

Self-information (log-loss)

$l(b, x) = -\log b(x)$ is the ideal codeword length of x with probability function $b(\cdot)$ in lossless source coding

Also in gambling, $b_t(\cdot | x^{t-1})$ represents distribution of money invested in each of the possible values of next outcome. The log-loss then dictates exponential growth rate of money with time.

Observe that any sequential probability assignment mechanism yields probability assignment for entire observation vector x^n as

$$Q(x^n) = \prod_{t=1}^n b_t(x_t | x^{t-1})$$

and any consistent $Q(x^n)$ provides valid sequential probability assignment as:

$$b_t(x_t | x^{t-1}) = \frac{Q(x^t)}{Q(x^{t-1})}$$

So log-loss is completely equivalent to choice of Q that assigns maximum probability to x^n , i.e. maximum likelihood estimate.

Focus on log-loss

Probabilistic setting for universal ~~compressi~~ prediction under log-loss is equivalent to finding probability assignment Q for entire sequence.

If P is known, optimal Q is just $Q=P$, so induced prediction is

$$b_t(\cdot | x^{t-1}) = Q(\cdot | x^{t-1}) = P(\cdot | x^{t-1})$$

Average cumulative loss in turn $H_n(P) = -E[\log P(X^n)]$, the entropy

where

$$w(\theta | x^{t-1}) = \frac{w(\theta) P_{\theta}(x^{t-1})}{\int_{\Omega} dw(\theta') P_{\theta'}(x^{t-1})} = \frac{w(\theta) 2^{-\log 1/P_{\theta}(x^{t-1})}}{\int_{\Omega} dw(\theta') P_{\theta'}(x^{t-1})}$$

↖ exponential weighting view.

How to choose the weight function $w(\cdot)$ of the mixture Q_w ?

minimax problem:

$$\inf_Q \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} D_n(P_{\theta} \| Q) = \inf_Q \sup_w \int_{\Omega} dw(\theta) D_n(P_{\theta} \| Q).$$

n -normalized quantity is minimax redundancy.

Alternate is ~~average~~ ^{maximin} redundancy rather than minimax, here judge by weighted average

$$R_n(Q, w) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} dw(\theta) D_n(P_{\theta} \| Q).$$

The Q that minimizes this for a given w is the Q we had before

$$Q_w = \int_{\Omega} dw(\theta) P_{\theta}(x^n) \quad \text{and the resultant average redundancy } R_n(Q_w, w)$$

is the mutual information $I_w(\Theta; X^n)$ between random variables Θ and X^n

with joint density $\mu(\theta, x^n) = w(\theta) P_{\theta}(x^n)$.

But what is good choice of w ?

$$\sup_w \inf_Q R_n(Q, w).$$

whose n -normalized value is maximin redundancy

One can think of $\sup_w I_w(\mathcal{Q}; X^n)$ as capacity of channel from \mathcal{Q} to X^n .

In fact the minimax- and maximin solutions are equivalent.

The mixture Q_{w^*} where w^* is capacity-achieving prior is what counts.

This is redundancy-capacity theorem of universal coding (and of prediction)

What about very large classes of sources?

For example Stationary and ergodic sources.

These classes are so rich that under log-loss, for every finite n , and every predictive probability assignment Q , there exists a source in the class such that

$$E[-\log Q(x^n)] \geq n \log A - o(n) \text{ where } A \text{ is alphabet size.}$$

Aim for weakly universal schemes instead, not requiring uniform redundancy rates

For example based on predictive probability assignments induced by Lempel-Ziv algorithm.