CS 425 / ECE 428 Distributed Systems Fall 2024

Indranil Gupta (Indy)

W/ Aishwarya Ganesan

Lecture 28: Datacenter Disasters

All slides © IG

Take a Guess!

Which of the following do you think the leading cause of datacenter outages?

- 1. Power outage
- 2. Over-heating
- 3. Human error
- 4. Fire
- 5. DOS attacks

Take a Guess!

Which of the following do you think the leading cause of datacenter outages?

- 1. Power outage
- 2. Over-heating
- 3. Human error (70%)
- 4. Fire
- 5. DOS attacks

Human Error Examples

- A system operator mistakenly deleted the \$38 billion Alaska Permanent Fund database and then deleted its backup.
- A maintenance contractor's mistake shut down the Oakland Air Traffic Control Center.
- A State of Virginia technician pulled the wrong controller and crashed a redundant SAN that already had suffered a controller failure.
- A technician with DBS Bank made an unauthorized repair on a redundant SAN and took down both sides.

Source:

http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0704/data_center_out ages-lessons.pdf

Human Error Examples (2)

- A system administrator closed all applications on one server in an active/active pair to upgrade it and then shut down the operating server.
- A test technician failed to disable a fire alarm actuator prior to testing the fire suppression system.
- Siren noise damaged several disks, including the virtual backup disks.
 - Shouting in the datacenter: https://www.voutube.com/watch?v=tDacirSCeq4
- (hosting.com) Incorrect breaker operation sequence executed by servicing vendor caused a shutdown of UPS and offline time to websites of 1-5 hours
- Thirteen million German web sites went dark when an operator mistakenly uploaded an empty zone file.
- (And many more!)

Source:

http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public articles/0704/data center out ages-lessons.pdf

Why Study Outages?

- They're fun! (Schadenfreude!)
- But really so that we can learn lessons
- Learn more about the actual behavior of systems in the real world
- Design better systems in the future
- Not our goal to say some companies are worse than others
 - In fact, companies which suffer outages run better and more robust infrastructure afterwards!
 - "What doesn't kill you, makes you stronger"
 - "It builds character" Calvin's Dad (in Calvin and Hobbes)
- We'll see a few case studies of outages
 - And learn lessons from them

I. AWS Outage

Overview

- Occurred on April 21st, 2011
- AWS published a post-mortem analysis
 - http://aws.amazon.com/message/65648/
- Not our goal to say AWS is a bad infrastructure
 - In fact, quite the opposite AWS treated customers very well
 - After the outage, AWS is still market leader
 - AWS fixed infrastructure to prevent recurrence
- During the outage
 - Several companies using AWS EC2 went down, e.g., Reddit, FourSquare
 - AWS dashboard showed problems with EC2, and other storage
 - Lasted 3.5 days (at least)
 - Led to some data loss

Background

- AWS Regions: Separate datacenters.
 - E.g., us-east-1, us-west-1, etc.
 - Each region consists of availability zones
 - can have automatic data replication across zones in a region (but not all customers do it)
- ullet AWS Elastic Block Storage (**EBS**) mountable storage
- "devices", accessible from EC2 instances
- 1 EBS volume runs inside an Availability Zone
 - Two networks: primary n/w used for EC2 and EBS control plane; secondary n/w used for overflow has *lower capacity*
 - Control information replicated across zones (for availability)
- EBS volumes replicated for durability
 - Each volume has a primary replica
 - If out of sync or node failure, replicas programmed to do aggressive re-mirroring of data

Timeline of Outage

- 12.47 AM: Routine primary n/w capacity upgrade in an av. zone in US East Region
- Traffic shifted off several primary n/w routers to other primary n/w routers
 - Critical Error: someone shifted traffic for one such router to a secondary n/w router
- => Several EBS volumes now had no/bad primary n/w
 - Primary n/w disconnected
 - Second n/w has low capacity and thus overwhelmed
 - Many primary replicas had no backup
- Team discovered critical error and rolled it back

