## 1. Break query sequence into words

MEAAVKEEISVEDEAVDKNI
MEA EAA

AAV
AVK

## Break query into words:

KEE
EEI
EIS
ISV

## 2. Find database hits

- Find exact matches to query words
- Can be done in efficiently
- Hashing
- Alternatively AC finite state machine



## 2. Find database hits

ELEPRRPRYRVPDVLVADPPIARLSVSGRDENSVELTMEAT


## 3. Extend hits

1. Find "seeds" (initial matches) of a fixed length (e.g. 11)
2. Try extending an alignment from each seed
...atcgtatcgtatcgtactgctggcctagtggggga....
...ctcgtcgatgctagtcgtactgctgatgctatatatatattaatg...

## How to handle possible mismatches in words?

MVRERKCILCHIVYGSKKEMDEHMRSMLHHRELENLKGRDIS Query word, W=3 for proteins $\downarrow$ (W=11 for nucleotides) Word Score (BL-62)

GSK 15
GAK 12
GNK 12
GTK 12
GSR 12
Neighbor words GDK 11
GQK 11
GEK 11
GGK 11
GKK 11
GSQ 11
GSE 11

## How to handle possible mismatches in words?

## First step:

For each position $p$ of the query, find the list or words of length w scoring more than T when paired with the word starting at p :


## How to handle possible mismatches in words?

## Second step:

For each words list, identify all exact matches with DB sequences:

```
p-word words list
DB sequences
```



## How to handle possible mismatches in words?

## Third step:

For each word match ("hit»), extend ungapped alignment in both directions. Stop when S decreases by more than X from the highest value reached by S .


## Statistics: Question

- Given two random sequences of lengths $m$ and $n$
- What is the probability that they will produce an MSP score of $>=S$ ?


## Statistics: more intuition

The probability will depend on:

- How long is are the sequences (the longer the easier to get a local score above threshold by chance)
- Scoring matrix
- Distribution of amino acids in each sequence


## Statistics: Intuition

Frequency of aa occurring in nature

## Random sequence 1

```
Ala 0.1
Val 0.3
Trp 0.01
```


$\longrightarrow$ SCORE

Random sequence 2

Real sequence 1


Real sequence 2

## Simulation

1. Generate many random sequence pairs

2. Compute the distribution of the SCOREs



## Statistical test



## Extreme value distribution

Karlin and Alschul observed that in the framework of local alignments without gaps: the distribution of random sequence alignment scores follow an EVD.


## Extreme value distribution



$$
P(Z \geq x)=1-\exp \left[-e^{-\Lambda(x-\mu)}\right]
$$

P-value $=$ the probability of obtaining a score equal or greater than $x$ by chance

## Compute a p-value



- The probability of observing a score >=4 is the area under the curve to the right of 4.
- For an Unscaled EVD:

$$
P(S \geq x)=1-e^{\left(-e^{-x}\right)}
$$

$$
P(S \geq 4)=1-e^{\left(-e^{-4}\right)}
$$

$$
P(S \geq 4)=0.018149
$$

## Parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(Z \geq x)=1-\exp \left[-e^{-\Lambda(x-\mu)}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mu, \lambda$ : parameters depend on the length and composition of the sequences and on the scoring system: $\mu$ is the mode (highest point) of the distribution and $\lambda$ is the decay parameter -They can me estimated by making many alignments of random or shuffled sequences.

## Statistical test



## Significance: P-value and E-value

## In a database of size $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{E}$

- P-value:

Probability that an alignment with this score occurs by chance in a database of size N .
The closer the P -value is towards 0 , the better the alignment

- E-value:

Number of matches with this score one can expect to find by chance in a database of size N .
The closer the E -value is towards 0 , the better the alignment
$\rightarrow$ Smaller E-value, more significant in statistics
Bigger E-value, by chance
$E\left[\#\right.$ occurrences of a string of length $m$ in reference of length $L$ ] $\sim L / 4^{m}$

## Parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(Z \geq x)=1-\exp \left[-e^{-\Lambda(x-\mu)}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mu, \lambda$ : parameters depend on the length and composition of the sequences and on the scoring system: $\mu$ is the mode (highest point) of the distribution and $\lambda$ is the decay parameter -They can me estimated by making many alignments of random or shuffled sequences. - For alignments without gaps they can be calculated from the scoring matrix and then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(Z \geq x)=1-\exp \left[-K m n e^{-\Lambda x}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

K : is a constant that depend on the scoring matrix values and the frequencies of the different residues in the sequences.
$m, n$ : sequence lengths

## E-value

Approximation:
if $x$ is very small, then $1-\exp (-x)$ can be approximated by $x$

Therefore,

$$
P(Z>=x) \sim e^{-\lambda(x-\mu)}=K m n e^{-\lambda x}
$$

So E-value = DatabaseLength * p-value

$$
\mathrm{E} \text {-value }=\mathrm{KNme}^{-\lambda x}
$$

where N is the database size (not the aligned length n )

