

## 21.6.3

### 2SAT

## What about **2SAT**?

**2SAT** can be solved in polynomial time! (specifically, linear time!)

No known polynomial time reduction from **SAT** (or **3SAT**) to **2SAT**. If there was, then **SAT** and **3SAT** would be solvable in polynomial time.

### Why the reduction from **3SAT** to **2SAT** fails?

Consider a clause  $(x \vee y \vee z)$ . We need to reduce it to a collection of **2CNF** clauses. Introduce a fresh variable  $\alpha$ , and rewrite this as

$$\begin{array}{ll} (x \vee y \vee \alpha) \wedge (\neg\alpha \vee z) & \text{(bad! clause with 3 vars)} \\ \text{or } (x \vee \alpha) \wedge (\neg\alpha \vee y \vee z) & \text{(bad! clause with 3 vars).} \end{array}$$

(In animal farm language: **2SAT** good, **3SAT** bad.)

## What about 2SAT?

A challenging exercise: Given a **2SAT** formula show to compute its satisfying assignment...

(Hint: Create a graph with two vertices for each variable (for a variable  $x$  there would be two vertices with labels  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ ). For every **2CNF** clause add two directed edges in the graph. The edges are implication edges: They state that if you decide to assign a certain value to a variable, then you must assign a certain value to some other variable.

Now compute the strong connected components in this graph, and continue from there...)