6. Price: the restaurant's price range (\$, \$\$, \$\$\$). | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Raining: whether it is raining outside. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 8. Reservation: whether we made a reservation. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9. | 9. Type: the kind of restaurant (French, Italian, Thai or Burger). | | | | | | | | |
| nutes. | 10. | WaitEstimate: the wait estimated by the host (0-10 minutes, 10-30, 30-60, >60). | | | | | | | | |

| Δ. | | 11 | | |
|-----|----|-----|-----|--------|
| - Δ | ++ | rıh | 111 | - oc · |
| | LL | 111 | Ju | LCS. |



Decision Trees



- Internal nodes test attributes
- Branching is determined by attribute value
- Leaf nodes are outputs (class assignments)

Training

- 1. If labels in the node are mixed:
 - a. Choose attribute and split values
 based on data that reaches each
 node
 - b. Branch and create 2 (or more) nodes
- 2. Return



Training

- 1. If labels in the node are mixed:
 - a. Choose attribute and split values
 based on data that reaches each
 node
 - b. Branch and create 2 (or more) nodes
- 2. Return





Training

- 1. If labels in the node are mixed:
 - a. Choose attribute and split values
 based on data that reaches each
 node
 - b. Branch and create 2 (or more) nodes
- 2. Return



Training

- 1. If labels in the node are mixed:
 - a. Choose attribute and split values
 based on data that reaches each
 node
 - b. Branch and create 2 (or more) nodes
- 2. Return



Training

- 1. If labels in the node are mixed:
 - a. Choose attribute and split values based on data that reaches each node
 - b. Branch and create 2 (or more) nodes
- 2. Return



Prediction

1. Check conditions to descend tree

2. Return label of leaf node



How do you choose what/where to split?

• Which attribute is better to split on, X_1 or X_2 ?



Idea: Use counts at leaves to define probability distributions, so we can measure uncertainty

Quantifying Uncertainty: Coin Flip Example

```
Sequence 1:
000100000000000100...?
Sequence 2:
 10101110100110101...?
0
     16
                            10
                       8
              versus
         2
     0
                       0
          1
```

Quantifying Uncertainty: Coin Flip Example

Entropy *H*:





- How surprised are we by a new value in the sequence?
- How much information does it convey?

Quantifying Uncertainty: Coin Flip Example

Entropy:
$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$



Entropy of a Joint Distribution

• Example: $X = \{\text{Raining}, \text{Not raining}\}, Y = \{\text{Cloudy}, \text{Not cloudy}\}$

| | Cloudy | Not Cloudy |
|-------------|--------|------------|
| Raining | 24/100 | 1/100 |
| Not Raining | 25/100 | 50/100 |

$$H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x,y) \log_2 p(x,y)$$

= $-\frac{24}{100} \log_2 \frac{24}{100} - \frac{1}{100} \log_2 \frac{1}{100} - \frac{25}{100} \log_2 \frac{25}{100} - \frac{50}{100} \log_2 \frac{50}{100}$
 ≈ 1.56 bits

Specific Conditional Entropy

• Example: $X = \{\text{Raining, Not raining}\}, Y = \{\text{Cloudy, Not cloudy}\}$

| | Cloudy | Not Cloudy |
|-------------|--------|------------|
| Raining | 24/100 | 1/100 |
| Not Raining | 25/100 | 50/100 |

• What is the entropy of cloudiness *Y*, given that it is raining?

$$H(Y|X = x) = -\sum_{y \in Y} p(y|x) \log_2 p(y|x)$$

= $-\frac{24}{25} \log_2 \frac{24}{25} - \frac{1}{25} \log_2 \frac{1}{25}$
 ≈ 0.24 bits

• We used:
$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)}$$
, and $p(x) = \sum_{y} p(x,y)$ (sum in a row)

Conditional Entropy

| | Cloudy | Not Cloudy |
|-------------|--------|------------|
| Raining | 24/100 | 1/100 |
| Not Raining | 25/100 | 50/100 |

• The expected conditional entropy:

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in X} p(x)H(Y|X = x)$$
$$= -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x, y) \log_2 p(y|x)$$

Conditional Entropy

• Example: $X = \{\text{Raining, Not raining}\}, Y = \{\text{Cloudy, Not cloudy}\}$

| | Cloudy | Not Cloudy |
|-------------|--------|------------|
| Raining | 24/100 | 1/100 |
| Not Raining | 25/100 | 50/100 |

• What is the entropy of cloudiness, given the knowledge of whether or not it is raining?