## Genomics

## Sequencing tech




1980s-1990s: | ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Gen
Automated Capillary
Sequencing
384kbp / day


2000s: $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gen
Pyrosequencing, SOLiD Sequencing-by-Synthesis

IGbp+ / day

## Sequencing tech: next generation



Cost per raw megabase of DNA sequence


Until 2007: Sanger sequencing
Starting in 2008: next-generation (454, Illumina, SOLiD)

## What do we get from sequencing?

Sequencing technologies produce sbort reads from random locations in the DNA sample

## How to analyze these reads?

Position of individual reads on the target DNA is not known


Solved by computational methods:

- mapping if target DNA is known
- assembly if it is not known


## Mutation identification: Mapping

How does your genome compare to the reference?


## Genome projects: Assembly

| 1976 | MS2 (RNA virus) 40 kB |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1988 | Human genome sequencing project (15 years) |
| 1995 | bacterium H. influenzae 2 MB, shotgun (TIGR) |
| 1996 | S. cerevisiae 10 MB, BAC-by-BAC (Belgium, UK) |
| 1998 | C. elegans 100 MB, BAC-by-BAC (Wellcome Trust) |
| 1998 | Celera: human genome in three years! |
| 2000 | D. melanogaster 180 MB, shotgun (Celera, Berkeley) |
| 2001 | 2x human genome 3 GB (NIH, Celera) |
| after 2001 | mouse, rat, chicken, chimpanzee, dog,... |
| 2007 | Genomes of Watson and Venter (454) |

## Use sequencing for other types of data



## RNA-seq



## Assembly

Many copies of the DNA


Shear it, randomly breaking them into many small pieces, read ends of each:


Assemble into original genome:


## Assembly

Computational Challenge: assemble individual short fragments (reads) into a single genomic sequence ("superstring")


## Shortest common superstring

Problem: Given a set of strings, find a shortest string that contains all of them
Input: Strings $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}$
Output: A string $s$ that contains all strings $s_{1}, s_{2}$, $\ldots ., s_{n}$ as substrings, such that the length of $s$ is minimized

## Shortest common superstring

Set of strings: $\quad\{000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111\}$

Concatenation
Superstring
000001010011100101110111


Any ideas?

## Directed Graph

Directed graph $G(V, E)$ consists of set of vertices, $V$ and set of directed edges, $E$

Directed edge is an ordered pair of vertices. First is the source, second is the sink.

Vertex is drawn as a circle
Edge is drawn as a line with an arrow connecting two circles

Vertex also called node or point

Edge also called arc or line


Directed graph also called digraph

## Overlap Graph

Below: overlap graph, where an overlap is a suffix/prefix match of at least 3 characters

A vertex is a read, a directed edge is an overlap between suffix of source and prefix of sink

Vertices (reads): $\{a:$ CTCTAGGCC, $b:$ GCCCTCAAT, $c:$ CAATTTTT \}
Edges (overlaps): $\{(a, b),(b, c)\}$

## $a:$ CTCTAGGCC $\underset{3}{\longrightarrow} b$ : GCCCTCAAT $\underset{4}{\longrightarrow} c:$ CAATTTTT

CTCTAGGCC
$\|_{\text {GCCCTCAAT }}$

GCCCTCAAT
||||
CAATTTTT

## Example



Original string: GCATTATATATTGCGCGTACGGCGCCGCTACA

## Shortest common superstring problem is hard

Can we solve it?
Imagine a modified overlap graph where each edge has cost $=-$ (length of overlap)

SCS corresponds to a path that visits every node once, minimizing total cost along path

That's the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP), which is NP-hard!


## Shortest common superstring problem is hard

Say we disregard edge weights and just look for a path that visits all the nodes exactly once

That's the Hamiltonian Path problem: NP-complete

Indeed, it's well established that SCS is NP -hard


## Matching a superstring to a set of short reads

Assume we have a set S of reads with length k (k-mers)
Goal: Find a string that can be exactly split in to set $S$.

$$
S=\{A T G \text { AGG TGC TCC GTC GGT GCA CAG }\}
$$

## Overlap graph approach

Assume we have a set S of reads with length k (k-mers)
Goal: Find a string that can be exactly split in to set $S$.
$S=\{$ ATG AGG TGC TCC GTC GGT GCA CAG $\}$
H atg agg tgc tcc gtc ggt gca cag


> ATG CAGGTCC

Path visited every VERTEX once

## Overlap graph approach is hard

Assume we have a set S of reads with length k (k-mers)
Goal: Find a string that can be exactly split in to set $S$.
$S=\{$ ATG AGG TGC TCC GTC GGT GCA CAG $\}$
H atg agg tgc tcc gtc ggt gca cag


ATG CAGGTCC
Path visited every VERTEX once

