

**1** (100 PTS.) Monotone EFX Partitions

We say that a *partition*  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is an EFX partition if there is an assignment of bundles in  $X$  to agents such that resulting allocation is EFX. Prove that if  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is an EFX-partition, then there exists an agent  $i \in [n]$ , and  $g \in X_i$  such that  $X' = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_i \setminus g, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$  is also an EFX partition.

**Hint:** Given a partition  $X$ , define the robust-demand bundle for agent  $i$  as  $\operatorname{argmax}_{j \in [n]} \max_{g \in X_j} X_j \setminus g$ . Given an EFX-partition, try to find an allocation where at least one agent has his robust-demand bundle, and then remove a good from this agent's bundle, and prove that the resulting partition is also an EFX partition.

## 2 (100 PTS.) MMS Allocations–Ordered Instances

Recall the definition of MMS: Given an instance  $\mathcal{I}$ , with a set of agents  $[n]$ , a set of indivisible goods  $M$ , and *additive utility functions*  $u_1(\cdot), \dots, u_n(\cdot)$ <sup>1</sup>, the MMS-feasible share of agent  $i$ ,  $MMS_i$  is defined as follows:

$$MMS_i = \max_{X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{P}} \min_{j \in [n]} v_i(X_j),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of all feasible partitions. We now change the instance  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\mathcal{I}'$  as follows: for each agent  $i$ , set  $v_{ij}$  to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  largest value in  $\langle u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots, u_{im} \rangle$  and  $v_i(S) = \sum_{g \in S} v_{ig}$ . Observe that in this process, all agents have the same ranking of the goods. Prove that, if there exists a partition  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  in  $\mathcal{I}'$  such that  $v_i(X_i) \geq \alpha MMS_i$ , then there exists a partition  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  such that  $u_i(Y_i) \geq \alpha MMS_i$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ , i.e., it suffices to only consider ordered instances for proving MMS guarantees.

.

---

<sup>1</sup> $u_i(S) = \sum_{g \in S} u_{ig}$

**3** (100 PTS.) MMS and EF1

Prove that (i) an EF1 allocation gives  $1/n$ -approximate MMS allocation, and (ii) an MMS allocation does not give any approximation guarantees on an EF1 allocation.