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in X} p(x)H(Y|X = x)$$

= $\frac{1}{4}H(\text{cloudy}|\text{is raining}) + \frac{3}{4}H(\text{cloudy}|\text{not raining})$
 $\approx 0.75 \text{ bits}$

Conditional Entropy

• Some useful properties:

- ► *H* is always non-negative
- Chain rule: H(X, Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) = H(Y|X) + H(X)
- If X and Y independent, then X doesn't tell us anything about Y:
 H(Y|X) = H(Y)
- But Y tells us everything about Y: H(Y|Y) = 0
- By knowing X, we can only decrease uncertainty about Y: H(Y|X) ≤ H(Y)

Information Gain

| | Cloudy | Not Cloudy |
|-------------|--------|------------|
| Raining | 24/100 | 1/100 |
| Not Raining | 25/100 | 50/100 |

 How much information about cloudiness do we get by discovering whether it is raining?

> IG(Y|X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) $\approx 0.25 \text{ bits}$

- Also called information gain in Y due to X
- If X is completely uninformative about Y: IG(Y|X) = 0
- If X is completely informative about Y: IG(Y|X) = H(Y)
- How can we use this to construct our decision tree?

Terminology Recap

- Entropy, H(X): measures uncertainty of X
- Specific conditional entropy, H(X|Y = y): measures uncertainty of X if Y is known to have a particular value
- Conditional entropy H(X|Y): measures expected uncertainty of X if I know Y
- Information gain I(X|Y): measures how much knowing Y would reduce my uncertainty in X

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x} P(X = x) \log_2 P(X = x)$$

$$H(X|Y = y) = -\sum_{x} P(X = x|Y = y) \log_2 P(X = x|Y = y)$$

$$H(X|Y) = -\sum_{y} H(X|Y = y)P(Y = y)$$

$$I(X|Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Constructing decision tree

Training

- 1. If labels in the node are mixed:
 - a. Choose attribute and split valuesbased on data that reaches eachnode
 - b. Branch and create 2 (or more) nodes
- 2. Return

- 1. Measure information gain
 - For each discrete attribute: compute information gain of split
 - For each continuous attribute: select most informative threshold and compute its information gain. Can be done efficiently based on sorted values.
- 2. Select attribute / threshold with highest information gain

Q1-Q3

https://tinyurl.com/441-fa24-L12





What if you need to predict a continuous value?

- Regression Tree
 - Same idea, but choose splits to minimize sum squared error $\sum_{n \in node} (f_{node}(x_n) y_n)^2$
 - $-f_{node}(x_n)$ typically returns the mean prediction value of data points in the leaf node containing x_n
 - What are we minimizing?

http://tinyurl.com/cs441tree

Q4

https://tinyurl.com/441-fa24-L12



Variants

- Different splitting criteria, e.g. Gini index: $1 \sum_i p_i^2$ (very similar result, a little faster to compute)
- Most commonly, split on one attribute at a time
 - In case of continuous vector data, can also split on linear projections of features
- Can stop early
 - when leaf node contains fewer than N_{min} points
 - when max tree depth is reached
- Can also predict multiple continuous values or multiple classes

Decision Tree vs. 1-NN

- Both have piecewise-linear decisions
- Decision tree is typically "axisaligned"
- Decision tree has ability for early stopping to improve generalization
- True power of decision trees arrives with ensembles (lots of small or randomized trees)



Regression Tree for Temperature Prediction



```
from sklearn import tree
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
model = DecisionTreeRegressor(random state=0, min samples leaf=200)
model.fit(x train, y train)
y pred = model.predict(x val)
tree rmse = np.sqrt(np.mean((y pred-y val)**2))
tree mae = np.sqrt(np.median(np.abs(y pred-y val)))
print('LR: RMSE={}, MAE={}'.format(tree rmse, tree mae))
print('R^2: {}'.format(1-tree rmse**2/np.mean((y pred-
y pred.mean())**2)))
plt.figure(figsize=(20,20))
tree.plot tree(model)
plt.show()
for f in [334, 372, 405]:
 print('{}: {}, {}'.format(f, feature to city[f], feature to day[f
]))
```

Classification/Regression Trees Summary

- Key Assumptions
 - Samples with similar features have similar predictions
- Model Parameters
 - Tree structure with split criteria at each internal node and prediction at each leaf node
- Designs
 - Limits on tree growth
 - What kinds of splits are considered
 - Criterion for choosing attribute/split (e.g. gini impurity score is another common choice)
- When to Use
 - Want an explainable decision function (e.g. for medical diagnosis)
 - As part of an ensemble (as we'll see Thursday)
- When Not to Use
 - One tree is not a great performer, but a forest is

Q5-Q8

https://tinyurl.com/441-fa24-L12



Things to remember

- Decision/regression trees learn to split up the feature space into partitions with similar values
- Entropy is a measure of uncertainty
- Information gain measures how much particular knowledge reduces prediction uncertainty



$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$

$$IG(Y|X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

Thursday

• Ensembles: model averaging and forests