Definitions of Probability
Two definitions of probability

• (1) STATISTICAL PROBABILITY: the relative frequency with which an event occurs in the long run

• (2) INDUCTIVE PROBABILITY: the degree of belief which it is reasonable to place in a proposition on given evidence

Bulmer, M. G.. Principles of Statistics (Dover Books on Mathematics)
An inductive probability of an event the degree of belief which it is rational to place in a hypothesis or proposition on given evidence.
Principle of indifference

• **Principle of Indifference** states that two events are equally probable if we have no reason to suppose that one of them will happen rather than the other. (Laplace, 1814)

  - Unbiased coin: probability Heads = probability Tails = $\frac{1}{2}$
  - Symmetric die: probability of each side = $\frac{1}{6}$

Pierre-Simon, marquis de Laplace (1749 – 1827)
French mathematician, physicist, astronomer
Inductive = Naïve probability

• If space $S$ is finite and all outcomes are equally likely, then

$$\text{Prob}(\text{Event } E) = \frac{\text{# of outcomes in } E}{\text{# of all outcomes in } S}$$

• Can also work with continuous is # is replaced with Area or Volume

• Unbiased coin: $\text{Prob}(\text{Heads}) = \text{Prob}(\text{Tails}) = 1/2$

• Symmetric die: probability of each side = $1/6$

• Lottery outcomes are not symmetric: It is not a 50%-50% chance to win or loose in a lottery
Inductive probability can lead to trouble

- Glass contains a mixture of wine and water and proportion of water to wine can be anywhere between 1:1 and 2:1.

- (i) We can argue that the proportion of water to wine is equally likely to lie between 1 and 1.5 as between 1.5 and 2.

- (ii) Consider now ratio of wine to water. It is between 0.5 and 1. Based on the same argument it is equally likely in [1/2, 3/4] as it is in [3/4, 1]. But then water to wine ratio is equally likely to lie between 1 and 4/3=1.333... as it is to lie between 1.333.. and 2. This is clearly inconsistent with the previous calculation...

- Paradox solved by clearly defining the experimental design:
  - For (i) use fixed amount of wine (1 liter) and select a uniformly-distributed random number between 1 and 2 for water.
  - For (ii) use 1 liter of water and select uniformly-distributed a random number between 0.5 and 1 for wine.
  - Different experiments – different answers

- Paradox is old. It is attributed to (among others) Joseph Bertrand
Better known Bertrand’s paradox

- Take a circle of radius 2 and randomly draw a line segment through the circle. What is the probability $P$ that the line intersects a concentric circle of radius 1?

Joseph Bertrand
(1822 –1900)
French mathematician
1. **Random point in 2D**: Each line has a unique midpoint, and a line will intersect the inner circle if its midpoint lies inside inner circle. Thus, \( P = \text{probability that a randomly chosen midpoint lies in the inner circle} \):

\[
P = \frac{\text{Area of the inner circle}}{\text{Area of the outer circle}} = \frac{\pi}{\pi 2^2} = \frac{1}{4}.
\]
2. **Random point along the diameter**: Each line has a unique perpendicular bisector of length 4. So, \( P \) = probability that the midpoint lies on the inner part of the diameter:

\[
P = \frac{\text{Length of the inner part of the diameter}}{\text{Length of the diameter}} = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}
\]
3. **Random angle**: Whether a line intersects the inner circle is determined by the angle it makes with the diameter intersecting the line on the outer circle:

\[ P = \frac{\pi/6}{\pi/2} = \frac{1}{3} \]
So, is probability $1/4$, $1/2$, or $1/3$?

- Depends on how a “random” arc is selected:
  - For #1: select a point inside big circle and then draw an arc with this point as the center. Prob=$1/4$
  - For #2: select a diameter and a point on this diameter, then draw an arc. Prob=$1/2$
  - For #3: select a point on the circle and random angle. Prob=$1/3$
Mathematica visualization

BertrandRandomChordParadox.cdf
I have two children. One of them is a boy. What is the probability I have two boys?

A. 1/2  
B. 1/3  
C. 2/3  
D. 13/27  
E. I don’t know  
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I have two children. One of them is a boy. What is the probability I have two boys?

A. $\frac{1}{2}$
B. $\frac{1}{3}$
C. $\frac{2}{3}$
D. $\frac{13}{27}$
E. I don’t know

Get your i-clickers
Solution

• Naïve answer: probability is 1/2
  – It would be correct if I told you that my first child was a boy, and I was asking for a probability that my second child would also be a boy

• Correct answer: probability is 1/2
  – Two children can come in four configurations: 1) boy/girl, 2) girl/boy, 3) boy/boy, 4) girl/girl. Since he has one boy, we are looking at the options 1, 2, or 3. Only the boy/boy combination includes two boys, so the probability is 1/3

• Consider doing an NIH-funded study:
  – recruit 1000 parents with two children
  – send ~250 parents with two girls straight home
  – Out of remaining ~750 parents ~250 (or 1/3 of the total) have two boys. The probability is 1/3
I have two children. One of them is a boy born on Tuesday. What is the probability I have two boys?

