## 1.4

## Languages

## Languages

## Definition

A language $L$ is a set of strings over $\Sigma$. In other words $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$.
Standard set operations apply to languages.

- For languages $A, B$ the concatenation of $A, B$ is $A B=\{x y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$
- For languages $A, B$, their union is $A \cup B$, intersection is $A \cap B$, and difference is $A \backslash B$ (also written as $A-B$ )
- For language $A \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ the complement of $\boldsymbol{A}$ is $\bar{A}=\Sigma^{*} \backslash \boldsymbol{A}$.
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## Exponentiation, Kleene star etc

## Definition

For a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $L^{n}$ inductively as follows.

$$
L^{n}= \begin{cases}\{\epsilon\} & \text { if } n=0 \\ L \bullet\left(L^{n-1}\right) & \text { if } n>0\end{cases}
$$

And define $L^{*}=\cup_{n \geq 0} L^{n}$, and $L^{+}=\cup_{n \geq 1} L^{n}$

## Exercise

## Problem

Answer the following questions taking $A, B \subseteq\{0,1\}^{*}$.
(1) Is $\epsilon=\{\epsilon\}$ ? Is $\emptyset=\{\epsilon\}$ ?
(2) What is $\emptyset \bullet A$ ? What is $A \bullet \emptyset$ ?
(3) What is $\{\epsilon\} \bullet A$ ? And $A \bullet\{\epsilon\}$ ?
(4) If $|\boldsymbol{A}|=2$ and $|B|=3$, what is $|A \cdot B|$ ?

## Exercise

## Problem

Consider languages over $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$.
(1) What is $\emptyset^{0}$ ?
(2) If $|L|=2$, then what is $\left|L^{4}\right|$ ?
( What is $\emptyset^{*},\{\epsilon\}^{*}, \epsilon^{*}$ ?
(9) For what $L$ is $L^{*}$ finite?
(0) What is $\emptyset^{+},\{\epsilon\}^{+}, \epsilon^{+}$?

## Languages and Computation

What are we interested in computing? Mostly functions.
Informal definition: An algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ computes a function $f: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma^{*}$ if for all $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ the algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ on input $w$ terminates in a finite number of steps and outputs $f(w)$.

Examples of functions:

- Numerical functions: length, addition, multiplication, division etc
- Given graph $G$ and $s, t$ find shortest paths from $s$ to $t$
- Given program $M$ check if $M$ halts on empty input
- Posts Correspondence problem


## Languages and Computation

## Definition

A function $f$ over $\Sigma^{*}$ is a boolean if $f: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$.

## Observation: There is a bijection between boolean functions and languages.

- Given boolean function $f: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ define language $L_{f}=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid f(w)=1\right\}$
- Given language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ define boolean function $f: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ as follows: $f(w)=1$ if $w \in L$ and $f(w)=0$ otherwise.
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## Language recognition problem

## Definition

For a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ the language recognition problem associate with $L$ is the following: given $w \in \Sigma^{*}$, is $w \in L$ ?

- Equivalent to the problem of "computing" the function $f_{L}$.
- Language recognition is same as boolean function computation
- How difficult is a function $f$ to compute? How difficult is the recognizing $L_{f}$ ? Why two different views? Helpful in understanding different aspects?
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## How many languages are there?

The answer my friend is blowing in the slides.

## Recall:

## Definition

An set $\boldsymbol{X}$ is countable if there is a bijection $f$ between the natural numbers and $\boldsymbol{A}$.

## Theorem

$\Sigma^{*}$ is countable for every finite $\Sigma$.
The set of all languages is $\mathbb{P}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)$ the power set of $\Sigma^{*}$

## Theorem (Cantor)

$\mathbb{P}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)$ is not countable for any finite $\Sigma$.
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## Theorem (Cantor)

$\mathbb{P}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)$ is not countable for any finite $\Sigma$.

## Cantor's diagonalization argument

## Theorem (Cantor)

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is not countable.

- Suppose $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is countable infinite. Let $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots$, be an enumeration of all subsets of numbers.
- Let $\boldsymbol{D}$ be the following diagonal subset of numbers.

$$
D=\left\{i \mid i \notin S_{i}\right\}
$$

- Since $\boldsymbol{D}$ is a set of numbers, by assumption, $\boldsymbol{D}=\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ for some $\boldsymbol{j}$.
- Question: Is $j \in D$ ?


## Consequences for Computation

- How many $C$ programs are there? The set of $C$ programs is countable since each of them can be represented as a string over a finite alphabet.
- How many languages are there? Uncountably many!
- Hence some (in fact almost all!) languages/boolean functions do not have any $C$ program to recognize them.


## Questions:

- Maybe interesting languages/functions have C programs and hence computable. Only uninteresting languors uncomputable?
- Why should $C$ programs be the definition of computability?
- Ok, there are difficult problems/languages. what languages are computable and which have efficient algorithms?
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## Easy languages

## Definition

A language $\boldsymbol{L} \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ is finite if $|\boldsymbol{L}|=\boldsymbol{n}$ for some integer $\boldsymbol{n}$.
Exercise: Prove the following.

## Theorem

The set of all finite languages is countable.

## THE END

## (for now)

