# 10.6.1 <br> Proving that merge is correct 

## Proving Correctness

Obvious way to prove correctness of recursive algorithm: induction!

- Easy to show by induction on $\boldsymbol{n}$ that MergeSort is correct if you assume Merge is correct.
- How do we prove that Merge is correct? Also by induction!
- One way is to rewrite Merge into a recursive version
- For algorithms with loops one comes up with a natural loop invariant that captures all the essential properties and then we prove the loop invariant by induction on the index of the loop
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- How do we prove that Merge is correct? Also by induction!
- One way is to rewrite Merge into a recursive version.
- For algorithms with loops one comes up with a natural loop invariant that captures all the essential properties and then we prove the loop invariant by induction on the index of the loop.


## Merge is correct..

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Merge}(A[1 \ldots \boldsymbol{m}], \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]) \\
& \boldsymbol{i} \leftarrow \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{j} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{1} \\
& \text { while }(\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{n}) \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { if } \boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m} \text { or }(\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n} \text { and } \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}]) \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
B[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++]
\end{array} \\
& \quad \text { else } \quad B[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}++] \\
& \boldsymbol{A} \leftarrow B
\end{aligned}
$$

## Claim

Assuming $A[1 \ldots m]$ and $A[m+1 \ldots n]$ are sorted (all values distinct)
For any value of $k$, in the beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ contains the $\boldsymbol{k}-1$ smallest elements in $\mathbf{A}$
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

## Merge is correct..

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Merge}(A[1 \ldots m], A[m+1 \ldots n]) \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{i} \leftarrow 1, \boldsymbol{j} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{1} \\
\text { while }(\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{n}) \text { do } \\
\quad \text { if } \boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m} \text { or }(\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n} \text { and } \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>A[j]) \\
\quad B[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++] \\
\quad \text { else } \\
\boldsymbol{A} \leftarrow B[\boldsymbol{B}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}++]
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct). For any value of $\boldsymbol{k}$, in the beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{1}]$ contains the $\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{1}$ smallest elements in $\boldsymbol{A}$.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
\(i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m+1, k \leftarrow 1\)
while ( \(k \leq n\) ) do
    if \(\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}\) or \((\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}\) and \(\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}])\)
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++]\)
    else
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}++]\)
\(A \leftarrow B\)
```
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Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct).
$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

## Proof:

Base of induction: $\boldsymbol{k}=\mathbf{1}$ : Emptily true.

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
\(i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m+1, k \leftarrow 1\)
while ( \(k \leq n\) ) do
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$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

## Proof:

Inductive hypothesis: Claim true for all $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
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## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct).
$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

## Proof:

Inductive hypothesis: Claim true for all $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
Inductive step: Need to prove claim true for $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}+\mathbf{1}$.

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
\(i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m+1, k \leftarrow 1\)
while ( \(k \leq n\) ) do
    if \(\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}\) or \((\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}\) and \(\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}])\)
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++]\)
    else
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## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct).
$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

Inductive hypothesis: Claim true for all $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
Idea: Start at iteration $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and use induction hypothesis, run the loop for one iter...

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
\(i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m+1, k \leftarrow 1\)
while ( \(k \leq n\) ) do
    if \(\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}\) or \((\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}\) and \(\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}])\)
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++]\)
    else
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}++]\)
\(A \leftarrow B\)
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## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct).
$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

Inductive hypothesis: Claim true for all $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
Idea: Start at iteration $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and use induction hypothesis, run the loop for one iter... If $\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}$ then true.

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
\(i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m+1, k \leftarrow 1\)
while ( \(k \leq n\) ) do
    if \(\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}\) or \((\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}\) and \(\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}])\)
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++]\)
    else
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}++]\)
\(A \leftarrow B\)
```


## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct).
$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

Inductive hypothesis: Claim true for all $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
Idea: Start at iteration $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and use induction hypothesis, run the loop for one iter... If $\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}$ then true.
If $\boldsymbol{j}>\boldsymbol{n}$ then true.

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
\(i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m+1, k \leftarrow 1\)
while ( \(k \leq n\) ) do
    if \(\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}\) or \((\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}\) and \(\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}])\)
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++]\)
    else
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}++]\)
\(A \leftarrow B\)
```


## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct).
$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

Inductive hypothesis: Claim true for all $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Idea: Start at iteration $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and use induction hypothesis, run the loop for one iter... If $\boldsymbol{i} \leq \boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}$ then...

## Merge is correct

```
\(\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 . . . m], A[m+1 . . . n])\)
\(i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow m+1, k \leftarrow 1\)
while ( \(k \leq n\) ) do
    if \(\boldsymbol{i}>\boldsymbol{m}\) or \((\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}\) and \(\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}]>\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}])\)
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{j}++]\)
    else
        \(\boldsymbol{B}[\boldsymbol{k}++] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{i}++]\)
\(A \leftarrow B\)
```


## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct).
$\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[1 \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in A.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

Inductive hypothesis: Claim true for all $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
Idea: Start at iteration $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and use induction hypothesis, run the loop for one iter... If $\boldsymbol{i} \leq \boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}$ then...

## Merge is correct!!!

## Claim

Assuming $\boldsymbol{A}[\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{m}]$ and $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1} \ldots \boldsymbol{n}]$ are sorted (all values distinct). $\forall \boldsymbol{k}$, in beginning of the loop, we have:
(1) $B[\mathbf{1} \ldots k-1]: k-1$ smallest elements in $A$.
(2) $B[1 \ldots k-1]$ is sorted.

Proved claim is correct. Plugging $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{n}+\mathbf{1}$, implies.

## Claim

By end of loop execution $\boldsymbol{B}$ (and thus $\boldsymbol{A}$ ) contain the elements of $\boldsymbol{A}$ in sorted order. $\Longrightarrow$ Merge is correct.

## THE END

## (for now)