- Team discovered critical error and rolled it back
 - Due to network partitioning, many primary replicas thought they had no backup: these automatically started re-mirroring aggressively
 - All at once: free n/w cap quickly used, replicas stuck in loop
 - Re-mirroring storm: 13% of EBS volumes
- N/w unavailable for Control Plane
 - Unable to serve "create volume" API requests for EBS
 - Control plane ops have long time-out; began to back up
 - When thread pool filled up, control plane started to reject create volume requests
- 2.40 AM: Team disabled all new "create volume" API requests
- 2.50 AM: all error rates and latencies for EBS APIs start to recover

- Two issues made things worse
 - Primaries searching for potential replicas did not back off
 - A race condition existed in EBS code that was only triggered by high request rates: activated now, caused more node failures
- 5.30 AM: Error rates and latencies increase again
- Re-mirroring is negotiation b/w EC2 node, EBS node, and EBS control plane (to ensure 1 primary)
 - Due to race condition, EBS nodes started to fail
 - Rate of negotiations increased
 - Caused more node failures (via race), and rinse-n-repeat
 - "Brown-out" of EBS API functionalities
- 8.20 AM: Team starts disabling all communication b/w EBS cluster in affected av. zone and EBS control plane
 - Av. zone still down, but control plane recovering slowly

- 11.30 am: Team figures out how to prevent EBS servers in av. zone from futile re-mirroring
 - Affected av. zone slowly recovers
- Customers still continued to face high error rates for new EBSbacked EC2 instances until noon
 - Another new EBS control plane API had recently been launched (for attaching new EC2 instances to volumes)
 - Its error rates were being shadowed by new errors
- Noon: No more volumes getting stuck
- But 13% volumes still in stuck state

- Long tail of recovery
 - Read more on the post-mortem to find out how team addressed this
 - By noon April 24th, all but 1.04 % of volumes had been restored
 - Eventually, 0.07% volumes could not be recovered, and were lost forever
- This outage also affected relational database service (RDS) that were single av. zone.

General Lessons Learnt

Large outages/failures

- Often start from human error
- But balloon due to *cascading* sub-failures

Specific Lessons Learnt

Ways this outage could have been avoided:

- Audit n/w configuration change processes, create a step-bystep protocol for upgrades
- Higher capacity in secondary n/w
- Prevent re-mirroring storm: backing off rather than aggressively retry
- Fixing race condition
- Users who wrote code to take advantage of multiple av. zones within region not affected
- Better tools for communication, health (AWS Dashboard), service credit for customers (multi-day credit)

II. Facebook Outage

Overview

- Outage occurred on 23rd September, 2010
- FB Unreachable for 2.5 hours (worst in past 4 years)
- Facebook published post-mortem
 - https://www.facebook.com/notes/facebookengineering/more-details-on-todaysoutage/431441338919
- Not our goal to say Facebook is a bad infrastructure
 - In fact, after the outage, Facebook still remained market leader in social networks
 - Facebook fixed infrastructure to prevent recurrence

Background

- Data stored in a persistent store, and cache
 - Persistent store = many servers
 - Cache = many servers running a distributed cache system
- Includes configuration data
- FB has automated system for verifying configuration values in the cache
 - and replace invalid values with updated values from the store

Timeline

- On Sep 23, FB made a change to the persistent copy of a configuration
 - Change was invalid
- All clients (FB cache servers) saw invalid value
 - All attempted to fix it
 - All queried cluster of databases
 - Databases overwhelmed quickly by 100K's queries per second
- Team fixed the invalid configuration

(Is it over yet?)

