# Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421) 

## Elsa L Gunter 2112 SC, UIUC

 https://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421/sp2023

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha

## $\mathrm{EOH}!$

- Engineering Open House is Friday and Saturday
- They are taking this room
- On Friday only, we will meet in Zoom only:
- Zoom Info:Meeting Id: 83863241301 Passcode: cs421
URL: https://illinois.zoom.us/j/83863241301?pwd= U2dtRm9RUmhVQUw4d3dFOVJxNHY4UT09


## Example : test.mll

\{ type result = Int of int | Float of float | String of string \}
let digit $=[$ [ 0 '-' '9']
let digits $=$ digit +
let lower_case = ['a'-'z']
let upper_case = ['A'-'Z']
let letter = upper_case | lower_case
let letters = letter +

## Example : test.mll

rule main = parse
(digits)'.'digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string f) \}
| digits as n $\quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n) \}
| letters as s \{ String s\}
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
\{ let newlexbuf = (Lexing.from_channel stdin) in print_newline (); main newlexbuf \}

## Example

\# \#use "test.ml";;
val main : Lexing.lexbuf -> result $=$ <fun>
val __ocaml_lex_main_rec : Lexing.lexbuf -> int -> result $=$ <fun>
hi there 2345.2

- : result = String "hi"

What happened to the rest?!?

## Example

\# let b = Lexing.from_channel stdin;; \# main b;;
hi 673 there

- : result = String "hi"
\# main b;;
- : result = Int 673
\# main b;;
- : result = String "there"


## Problem

- How to get lexer to look at more than the first token at one time?
- Answer: action has to tell it to -- recursive calls
- Not what you want to sew this together with ocamlyacc
- Side Benefit: can add "state" into lexing
- Note: already used this with the _ case


## Example

rule main = parse
(digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float
(float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf\}
| digits as n \{ Int (int_of_string n) :: main lexbuf \}
| letters as s
\{ String s :: main lexbuf\}
| eof
\{ [] \}
\{ main lexbuf \}

## Example Results

hi there 2345.2

- : result list = [String "hi"; String "there"; Int 234; Float 5.2]
\#


## Used Ctrl-d to send the end-of-file signal

## Dealing with comments

First Attempt
let open_comment = "(*"
let close_comment = "*)"
rule main = parse
(digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf\}
digits as $n$
main lexbuf \}
| letters as s \{ String s :: main lexbuf\}
\{ Int (int_of_string n) ::

## Dealing with comments

| open_comment \{comment lexbuf\}
| eof \{[]\}
I _ \{ main lexbuf \}
and comment $=$ parse
close_comment \{ main lexbuf \}
$I_{-} \quad\{$ comment lexbuf $\}$

## Dealing with nested comments

rule main = parse ...
| open_comment \{ comment 1 lexbuf\}
| eof $\{[]\}$
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
and comment depth $=$ parse
open_comment $\{$ comment (depth+1) lexbuf \}
| close_comment $\quad\{$ if depth = 1 then main lexbuf else comment (depth -1 ) lexbuf \}
I _ \{ comment depth lexbuf \}

## Dealing with nested comments

rule main = parse
(digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf\}
| digits as $\mathrm{n} \quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n ) :: main lexbuf \}
| letters as s \{ String s :: main lexbuf\}
open_comment \{ (comment 1 lexbuf\}
| eof $\{[]\}$
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}

## Dealing with nested comments

and comment depth = parse
open_comment \{ comment (depth+1) lexbuf \}
| close_comment $\quad\{$ if depth $=1$
then main lexbuf else comment (depth - 1) lexbuf \}
I _ \{ comment depth lexbuf \}

## Types of Formal Language Descriptions

- Regular expressions, regular grammars
- Context-free grammars, BNF grammars, syntax diagrams
- Finite state automata
- Pushdown automata
- Whole family more of grammars and automata - covered in automata theory


## BNF Grammars

- Start with a set of characters, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \ldots$ - We call these terminals
- Add a set of different characters, $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \ldots$
- We call these nonterminals
- One special nonterminal S called start symbol


## Sample Grammar

- Language: Parenthesized sums of 0's and 1's
- <Sum> ::= 0
- <Sum >::=1
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
- <Sum> ::= (<Sum>)


## BNF Grammars

- BNF rules (aka productions) have form

$$
\mathbf{X}::=y
$$

where $\mathbf{X}$ is any nonterminal and $y$ is a string of terminals and nonterminals