A. 1/2
B. 1/3
C. 2/3
D. 13/27
E. I don’t know
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I have two children.
One of them is a boy born on Tuesday.
What is the probability I have two boys?

A. 1/2
B. 1/3
C. 2/3
D. 13/27
E. I don’t know

Get your i-clickers
- 4x7x7 = 196 outcomes, 13 + 7 + 7 = 27 of which satisfy “Boy born on Tuesday”
- The probability of having two boys = 13/(13+7+7) = 13/27
- Close but not equal to 14/28 = 1/2
Inductive probability relies on combinatorics or the art of counting combinations.
Counting – Multiplication Rule

• Multiplication rule:
  – Let an operation consist of $k$ steps and
    • $n_1$ ways of completing the step 1,
    • $n_2$ ways of completing the step 2, ... and
    .......
    • $n_k$ ways of completing the step $k$.
  – Then, the total number of ways of carrying the entire operation is:
    • $n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot ... \cdot n_k$

Example: DNA 2-mer
• \( S = \{A, C, G, T\} \) the set of 4 DNA bases
  – Number of k-mers is \( 4^k = 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times \ldots \times 4 \) (k –times)
  – There are \( 4^3 = 64 \) triplets in the genetic code
  – There are only 20 amino acids (AA)+1 stop codon
  – There is redundancy: same AA coded by 1-3 codons
  – Evidence of natural selection: “silent” changes of bases are more common than AA changing ones

• A protein-coding part of the gene is typically 1000 bases long
  – There are \( 4^{1000} = 2^{2000} \sim 10^{600} \) possible sequences of just one gene
  – Or \( (10^{600})^{25,000} = 10^{15,000,000} \) of 25,000 human genes.
  – For comparison, the Universe has between \( 10^{78} \) and \( 10^{80} \) atoms and is \( 4 \times 10^{17} \) seconds old.
Counting – Permutation Rule

• A permutation is a unique sequence of distinct items.
• If \( S = \{a, b, c\} \), then there are 6 permutations
  – Namely: abc, acb, bac, bca, cab, cba \( \text{(order matters)} \)
• # of permutations for a set of n items is \( n! \)
• \( n! \) (factorial function) = \( n*(n-1)*(n-2)*...*2*1 \)
• \( 7! = 7*6*5*4*3*2*1 = 5,040 \)
• By definition: \( 0! = 1 \)
A class has \( n \) students. What is the smallest \( n \) so that there is 100% probability that there is a pair of people with the same birthday, e.g., May 1 (in any year)?

A. 366
B. 367
C. 730
D. 32
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A class has \( n \) students. What is the **smallest** \( n \) so that there is 50% probability that there is a pair of people with the same birthday e.g. May 1 (in any year)

A. 734  
B. 184  
C. 5  
D. 23
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Probability n people have different birthdays is:

\[
\frac{365}{365} \times \frac{364}{365} \times \frac{363}{365} \times \cdots \times \frac{(365-n+1)}{365}
\]

Let's find n when this is \( \approx \frac{1}{e^2} \)

\[
\frac{1}{e^2} = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log_6 \left( 1 - \frac{k-1}{365} \right) \right)
\]
\[
\log_b \left( 1 - \frac{k-1}{365} \right) \approx -\frac{k-1}{365}
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - \frac{k-1}{365} \right) \approx -\frac{(n-1)n}{2 \cdot 365}
\]

We need

\[
\frac{1}{2} \approx \exp \left(-\frac{n(n-1)}{2 \cdot 365}\right)
\]

or

\[
-\log 2 \approx -\frac{n^2}{2 \cdot 365}
\]

\[
n \approx \sqrt{2 \cdot 365 \cdot \log 2} \approx 22.5
\]
Because each person can celebrate his or her birthday on any one of 365 days, there are a total of \((365)^n\) possible outcomes. (We are ignoring the possibility of someone having been born on February 29.) Furthermore, there are \((365)(364)(363) \cdots (365 - n + 1)\) possible outcomes that result in no two of the people having the same birthday. This is so because the first person could have any one of 365 birthdays, the next person any of the remaining 364 days, the next any of the remaining 363, and so on. Hence, assuming that each outcome is equally likely, we see that the desired probability is

\[
\frac{(365)(364)(363) \cdots (365 - n + 1)}{(365)^n}
\]

It is a rather surprising fact that when \(n \geq 23\), this probability is less than \(\frac{1}{2}\). That is, if there are 23 or more people in a room, then the probability that at least two of them have the same birthday exceeds \(\frac{1}{2}\). Many people are initially surprised by this result, since 23 seems so small in relation to 365, the number of days of the year. However, every pair of individuals has probability \(\frac{365}{(365)^2} = \frac{1}{365}\) of having the same birthday, and in a group of 23 people there are \(\binom{23}{2} = 253\) different pairs of individuals. Looked at this way, the result no longer seems so surprising.
Let’s check it on our class

When I point to you, say your month and date of birth