- When client received error from DB, it interpreted it as invalid and deleted cache entry
 - When DB failed to respond => client created more queries
 - No back off
 - Rinse-n-repeat
 - (Cascading failures)

- FB's Solution
 - Turn off entire FB website
 - Stop all traffic to DB cluster
 - DB recovers
 - Slowly allow users back on: allowed clients to slowly update caches
 - Took until later in day for entire site to be back up

Lessons Learnt

- New configuration system design
- When cannot access resource
 - Don't retry aggressively
 - But instead, back off
 - Each time a request fails, wait twice as long as last time
 - Called "Exponential backoff"
 - Used in networking protocols like 802.11 to avoid congestion

III. The Planet Outage

Overview

- Outage occurred on 31st May, 2008
- Source:

http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0309/planetexplosion.pdf

- The Planet 4th largest web hosting company, supported 22K websites
 - 6 datacenters: Houston (2), Dallas (4)
- Took down 9K servers and 7.5K businesses

Timeline

- 5.55 pm: Explosion in H1 Houston DC
 - Short circuit in transformer set it on fire
 - Caused an explosion of battery-acid fumes from UPS backup
 - (Cascading failures)
 - Blew out 3 walls of first floor

- No servers were damaged, but 9K servers brought down
- Fire department evacuated building
 - Directed that backup generators could not be turned on
 - Due to fire hazard, no staff allowed back in until 10 pm
- Reportedly, the Planet staff had to physically ship some critical servers to their other DCs (on pickups)
 - But limited by power and cooling at other DCs

- 5 pm Jun 2: Power restored to second floor
- Jun 4: First floor servers were being restored one rack at a time
- All the while: The Planet provided frequent updates to customers (15 min to 1 hour)

Lessons Learnt

- Backup data & services across DCs, perhaps across different providers
 - "Business Continuity Plans"
 - Whose responsibility would this be?
 - Provider?
 - Customer? More difficult due to extra work and data lock-in across providers.
 - "Sky Computing" to the rescue in 2024? (UC Berkeley)
- May cost customers more
 - Like insurance premiums?

Wrap-up

Outages are Inevitable

- Outages are inevitable
- We've seen how AWS, Facebook, The Planet kept affected users updated throughout
 - Frequent updates
 - Coupons/discounts
 - Published post-mortems afterwards
 - All these bolster customer confidence
- Many companies run dashboards with real-time information
 - Google Apps status dashboard
 - AWS dashboard

Not all Companies ...

Not all companies are as open as those discussed

- RIM Apr 2007 day-long outage; no details
- •Hostway Jul 2007 informed customers that it would move its DC Miami → Tampa, and that outage would be 12 hours
 - Outage was 3-7 days

Overall Lessons Learnt

- Datacenter fault-tolerance akin to human ailments/medicine today
 - Most common illnesses (crash failures) addressed
 - But uncommon cases can be horrible (unexpected outages)
- *Testing* is important
 - American Eagle, during a disaster, discovered that they could not fail over to backup DC
- Failed upgrades common cause of outage
 - Need a fallback plan

Overall Lessons Learnt (2)

- Data availability and recovery
 - BCP, Disaster-tolerance
 - Cross-DC replication, either by provider or by customer
- Consistent Documentation
 - A Google AppEngine outage prolonged because ops did not know which version of docs to use for recovery
 - Google's fix: mark old documents explicitly as "deprecated"
- Outages always a cascading series of failures
 - Need more ways to break the chain and prevent outages

Overall Lessons Learnt (3)

- Other sources of outages
 - DOS-resistance
 - Internet outages
 - Under-sea cable cut, DNS failures, Government blocking Internet (mostly via DNS)
 - Solution: Alternate DNS services
- Many failures are unexpected
- But there are also planned outages (e.g., kernel upgrades)
 - Need to be planned well
 - Steps documented and followed
 - Fallback plans in place

Announcements

- MP4 due this Sunday, demos Monday.
- Please fill out the Demo signup sheets by Friday!
- Final exam date: Dec 17th. See Piazza for instructions
- Please fill out ICES Forms! Your feedback is valuable
 - More valuable than other students' feedback, especially since you have been attending lecture!
- Next lecture: Wrap up!

Course Evaluations ("ICES")

- Please complete them online! (Search for mail from "ICES")
- Main purpose: to give us feedback on how useful this course was to you (and to improve future versions of the course)
- I won't see these evaluations until after you see your grades
- Answer all questions
- Please write your detailed feedback this is valuable for future versions of the course!