- BNF grammar is a set of BNF rules such that every nonterminal appears on the left of some rule


## Sample Grammar

- Terminals: 01 + ( )
- Nonterminals: <Sum>
- Start symbol = <Sum>
- <Sum> ::= 0
- <Sum >::=1
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
- <Sum> ::= (<Sum>)
- Can be abbreviated as
<Sum> ::=0|1
| <Sum> + <Sum> | (<Sum>)


## BNF Deriviations

- Given rules

$$
\mathbf{X}::=y \mathbf{Z} w \text { and } \mathbf{Z}::=v
$$

we may replace $\mathbf{Z}$ by $v$ to say

$$
\mathbf{X}=>y \mathbf{Z} w=>y v w
$$

- Sequence of such replacements called derivation
- Derivation called right-most if always replace the right-most non-terminal


## BNF Semantics

- The meaning of a BNF grammar is the set of all strings consisting only of terminals that can be derived from the Start symbol


## BNF Derivations

- Start with the start symbol:
<Sum> =>


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal
<Sum> =>


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal:
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum> ::= ( <Sum> )
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >
=> ( <Sum>) + <Sum >


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal:
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >
$=>($ <Sum $>)+$ <Sum $>$


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >
$=>($ <Sum $>)+$ <Sum $>$
$=>($ <Sum $>+$ <Sum $>)+$ <Sum $>$


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal:
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >
$=>($ SUum $>)+$ <Sum $>$
$=>($ <Sum $>+$ <Sum > $)+$ <Sum $>$


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum >::=1
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>(\text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+ \text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SSum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }>
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

. Pick a non-terminal:
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>(\text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+ \text { SUum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { <Sum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }>
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum >::= 0
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>(\text { SUum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+ \text { Sum }>)+\text { Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+1)+<\text { Sum }> \\
& =>(<\text { Sum }>+1)+0
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal:
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>(\text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+ \text { SUum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\langle\text { Sum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+1)+0
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute
- <Sum> ::= 0
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>(\text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { <Sum }>+ \text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { <Sum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SSum }>+1) 0 \\
& =>(0+1)+0
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

- $(0+1)+0$ is generated by grammar
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>(\text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { <Sum }>+ \text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { <Sum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+1)+0 \\
& =>(0+1)+0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extended BNF Grammars

- Alternatives: allow rules of from $\mathrm{X}::=y \mid z$
- Abbreviates X::= y, X::= z
- Options: X::=y[v]z
- Abbreviates X::=y v z, X::=y z
- Repetition: $\mathrm{X}::=y\{v\}^{*} z$
- Can be eliminated by adding new nonterminal V and rules $\mathrm{X}::=y z, \mathrm{X}::=y \mathrm{~V}$, $\mathrm{V}::=v, \mathrm{~V}::=v \mathrm{~V}$


## Parse Trees

- Graphical representation of derivation
- Each node labeled with either non-terminal or terminal
- If node is labeled with a terminal, then it is a leaf (no sub-trees)
- If node is labeled with a non-terminal, then it has one branch for each character in the right-hand side of rule used to substitute for it


## Example

- Consider grammar:
<exp> ::= <factor> | <factor> + <factor>
<factor> ::= <bin> | <bin> * <exp>
<bin> ::= 0 | 1
- Problem: Build parse tree for $1^{*} 1+0$ as an <exp>


## Example cont.

- $1^{*} 1+0: \quad$ <exp>
<exp> is the start symbol for this parse tree


## Example cont.

$\begin{aligned} &-1 * 1+0: \text { <exp> } \\ & \\ & \text { <factor> }\end{aligned}$

Use rule: <exp> ::= <factor>

## Example cont.



Use rule: <factor> ::= <bin> * <exp>

## Example cont.

- $1 * 1+0: \begin{gathered}\text { <exp> } \\ \text { <factor> }\end{gathered}$


Use rules: <bin> ::=1 and <exp> ::= <factor> + <factor>

## Example cont.

- $1^{*} 1+0: \quad$ <exp>


Use rule: <factor> ::= <bin>

## Example cont.

- $1^{*} 1+0: \quad$ <exp>


Use rules: <bin> ::=1|0

## Example cont.

- $1^{*} 1+0: \quad$ <exp>


Fringe of tree is string generated by grammar

## Your Turn: 1 * $0+0$ * 1

## -

<exp>
/ |
<fact> + <fact>

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid \\
\text { <b> } & * & <e><b> & * & \text { <e> }
\end{array}
$$

